A Study Of Satisfaction Among Farmers In Theni District With Regard To Institutional Support For Coconut Production And Marketing

Dr.V.SATHURAGIRI, M.Com.,M.Phil., B.Ed., PGDCA., Ph,D Assistant Professor & Head, P.G. & Research Department of Commerce, Government Arts College (Autonomous) - Karur.

K.MUTHUMANI, M.Com., M.Phil., MBA., PGDCA. Guest Lecturer in Commerce, Government Arts & Science College, Veerapandi.

Abstract

This research paper attempt the socio –economic and Farmers' Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing in Theni District. This research paper main objective is to analysis the socio - economic and Farmers' Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing. This study is based on both primary and secondary data. The primary data was collected from the retailer respondents taken for the study. By following face to face interview then required data was collected. A well-structured interview – schedule was used for the purpose. The secondary data was collected through books, journals, newspapers and websites sources. By following convenient sampling technique, a sample of 560 coconut farmers was taken for the study. The selection of samples by the researchers from the population was based on her personal judgment. To find out the socio – demographic and business profile of socio – economic and Farmers' Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing, percentage analysis is used to socio – economic and relationship between farmer demographics and satisfaction with institutional support for coconut production and marketing was investigated using an analysis of variance and a student t test. The data relating to the study was collected during a 6-month period, from January to June 2021. Finally provided offer suggestions based on the findings of the study.

Keywords: Coconut Farmer, Institutional Support, Coconut production and Marketing

Introduction

Agriculture has dominated the economic development of both developed and developing countries. India is an agricultural country, with agriculture employing a third of the people directly or indirectly. Since the time immemorial, agriculture has been the backbone of the Indian economy. The production of oilseeds occupies a significant position. Coconut is one of the most important and abundant sources of vegetable oil, which is used for both edible and non-edible purposes. A vast number of small and marginal farmers in peninsular India rely on the coconut for their livelihood. Coconut farming is extremely important in India's rural economy. In terms of coconut output, India is the world's leading country. India is the world's third-largest producer of coconuts, with 1.78 million hectares under cultivation. The coconut palm and its products are a major source of income for a big portion of the tropical rural population, and they also contribute significantly to the total export profits of various

Asian and Pacific countries. It can be found in the Malay Archipelago, Southeast Asia, India, Sri Lanka, the Pacific Territories, and the West Indies, among other humid tropics. Due to its adaptability, coconut is the most widely cultivated and used nut in the planet. One of the most useful plants is the coconut palm. It is grown in more than 80 countries throughout the world. The Philippines and Indonesia are the world's number one and second largest coconut producers, respectively. The coconut palm has been the foundation of an essential life system for millennia, not only for island and coastal people in the humid tropics, but also for inland parts of India, the Philippines, Indonesia, and Thailand. India is currently ranked third in the world for coconut output, having produced 16.9 billion nuts from a planted area of approximately 1.89 million hectares. India is also the most productive coconut-producing country in the world in terms of productivity.

Statement of the Problem

One of the most important components of the Indian economy has always been coconut farming. Despite the fact that India ranks first in terms of coconut production and productivity, coconut producers in India were experiencing a number of problems, which resulted in a decrease in quality nuts produced, reflecting the competitive nature of the market on a worldwide scale. Lack of awareness of new innovations in crop improvement, lack of quality plant material for farmers, lack of suitable management procedures, and insect concerns must all be addressed carefully in order to make coconut cultivation attractive. Natural calamities, price fluctuations, insect infestations, and rainfall all have a significant impact on a farmer's income. They couldn't always receive the money they needed to plant or develop a crop. Farmers will not be reimbursed for the money they lost due to crop failures or low crop yields. Farmers who grow coconuts must wait more than 5 years to get a return on their investment. Farmers might invest significant sums in crops and manage their family until the coconut is harvested. Crop failures exacerbate farmers' problems. They are having trouble raising finances for intercropping and covering family expenses.

Changes in the demographic characteristics of coconut farmers, such as a shift towards absent landowners, the prevalence of senile and unproductive palms, the prevalence of marginal smallholdings, overcrowded stands of coconut palms and other trees on farms, low adoption of crop management practices resulting in low productivity, crop loss due to the incidence of various pests and diseases, especially the huge losses due to root diseases, inadequate irrigation facilities, lack of availability of quality plant material, lack of skilled labour and high wage rate, low level of product diversification, etc. all negatively affect coconut cultivation. The lack of skilled labour and pest attacks has severely harmed the possibilities for cultivation. One of the key factors that have played havoc on the confidence of coconut growers is the rising cost of labour. Many farmers have abandoned coconut farming in favour of rubber plants, trades, and other lucrative ventures, enticed by the high prices available. Coconut farmers also face fragmentation of plantations for residential and commercial purposes.

¹ Vanamadevi (2017). A study on cultivation and marketing problems of coconut growers in Thali panchayat, Udumalpet. Paper Presented at the International Conference on Research avenues in Social Science, Coimbatore.

Because these tasks are not seen as respectable employment by most people, despite the high pay, there is an increasing lack of trained personnel for climbing and dehusking. Farmers are frequently compelled to convert to other crops and vegetables, which need less capital and provide good yields in a short period of time. Coconut growers experience financial problems as a result of crop failure or low production owing to disease and weather conditions. However, due to a number of obstacles, including a high frequency of pests and diseases, poor agronomic methods, poor quality planting material, and a limited genetic base, nut yield is relatively low. Another major stumbling block to production is a lack of plant material. Inadequate and appropriate technologies in agronomy, pest and disease control, and post-harvest handling and processing have also been developed for smallholder farmers' adoption. Other considerations include the age of coconut palms and the cultivation of low-yielding coconut palm cultivars. The necessity for an agricultural technique that enhances the revenue of coconut fans becomes important in a situation where the coconut business is threatened by repeated uncertainty. As the majority of coconut farmers are illiterate, unorganized, and dispersed, marketing coconut is more difficult. They lack the information and abilities necessary to market their goods. Due to the financial crisis and a lack of lending facilities from a financial institution or cooperative against coconut palms, coconut farmers have small, marginal farms with limited operating capacity, forcing them to sell.

Farmers do not produce copra / oil for sale for a variety of reasons, the most important of which is a less marketable surplus due to the small and marginal size of their farms. Furthermore, due to a lack of storage facilities, they were obliged to sell their goods as soon as it was harvested at low prices to local vendors in the village. Grading, standards, market knowledge, credit availability, storage, and transportation are all insufficient. Furthermore, there is a long chain of middlemen between growers and end customers in the coconut industry, and they take the lion's share of the consumer price. Producers' goals and expectations are dependent on market conditions. Coconut growers, on the other hand, face challenges such as forced sales, various market fees, unethical practices in unregulated markets, and needless middlemen. When the merchant buys the coconuts, he takes a long time to pay the farmers.

The rate per tonne for purchasing coconuts from farmers is not set by the government. As a result, farmers were unable to make a profit from their crops. However, functionaries, with the exception of institutional agencies, have failed to meet the goals of an effective marketing system targeted at attaining remunerative rates through the sale of coconuts and coconut products in the existing marketing system. Due to numerous limitations that directly or indirectly influence farmers, marketing agents predominate in the marketing channels for coconut and its products. Farmers, particularly those who plant coconut groves in monoculture, borrow loans from middlemen / traders to fund their typical production and consumption expenses. Due to fluctuating coconut prices, they are forced to sell these coconuts on the farm as soon as they are harvested. Farmers are unable to engage in activities that might increase the value of their produce. This has a significant impact on the marketing of coconuts and coconut products. In this perspective, the purpose of this research is to address the following questions: What are the several factors that encourage farmers to cultivate coconuts? What level of satisfaction do farmers have with coconut farming? What are the problems that coconut farmers confront in terms of production? What level of satisfaction do farmers have with the marketing of coconuts? What are the problems that farmers encounter when it comes to marketing

coconut? What steps would be taken to ensure that coconuts are grown and sold efficiently? In this regard, the researcher conducted an investigation on coconut production and marketing in the Theni district.

Review of Literature

Chellasamy, et al. (2019)² investigated coconut selling in the Hassan district. This research was conducted among 77 farmers in Hassan district. Farmers were divided into three categories: marginal, small, and large. As samples, the study included 32 marginal farmers, 29 small farmers, and 16 large farms. Taxonomy of marketing channels for coconut farmers' products is also available. Channel I, producer-village trader-retailer and consumers, channel II, producer-wholesalers-retailers and consumers, and channel IV, producer-village-merchant-wholesaler-the retailer and customers. The marketing of coconut through channel-IV is effective, according to this study.

Palanivelu and Muthukrishnan (2019)³ conducted research to identify factors influencing coconut farmers' satisfaction with coconut production and marketing, assess coconut producers' marketing methods, and make recommendations based on the study's findings for coconut farmers' future prospects. A schedule is used to interview the farmers in the sample. 26 farmers were left out due to non-response to some questions and non-cooperation from the farmers in the sample. As a result, there are 240 farmers in the sample. Coconut producers in Coimbatore are clearly abandoning coconut production in favour of other agricultural goods. According to the findings, farmers' satisfaction with coconut cultivation can be improved by giving sufficient training on the various agricultural operations included in coconut farming. This part of training will boost their production, which in turn will increase their satisfaction.

Helen Grace P. Datang, Julie Mier E. Lomanog and Felipe E. Balaria (2019)⁴ investigated coconut production techniques and obstacles in Dingalan, Aurora. A descriptive study was conducted. In Barangay, Matawe, the main coconut-producing district in Dingalan, a total of 50 respondents were chosen. Only those who have been cultivating coconuts for 25 years were chosen as respondents from a total of 398 farmers in Barangay. In Dingalan, a coconut plantation could be managed in terms of size and quantity of trees, but the production was low and needed to be improved. Coconut producers' cultivation practices are too conventional and need to be modified. In Dingalan, the coconut agriculture sector was unprofitable and did not help farmers improve their situation. Technical, natural, and social factors are causing challenges in coconut farming, which can be rectified with strong political measures. According to the findings, schools should create a functioning extension programme to assist Dingalan coconut farmers in improving their farming capacities. Farmers should undergo training in order to become more familiar with a more effective farming system. Farmers should learn how to form a

² Chellasamy, et al. (2019). A study on marketing of coconut in Hassan district of Karnataka. *Journal of the Gujarat Research Society*, 21 (10), 1436-1447.

³ Palanivelu, N., & Muthukrishnan, G. (2019). Problems of coconut marketing in Tamilnadu. *Journal of the Gujarat Research Society*, 21 (10), 1252-1263.

⁴ Helen Grace P. Datang., Julie Mier E. Lomanog., & Felipe E. Balaria (2019). Coconut farming industry in Dingalan, Aurora: Practices and challenges. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science*, 5 (1), 11-17.

cooperative to look into their marketing tactics as well as the added value of their product and any by-products.

Mike Manaros and Ismail Bulent Gurbuz (2020)⁵ examined the challenges that coconut producers confront in terms of labour. The study identified the factors that influenced the cost of labour for coconut farmers. The research was carried out in the Philippines' Lanao del Norte area. The schedule was used to interview 400 coconut farmers in total. According to the study, the province's coconut growers' biggest challenge is the high cost of labour. Coconut producers placed labour shortage second among labour difficulties, indicating that it is a serious issue. The number of workers, land area, and number of coconut trees all has a significant impact on labour costs.

Coconut cultivation in the Theni district was studied by Yesurajan and Sankaranarayanan (2020).⁶ The investigation is analytic in nature. Simple random sampling was used to acquire primary data from 30 coconut growers in the Periyakulam taluk of Theni district. The primary data was collected using a well-structured interview schedule. Secondary data was also gathered from a variety of sources, including the Directorate of Economic and Statistics, Horticulture Division, Food and Agricultural Organization, Census of India, and websites. According to the study, 73.30 per cent of respondents have coconut trees that produce for 6 months to a year. Tall trees and hybrid trees were both planted by the farmers; however the hybrid tree was predominantly cultivated in the study region. In the market, 74% of respondents received a fair price, 16.70% received a good price, and the remaining 9.30% received an unreasonable price. The coconut was sold with the husk by the majority of the farmers, without the husk by 6.60 per cent of the respondents, and 12% of the dry coconut sold in the market. The majority of farmers had marketing issues as a result of price fluctuations and the presence of market middlemen. Hence, the government should devise a unique plan to boost coconut production and marketing. Fertilizers, seeds, machines, and storage facilities are all provided for free. The government should set the market price for coconuts, avoiding the abuse of middlemen and the loss of money for farmers. The government is planned to give coconut growers with equipment such as pumps and crop insurance, as well as a low-interest loan.

Mathuthra and Arumugaswamy (2020)⁷ conducted a study to explore the financial situation of coconut farmers in the Coimbatore district, determine cultivation methods, and investigate the issues that they confront. The sample is made up of 100 people who were chosen at random using convenience sampling. An interview with coconut farmers was used to gather primary data. Books and magazines are used to obtain secondary data. In the case of coconut, cash payment and an reasonable price were the most important factors that influenced the marketing of coconut through direct sales. Coconut growers found that a scarcity of high-quality saplings was the major issue they were concerned about. Finance, exorbitant costs, and a manpower shortage were the major issues. As a result, various measures such as internet marketing, packaging, discounts, and touchless technology can be used to

⁵ Mike Manaros & Ismail Bulent Gurbuz (2020). Assessment of labour issues faced by the coconut producer in Lanao delNorte Province. *Erwerbs-Obstbau*, 62, 195–200.

⁶ Yesurajan, M., & Sankaranarayanan, R. (2020). An analysis of coconut production in Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods*, 8 (8), 145-149.

⁷ Mathuthra, O., & Arumugaswamy, P. (2020). The study of problems faced by coconut growers in Coimbatore district during Covid-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Management*, 11 (12), 2137-2146.

alleviate the problems. In order to normalize the price of coconut, the Department of Agriculture will launch several programmes to educate coconut growers about coconut farming and cultivation.

Kalimuthu and Dharani (2020)8 conducted research to determine coconut farmers' awareness of the coconut market, as well as to learn about the marketing strategies employed by coconut farmers and to investigate the marketing issues they face. A total of 120 farmers provided primary data. The majority of respondents' crop acres (38 per cent) are smaller than 2-3 acres. The majority of respondents (29%) grow coconuts for the purpose of generating long-term income. Organic fertilizers are used by the vast majority of respondents. Around 41% of those surveyed say they rely on their own technical knowledge. The vast majority of responders sell to consumers directly. Growing coconuts, according to the majority of those surveyed, is preferable to other crops. Growing coconuts, according to the majority of those surveyed, is preferable to other crops. The majority of responders said they plan to increase their coconut production. The vast majority of individuals surveyed felt that buyers' offers are average. High cost was ranked 1 by the majority of responders as a concern in marketing coconut inputs. The lack of a stable pricing was ranked 1 by the majority of respondents as a concern in coconut marketing. According to the study, high price fluctuation causes retailers and middlemen to lose money they had expected. Intermediaries, according to the study, should be avoided. The government should come forward and develop a price guarantee scheme to stabilize the price of coconut and its products. The study recommends the formation of a coconut producers' association in order to improve the selling of coconuts. The government may build warehouses in the area to keep the coconuts and pay subsidies for any losses that occur.

Farmers, harvesters, commission agents, exporters, wholesalers, retailers, and processors all confront challenges in the coconut value chain, according to Kalidas, Mahendran, and Akila (2020).9 Respondents were chosen using a multi-stage sampling method. Coimbatore, Tiruppur, Erode, and Namakkal were chosen from among various districts in Tamil Nadu in the first stage. Farmers were chosen from nine taluks in Coimbatore (3), Tiruppur (3), and Namakkal (3), as well as fifteen taluks in Erode district. As a result, a total of 300 coconut growers were chosen. A well-structured interview schedule was used to acquire primary data from respondents. Commission agents, harvesting contractors, exporters, processors, distributors, and retailers were chosen in addition to farmers. The main restraint was irregular and late payments by intermediaries, followed by a lack of market information on prices, high commissions or brokerage fees, an inefficient regulated market, and high transportation and storage expenses. The government should step in and create an institutional system to control prices and communicate market data. Due to the appearance of pests and illnesses, farmers experienced a decline in yield. Daily price fluctuation, a gap between production and nut requirements, and a lack of market intelligence are all issues that most companies in the coconut value chain encounter. Improved coconut output can be achieved by developing a new variety with higher productivity, resistance to pests and diseases, and drought tolerance. To close the gap between demand and supply, the government shall establish an institutional body that will forecast price movements and

⁸ Kalimuthu, M., & Dharani, S. (2020). Study on marketing problems faced by coconut producers with special reference to Sulur taluk. *EPRA International Journal of Research and Development*, 5 (11), 181-186.

⁹ Kalidas, K., Mahendran, K., & Akila, K. (2020). Constraints in coconut value chain – A framework for analysis using response priority index. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 39 (16), 76-82.

availability of coconut, as well as develop creative models to improve technology and market information.

In the Batu Pahat area of Johor, Zubaidah Omara and Fazleen Abdul Fataha (2020)¹⁰ did a study to recommend policy decisions and establish appropriate plans and programme to improve the socioeconomic situations of smallholder coconut growers. Random sampling was used to choose a group of 152 farmers. Profitability was influenced by a variety of factors, including land, labour, fungicides, experience, education and extension visits, according to the findings. The cost-benefit analysis revealed that coconut growing was a viable business in the study area, with a benefit-cost ratio ranging from 5.0 to 8.4. As a result, smallholders will be able to increase their earnings and improve their living conditions. Coconut production profitability is influenced by agronomic methods, government agencies, smallholder expertise, and socio-economic factors. To ensure increased profitability among smallholder coconut producers, the study proposes that appropriate fertilizers, as well as transportation and logistics, should be improved. The availability of price information on the market can assist a smallholder in selecting marketing channels and making judgments for each market in order to maximize profitability. For smallholders to meet the needs of a more modern agricultural sector and for information and communication technologies to effectively deliver and improve their profits and capacities in collective action, additional efforts such as seminars, workshops, or integrated training approaches are required.

Objectives of the Study

Considering the production and marketing of coconut in Theni district, the study was carried out with the following objectives:

- 1. To study the cultivation practices of coconut farmers in the Theni district.
- 2. To determine the level of satisfaction among farmers in Theni district with regard to institutional support for coconut production and marketing.

Testing of Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses were framed and tested in this study using proper statistical tools in order to analyse coconut production and marketing in Theni district.

H₀₁: There is no statistically significant relationship between farmer demographics and satisfaction with institutional support for coconut production and marketing.

 H_{02} : There is no statistically significant association between farmer demographics and satisfaction with coconut production.

H₀₄: There is no statistically significant relationship between farmer demographics and satisfaction

¹⁰ Zubaidah Omara., & Fazleen Abdul Fataha (2020). Unravelling the factors affecting agriculture profitability enterprise: Evidence from coconut smallholder production, *Accounting*, 6 (2020) 493-500.

with coconut marketing.

Scope of the Study

The purpose of this study is to look into the production and marketing of coconut in the Theni district. Farmers in the five taluks of Theni district, namely Theni, Periyakulam, Andipatti, Bodinayakkanur, and Uthamapalayam, are included in the study. Coconut manufacturing and marketing entails a variety of operations. As a result, only the most common practices in coconut production and marketing are examined in this study. Furthermore, the study primarily focuses on the reasons why farmers select coconut farming, farmers' satisfaction with coconut cultivation, coconut growers' production problems, farmers' satisfaction with coconut marketing, and farmers' problems with coconut marketing.

Selection of the Study Area

The Agro-Occidental climate zone encompasses the Theni district. The current minimum temperature is 24 degrees Celsius, and the maximum temperature is 38 degrees Celsius. The district's soil types include sandy loam, clay, and alluvial soil. Fruit crops such as mango, banana, grape, guava, and aonla, tropical vegetables such as bhendi, tomato, brinjal, and onion, temperate vegetables such as cauliflower, beets, and knol-khol, spices and condiments such as pepper and cardamom, and plantation crops such as coffee and tea are the main horticultural crops grown in this district. Agriculture is the most important component of the district's economy, with 30% of the people dependent on agriculture and allied activities for a living. Coconuts are commonly grown in this region. Coconuts are cultivated in both rainfed and irrigated environments. Hence, the researcher opted to conduct the investigation in his hometown of Theni.

Sampling Design

Theni district had five taluks as of 31.08.2020. For the purposes of the research, the researcher used multistage sampling to obtain primary data. In the first stage, the district of Theni was purposefully chosen as the study area. The five taluks of Theni district were chosen in the second stage using the census method. In the third stage, simple random sampling was used to select 25% of the revenue villages from each of the taluks. At the final stage, 20 farmers were chosen at random from each of the revenue villages. Thus, the sample size is 560 farmers. The sample distribution for this study is shown in the table below.

Table 1: Sampling Distribution

		Number of	Samples		
S. No.	Taluk	Revenue Villages	Revenue Villages	Farmers	
1	Theni	12	3	60	
2	Periyakulam	22	5	100	
3	Andipatti	25	6	120	
4	Bodinayakkanur	15	4	80	

5	Uthamapalayam	39	10	200
	Total	113	28	560

Data Collection

This research is based on a survey method and is empirical in nature. Both primary and secondary data were used in this study. The study was mainly based on primary data collected from 560 farmers in Theni district who grow and market coconuts. The schedule method was employed to collect primary data due to the low level of education and farmers' lack of awareness about coconut production and marketing. A pilot survey with 25 farmers was undertaken in December 2020 to determine the relevance of the questions. In light of the experience obtained during the pilot study, the adequate information in the schedule has been tested, and the necessary changes have been made into the new schedule. Secondary data was employed in the study to offer general information on coconut production and marketing. Books, journals, periodicals, theses, and websites were used to gather secondary data. The National Horticultural Board, the Coconut Development Board, and the Ministry of Agriculture of the Government of India provided the most important secondary sources of information. To arrive at useful findings, the data was placed into a master table and tabulated.

Data Collection Period

The study gathered primary data during a 6-month period, from January to June 2020.

Framework of Analysis

Analysis of variance, student t test, chi-square test, coefficient of variation, multiple regression analysis, factor analysis, and multiple discriminant function analysis were used to examine coconut production and marketing. The relationship between farmer demographics and satisfaction with institutional support for coconut production and marketing was investigated using an analysis of variance and a student t test. Consistency of farmers' satisfaction with institutional support for coconut production and marketing is measured using the coefficient of variation. The effect of farmer demographics on their acceptance of coconut production problems is measured using multiple regression analysis.

The relationship between the demographics of farmers and their acceptance of the problems experienced in coconut marketing was studied using analysis of variance and the student t test. The coefficient of variation is used to assess the consistency with which farmers accept the challenges of coconut marketing. The researcher employed multiple discriminant function analysis to look at how coconut marketing problems differ between the three types of producers: marginal and small farmers, medium farmers, and big farmers. The effect of farmer demographics on their acceptance of the problems experienced in coconut marketing is measured using multiple regression analysis. In addition, percentage analysis and descriptive statistics are used to arrive at meaningful results in this study.

Limitations of the Study

how traders and processing firms report it. In addition, the study has the following limitations.

- 1. Incomplete answers and non-answers to certain questions are unavoidable in any attitude survey. However, great care is taken to ensure that the investigation is as objective and systematic as possible.
- 2. The investigation is constrained by time and resources, which the researcher is usually confronted with. Only 560 farmers from five taluks in the Theni district were chosen for the study. As a result of various flaws in the sample selection, the survey results are difficult to extrapolate to the entire population.
- 3. As the study relies on primary data, farmers' responses may be skewed by their socio-economic background.

Demographic Profile of Coconut Farmers

Individual demographic profiles serve as unique identifiers. It contains basic information such as gender, age, education, occupation, and income, among other things. Table 4.1 shows the demographic profiles of the farmers in the sample.

Table 2: Demographic Profile of Coconut Farmers

Farmer	Demographics	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	427	76.25
Gender	Female	133	23.75
	Upto 30	31	5.54
Ago (yoorg)	31-40	100	17.86
Age (years)	41-50	311	55.54
	Above 50	118	21.07
	Upto SSLC	53	9.46
Education	H.Sc	211	37.68
Education	Degree	240	42.86
	PG and above	56	10.00
	Upto 250000	63	11.25
Annual income (Da)	250001-500000	178	31.79
Annual income (Rs.)	500000 - 750000	255	45.54
	Above 750000	64	11.43
	Marginal and small farmer	231	41.25
Farmer's category	Medium farmer	188	33.57
	Big farmer	141	25.19
Marital status	Married	488	87.14
iviai itai status	Unmarried	72	12.86
Eamily type	Joint family	108	19.23
Family type	Nuclear family	452	80.71

No. of family	Upto 5	357	63.75
No. of family members	6 and 7	117	20.89
	7 and above	86	15.36
	Theni	60	10.71
	Periyakulam	100	17.86
Taluk	Andipatti	120	21.43
	Bodinayakkanur	80	14.29
	Uthamapalayam	200	35.71

76.25 per cent of the 560 farmers in the sample are male, while 23.75 per cent are female. 5.54 per cent of the respondents are under the age of 30, 17.86 per cent are between the ages of 31 and 40, 55.54 per cent are between the ages of 41 and 50, and 21.07 per cent are over the age of 50. SSLC education is held by 9.46 per cent of respondents, H.Sc is held by 37.68 per cent, graduates are 42.86 per cent and PG and above qualifications are held by 10% of respondents. The group with the highest annual income, Rs. 500000-750000, is followed by the group with the annual income, Rs. 250001-500000, with 31.79 per cent of respondents. 11.25 per cent of respondents have an annual income of less than Rs. 250000 and 11.43 per cent have an annual income of more than Rs. 750000. A total of 41.25 per cent of the 560 farmers in the sample are marginal and small farmers, 33.57 per cent are medium farmers, and 25.19 per cent are big farmers. Of the total, 87.14 per cent of farmers are married and 12.86 per cent of farmers are unmarried. A joint family accounts for 19.23% of farmers, whereas a nuclear family accounts for 80.71 per cent. 63.75 per cent of the 560 farmers in the sample had up to five family members, 20.89 per cent have six to seven family members, and 15.36 per cent have seven or more family members. The taluks of Theni, Periyakulam, and Andipatti are home to 10.71 per cent, 17.86 per cent, and 21.43 per cent of the respondents, respectively. Bodinayakkanur taluk has 14.29 per cent of the responders, while Uthamapalayam taluk has 35.71 per cent.

Farmers' Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Producers of coconuts from various demographic groups were surveyed on their satisfaction with the coconut marketing. A study was done to see whether there is a relationship between farmer demographics and satisfaction with coconut marketing.

Table 3: Gender and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Gender	No. of Respondents	Mean	Coefficient of Variation	Calculated Chi- square Value	DF	Table Value at 5% Level	Result
Male	427	37.79	12.44	1.497	558	1.964	Not
Female	133	38.50	13.51				significant

Total 560

The calculated t-value for 558 degrees of freedom is (1.497) less than the table value (1.964) at the 5% significance level. As a result, there is no difference between a farmers' gender and their satisfaction with coconut marketing. The null hypothesis (H_{04}) is thus accepted. This means that the gender of the producers has no influence on the coconut's marketing. Farmers who are female had the highest average satisfaction score (38.50), followed by farmers who are male. This indicates that women farmers are more satisfied with coconut marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (13.51) per cent) among female farmers and it is low (12.44) per cent) among male farmers. As a result, male farmers' satisfaction with coconut marketing is consistent.

Table 4: Age and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Age (years)	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Upto 30	31	40.32	5.30	13.14
31-40	100	38.41	4.94	12.86
41-50	311	37.75	4.83	12.79
Above 50	118	37.50	4.44	11.84
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Source: Primary Data.

Relationship between Age and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Source of Variation	Sum of Square	DF	Mean Square	Calculated F Value	Table Value at 5% Level	Result
Between groups	232.070	3	77.357			
Within groups	12790.901	556	23.005	3.363	2.621	Significant
Total	13022.971	559				

For 3 and 556 degrees of freedom, the calculated F value (3.363) is less than the table value (2.621) at the 5% significance level. As a result, there is no significant relationship between farmers' age and their satisfaction with coconut marketing. The null hypothesis (H_{04}) is thus accepted. This means that the age of the growers has no influence on the coconut's marketing. Farmers under the age

of 30 have the highest average satisfaction score (40.32), followed by those between the ages of 31 and 40. Farmers over the age of 50 have a low average satisfaction score (37.50). Farmers under the age of 30 are more satisfied with the marketing of coconuts, according to the data. The variation in satisfaction is high (13.14 per cent) among farmers under 30 and it is low (11.84 per cent) among farmers over 50. As a result, the satisfaction of farmers over 50 with coconut marketing is consistent.

Table 5: Education and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Education	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Upto SSLC	53	37.83	4.85	12.82
H.Sc	211	37.91	4.39	11.58
Degree	240	38.06	5.71	15.00
PG and above	56	38.57	5.44	14.10
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Source: Primary Data.

Relationship between Education and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Source of Variation	Sum of Square	DF	Mean Square	Calculated F Value	Table Value at 5% Level	Result
Between groups	25.967	3	8.656			Not
Within groups	12997.005	556	23.376	0.370	2.621	significant
Total	13022.971	559				

At the 5% significant level, the calculated F value for 3 and 556 degrees of freedom is (0.370) less than the table value (2.621). As a result, there is no significant relationship between farmer education and satisfaction with coconut marketing. As a result, the null hypothesis (H_{04}) is accepted. This suggests that farmer education has no significant influence on the marketing of coconuts. Farmers with PG and above qualification had the highest average satisfaction score (38.57), followed by farmers with a degree. Farmers with up to SSLC education have a low level of satisfaction (37.83). As a result, farmers with PG and above qualification are more satisfied with coconut marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (15.00 per cent) among farmers with a degree and it is low (11.58 per cent) among farmers with H.Sc education. Thus, there is consistency in the satisfaction of farmers who have H.Sc education with coconut marketing.

Table 6: Annual Income and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Annual Income (Rs.)	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Upto 250000	63	37.63	4.63	12.30
250001-500000	178	38.71	4.84	12.50
500000 - 750000	255	37.68	5.30	14.07
Above 750000	64	37.44	4.91	13.11
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Relationship between Annual Income and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Source of Variation	Sum of Square	DF	Mean Square	Calculated F Value	Table Value at 5% Level	Result
Between groups	151.575	3	50.525			
Within groups	12871.396	556	23.150	2.183	2.621	Not significant
Total	13022.971	559				

At the 5% significance level, the calculated F-value for 3 and 556 degrees of freedom is (2.183) smaller than the table value (2.621). As a result, there is no significant relationship between annual income and farmer satisfaction with coconut marketing. As a result, the null hypothesis (H_{04}) is accepted. This means that the farmers' annual income has no influence on the marketing of the coconut. The highest average satisfaction score (38.71) belongs to farmers earning between Rs. 250000 and Rs. 500000, followed by farmers earning between Rs. 500000 and Rs. 750000, per annum. Farmers with an annual income of more than 750000 rupees have a low average satisfaction score (37.44). As a result, farmers having an annual income of Rs.250001-500000 are more satisfied with coconut marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (14.07%) among farmers with annual income of Rs. 500000-750000 and it is low (12.30%) among farmers with annual income up to Rs.250000. Thus, there is consistency in the satisfaction of farmers earning up to Rs. 250000 per year with the marketing of coconut.

Table 5.6: Farmers' Category and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Farmers' Category	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
-------------------	-----------------------	------	-----------------------	-----------------------------

Small and marginal farmer	231	37.31	4.32	11.58
Medium farmer	188	39.21	5.26	13.41
Big farmer	141	37.35	4.71	12.61
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Relationship between Farmers' Category and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Source of Variation	Sum of Square	DF	Mean Square	Calculated F Value	Table Value at 1% Level	Result
Between groups	442.532	2	221.266			
Within groups	12580.440	557	22.586	9.797	4.644	Significant
Total	13022.971	559				

For 2 and 557 degrees of freedom, the calculated F value (9.797) is greater than the table value (4.644) at the 5% significance level. As a result, there is a significant relationship between the category of farmer and their level of satisfaction with coconut marketing. As a result, the null hypothesis (H_{04}) is rejected. This indicates that the category of farmers has a substantial influence on coconut marketing. The average satisfaction score for medium farmers is 39.21, followed by big farmers. The average level of satisfaction among marginal and small farmers is low (37.31). As a result, medium farmers growers are more satisfied with coconut marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (13.41%) among medium farmers and it is low (11.58%) among marginal and small farmers. As a result, marginal and small farmers' satisfaction with coconut marketing is consistent.

Table 5.7: Taluk by Farmers and Satisfaction with Institutional Support for Coconut Production and Marketing

Taluk	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Theni	60	38.93	4.67	12.00
Periyakulam	100	37.78	4.69	12.41
Andipatti	120	36.98	4.41	11.93
Bodinayakkanur	80	38.91	5.93	15.24

Uthamapalayam	200	35.68	3.52	09.87
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Relationship between Taluk by Farmers and Satisfaction with Institutional Support for Coconut Production and Marketing

Source of Variation	Sum of Square	DF	Mean Square	Calculated F Value	Table Value at 1% Level	Result
Between groups	689.454	4	172.363			
Within groups	12333.517	555	22.223	7.756	3.353	Significant
Total	13022.971	559				

For 4 and 555 degrees of freedom, the calculated F value (7.756) is greater than the table value (3.353) at the 1% significance level. As a result, there is a significant relationship between farmers from diverse taluks and their level of satisfaction with coconut marketing. Hence, the null hypothesis (H_{04}) is rejected. This indicates that farmer taluks have a significant influence on coconut marketing. Then taluk farmers had the highest average satisfaction score (38.93), followed by Bodinayakkanur taluk farmers. Farmers in the Uthamapalayam taluk have a low average satisfaction score (35.68). As a result, the farmers in Theni taluk are more satisfied with the coconut marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (15.24%) among Bodinayakkanur taluk farmers and it is low (9.87%) among Uthamapalayam taluk farmers. Thus, the satisfaction of the Uthamapalayam taluk farmers with the marketing of coconut is consistent.

Table 5.8: Type of Coconut Palm and Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing

Type of Coconut Palm	No. of Respondents	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation
Dwarf coconut	354	38.26	4.68	12.23
Tall coconut	206	37.78	4.91	13.00
Total	560	37.96	4.83	12.72

Source: Primary Data.

Satisfaction with Coconut Marketing among Dwarf and Tall Coconut Farmers

Calculated Chi- square Value	DF	Table Value at 5% Level	Result
1.141	558	1.964	Not significant

The calculated t-value for 558 degrees of freedom is (1.141) less than the table value (1.964) at the 5% significance level. As a result, there is no significant difference in farmer satisfaction with coconut marketing between dwarf and tall kinds of coconut palms. The null hypothesis (H_{04}) is thus accepted. This means that the type of coconut palm grown by the farmers has no significant influence on the coconut's marketing. Farmers who plant a dwarf coconut palm variety have the greatest average satisfaction score (38.26), followed by farmers who plant a tall coconut palm variety. This indicates that farmers who plant a dwarf coconut palm variety are more satisfied with the coconut's marketing. The variation in satisfaction is high (13.00 per cent) among farmers growing a tall variety of coconut palms and it is low (12.23 per cent) among farmers growing a dwarf variety of coconut palm. Farmers who cultivate a dwarf variety of coconut palm are consistently satisfied with the marketing of coconut.

Reference:

- 1. Anbuoli, P. (2019). Factors influencing coconut cultivation and marketing in Madurai district, Tamilnadu. Adalya Journal, 8 (12), 133-141.
- 2. Chellasamy, et al. (2019). A study on marketing of coconut in Hassan district of Karnataka. Journal of the Gujarat Research Society, 21 (10), 1436-1447.
- 3. Gurbuz, I.B., & Manaros, M. (2019). Impact of coconut production on the environment and the problems faced by coconut producers in Lanao Del Norte Province, Philippines. Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, 19 (3), 235-246.
- 4. Helen Grace P. Datang., Julie Mier E. Lomanog., & Felipe E. Balaria (2019). Coconut farming industry in Dingalan, Aurora: Practices and challenges. International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science, 5 (1), 11-17.
- 5. Henry Louis, I. (2022). Coconut the wonder palm. Working Paper. Coconut Corporation, Nagercoil, Tamil Nadu, 11-12.
- 6. Kalidas, K., Mahendran, K., & Akila, K. (2020). Constraints in coconut value chain A framework for analysis using response priority index. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 39 (16), 76-82.
- 7. Kalimuthu, M., & Dharani, S. (2020). Study on marketing problems faced by coconut producers with special reference to Sulur taluk. EPRA International Journal of Research and Development, 5 (11), 181-186.
- 8. Mathuthra, O., & Arumugaswamy, P. (2020). The study of problems faced by coconut growers in Coimbatore district during Covid-19 pandemic. International Journal of Management, 11 (12), 2137-2146.
- 9. Mike Manaros & Ismail Bulent Gurbuz (2020). Assessment of labour issues faced by the coconut producer in Lanao del Norte Province. Erwerbs-Obstbau, 62, 195–200.

- 10. Palanivelu, N., & Muthukrishnan, G. (2019). Problems of coconut marketing in Tamilnadu. Journal of the Gujarat Research Society, 21 (10), 1252-1263.
- 11. Veerakumar, K. (2019). Challenges of agriculturists in coconut cultivation of Meenakshipuram village, Chittur taluk, Kerala. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8 (11S), 601-605.
- 12. Veerakumaran, G., & Vinaikumar, E. (2019). Problems and prospects of coconut producer organizations in Kerala An exploratory study. Smart Journal of Business Management Studies, 15 (1), 68-73.
- 13. Venugopal, P., & Sangeetha, P. (2019). Problems and prospects of coconut product marketing in Coimbatore district. Suraj Punj Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 9 (2), 46-54.
- **14.** Zubaidah Omara., & Fazleen Abdul Fataha (2020). Unravelling the factors affecting agriculture profitability enterprise: Evidence from coconut smallholder production, Accounting, 6 (2020) 493-500.