

## Academic Procrastination Among Under Graduate Students Of Professional/ Non- Professional Courses In Relation To Usage Of Mobile Applications

Harpreet Kaur Assistant Prof., Batala College of Education, Batala.

#### Abstract:

This study investigates academic procrastination among under graduate students studying in professional/ non- professional courses in relation to usage of mobile applications (with high, average and low level). Random sampling was employed on the sample of 800 students. A significant difference in academic procrastination among undergraduate students studying in different professional/ non- professional courses was found with high, average and high and low usage of educational mobile application as well as with high, average and high and low usage of non-educational mobile applications; no significant difference in academic procrastination was found among the undergraduate students studying in professional/ non- professional courses using educational mobile applications; a significant interaction effect of Usage of Educational Mobile Applications/ Usage of Non-Educational Mobile Applications under graduate students studying in different courses was found on the scores of on academic procrastination. No significant difference in academic procrastination among undergraduate students studying in different professional/ non- professional courses was found with high, average and high and low usage of non-educational mobile applications; a significant difference in academic procrastination was found among the undergraduate students studying in professional/ non- professional courses using noneducational mobile applications; a significant interaction effect of usage of educational mobile applications/ usage of non-educational mobile applications under graduate students studying in different courses was found on the scores of on academic procrastination.

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Procrastination is defined as 'voluntarily delay of an intended action despite knowing to be worse off for the delay' (Steel, 2007). Academic procrastination is a deliberate deferral of the initiation or completion of tasks required to finish an academic activity. (Schouwenburg, 2004). When delay is developed into a dispositional response to tasks that are perceived to be difficult, aversive, or lacking an immediate reward, procrastination can be viewed as a generalized personality trait (Steel and Ferrari, 2013; Sirois, 2014; Kroese and de Ridder, 2015; de Palo et al., 2017). Procrastination is a complicated problem found throughout society, particularly among the general public, and it manifests itself in both the academic 3619 | Harpreet Kaur Academic Procrastination Among Under Graduate Students Of Professional/ Non- Professional Courses In Relation To Usage Of Mobile Applications

and business worlds (Ferrari, Johnson & McCown; 1995). Procrastination is the result of putting off unpleasant chores because of a sense of powerlessness as well as the lack of control of a person. Schraw et al. (2007) states the academic delay as 'delay of work which must be completed intentionally.' Academic delays can be described as delaying academic tasks so that optimum performance becomes very unlikely and leads to psychological distress (Ferrari, Johnson, & McCown, 1995; Ellis & Knaus, 1977). Academic procrastination is a complex issue that plays an important part in student life. Meta-analysis studies on the issue showed that males have a higher tendency to procrastinate (Steel, 2007; Van Eerde, 2000).

Scholars puts delay among students as; Day, Sullivan, & Mensink (2000), 50% of the students are problem-based and consistent; Onwuegbuzie (2004), 70% of students want to reduce their degree in academic activities; Ferrari, Ozer and Demir, (2009) 52% of students involved in delays; Klassen et al. (2010) 59% more than three hours a day delay. Many scholars believe that procrastination reflects a form of self-regulation failure (Ferrari, 2001; Sirois et al., 2003); lacking of the self-control (Sirois & Pychyl; 2013) and goal-management ability (Gustavson et al., 2014); Joseph and Varghese (2021) explored 20% to 40% of pupils are at high risk of developing Internet Addiction.

Kirschner and Karpinski (2010) explored that majority of Face Book users reported a negative impact, as it causes procrastination; problematic internet usage increases students' procrastination, Online activities (whatsapp) and academic preparation and distracts students from completing their assignments (Yeboah & Ewur, 2014; Janor, et.al., 2015). Yeboah & Ewur, (2014) explored that whatsapp is improving the effective flow of information and idea exchange among students, as Whatsapp and Facebook are used to make friends and converse, majority of respondents reported negative impacts such as bad language and spelling, late assignment submission, reduced study time, and poor academic achievement (Mingles & Adams; 2015). Yin (2016) revealed that students of both genders have good opinions regarding WhatsApp mobile learning; Okocha, Sani, and Paul (2017) puts technology as, that facilitates and enhances communication among families, friends, lecturers, and interactive learning. Lukas and Berking (2018) MT-PRO not only decreased overall procrastination, but it had improved academic procrastination; Qaisar et. al. (2017) revealed that the combined impacts of PMP usage and academic procrastination had not emerged as significant indicators of academic performance. Derakhsh et. al. (2018) revealed a substantial and positive relationship between the usage of social networks and academic procrastination. D'Souza et.al (2018) found Instagram addiction was more widespread among non-professional students; the length of membership in a social networking site influences academic procrastination (Efe & Efe; 2018); more students used social media, the more academic procrastination they had (Muslikah et.al; 2018). Sheikhlar and Sadeghpour (2019) found usage of social networks had an indirect relationship with academic

procrastination; smartphone addiction was associated with academic procrastination Li et.al. (2020).

Procrastination and non-educational apps are very much in use among students. Individuals who habitually procrastinate are more likely to indulge in immediate pleasure, meanwhile ignoring the pursuit of future goals (Sirois and Pychyl, 2013). Therefore, compared with tasks that are boring and (or) take effort, distractors characterized by immediate gratification are more attractive to procrastinators (Wang et al., 2019a). Mobile phones, in light of their powerful functions for entertainment and social contact, and their high accessibility can become an obsession for college students very easily.

### **OBJECTIVES:**

1. To study the difference between under graduate students studying in professional courses and non-professional courses with different level of usage of educational mobile applications.

2. To study the difference in academic procrastination of under graduate studying in professional courses and non-professional courses using educational mobile applications.

3. To study the interaction effect of usage of educational mobile applications and nature of course of under graduate students studying on the scores of academic procrastination.

4. To study the difference between under graduate students studying in professional courses and non-professional courses with different level of usage of non-educational mobile applications.

5. To study the difference in academic procrastination of under graduate studying in professional courses and non-professional courses using non-educational mobile applications.

6. To study the interaction effect of usage of non-educational mobile applications and nature of course of under graduate students studying on the scores of academic procrastination.

#### SAMPLE OF THE STUDY:

Random sampling was employed on the sample of 800 students of 1st, 2nd, or 3rd year of graduation classes of Professional and Non-Professional courses with high, average and low usage of mobile applications, studying in GNDU and its affiliated college of Amritsar District.

### **TOOLS USED:**

1. Scale on Mobile App Usage (Constructed by the investigator).

2. Academic Procrastination (Marks obtained in the previous class).

**1. 3X2** factorial Analysis of Variance of Usage of Educational Mobile Application in relation to Nature of Course of Undergraduate Students on Academic Procrastination

The mean and S.D. of usages of educational mobile applications of undergraduate Students studying in different courses, F-value has been calculated and presented in the Table 1:

Usage of Educational	Nature of Course	Mean	S. D.	N
<b>Mobile Application</b>				
level				
Usage of High Non-	Professional Courses	71.47	13.04	137
Educational Mobile	Non- Professional	72.58	11.45	79
Applications	Courses			
	Total	71.88	12.47	216
Usage of Average Non-	Professional Courses	72.55	11.04	201
Educational Mobile	Non- Professional	70.93	8.50	167
Applications	Courses			
	Total	68.85	10.12	368
Usage of Low Non-	Professional Courses	69.98	9.22	108
Educational Mobile	Non- Professional	72.40	9.73	108
Applications	Courses			
	Total	72.90	9.49	216

Table 1: Mean scores on Academic Procrastination of Undergraduate Students studying in different courses of Usage of Educational Mobile Applications

Table 2: Summary of One-way ANOVA of Under-Graduate Students studying in different courses of Usage of Educational Mobile Application on Academic Procrastination

Sources of Variation	Sum of Square	df	Mean Sum of Square	F-Ratio
Non-Educational Mobile Apps Usage	2811.68	2	1405.84	12.55**
(A)				
Educational Stream (B)	194.88	1	194.88	1.74
Interaction (AXB)	959.00	2	479.50	4.28**
Error Term	86835.96	794	109.37	

\*Significant at 0.05 level, \*\*Significant at 0.01 level

Analysis of Variance on the scores of usage of Educational Mobile Application in relation to Nature of Course of Undergraduate Students on Academic Procrastination Main Effect

A) Usage of Educational Mobile Application

It may be observed from the table 2 that the F-ratio (12.55) for difference between the mean scores of academic procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different (Professional and Non-Professional) courses with high, average and low groups of educational mobile apps usage of was found to be significant at 0.01 level of confidence. This indicates that different level of usages of educational mobile applications (high, average and low) doe affect undergraduate students studying in different (Professional) and Non-Professional) courses.

In order to probe deeper, F-ratio was followed by t-test. The value of t-ratio for difference in mean scores of academic procrastination of high, average and low groups of Undergraduate students with different levels of usages of educational mobile applications Usage has been placed in table 3.

Variable	Usage of High	Usage of Average	Usage of Low	
	Mobile	<b>Mobile Applications</b>	Mobile	
	Applications	N Mean	Applications	
	N Mean	SD	N Mean	
	SD	368 76.07	SD	
	216 71.88	10.12	216 76.56	
	12.47		9.49	
Usage of High	-	4.43**	4.39**	
<b>Mobile Applications</b>				
N Mean SD				
216 71.88				
12.47				
Usage of Average	4.43**	-	0.57	
<b>Mobile Applications</b>				
N Mean SD				
368 76.07				
10.12				
Usage of Low	4.39**	0.57	-	
<b>Mobile Applications</b>				
N Mean SD				
216 76.56				
9.49				

Table 3: t-ratio for Academic Procrastination of Undergraduate students studying in different type of courses with different levels of usage of Educational Mobile Applications

Table 4 shows the mean scores for academic procrastination of undergraduate studentsstudying in different (Professional and Non-Professional) courses with high and average3623 | Harpreet KaurAcademic Procrastination Among Under Graduate StudentsOf Professional/ Non- Professional Courses In Relation To Usage Of MobileApplications

groups of usages of educational mobile applications. The t-value (4.43), testing the significance of mean difference on academic procrastination of undergraduate students with high and average level of usages of educational mobile applications and t-value (4.39), testing the significance of mean difference on academic procrastination of undergraduate students with high and low level of usages of educational mobile applications, in comparison to table value was found to be significant at 0.01 level of confidence; while t-value (0.57), testing the significance of mean difference on academic procrastination of Undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses with high and average level of usages of educational mobile applications, in comparison to table value was not found to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant difference between under graduate students studying in professional courses and non-professional courses with different level of usage of educational mobile applications", stands partially rejected. So, it may be inferred that there exists significant difference in academic procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses with high and average level of usages of educational mobile applications; while there exists significant difference in academic procrastination of Undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses with average and low level usages of educational mobile applications.

#### **B) Educational Stream**

It may be observed from the table 3 that the F-ratio (1.74) for difference between the mean scores on the scores of academic procrastination of Undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses was not found to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant difference in academic procrastination of under graduate studying in professional courses and non-professional courses using educational mobile applications" is not rejected. This indicates that nature of course of undergraduate students' do not effect on the scores of academic procrastination.

#### **First Order Interaction effect**

It may be observed from the table 3 that the F-ratio (4.28) for interaction between usages of educational mobile applications (high, average and low) and Nature of Course of undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional in comparison to table value was found significant; as the difference between the mean scores of high, average and low groups of usages of non-educational mobile applications usage of Undergraduate students on academic procrastination was found to be significant at 0.01 level of confidence. This indicates that different levels of usages of non-educational mobile applications (high, average and low) and Nature of Course of Undergraduate students students students on academic procrastination was found to be significant at 0.01 level of confidence. This indicates that different levels of usages of non-educational mobile applications (high, average and low) and Nature of Course of Undergraduate students students students on their scores of academic procrastination.

Hence the Hypothesis, 'There exists be no significant interaction effect of usages of educational mobile applications of undergraduate students studying in professional and non-professional courses on the scores of Academic Procrastination', stands rejected. It may be concluded that there was significant difference in the mean scores on academic procrastination of under-graduate students due to interaction effect of usages of educational mobile applications and Nature of Course.

### 2. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE ON ACADEMIC PROCRASTINATION WITH USAGE OF NON-EDUCATIONAL MOBILE APPLICATIONS

**3X2 factorial Analysis of Variance of Usage of Non-Educational Mobile Application in relation to Nature of Course of Undergraduate Students on Academic Procrastination** The mean and S.D. of usages of non-educational mobile applications of Undergraduate Students studying in different courses, F-value has been calculated and presented in the Table 5 below:

Usage of Educational	Nature of Course	Mean	S. D.	Ν
<b>Mobile Applications</b>				
level				
Usage of High Non-	Professional Courses	73.90	12.122	137
Educational Mobile	Non- Professional	70.24	0 474	79
Applications	Courses	79.34	0.474	
	Total	76.22	11.032	216
Usage of Average Non-	Professional Courses	74.13	11.618	201
Educational Mobile	Non- Professional	75 78	8 0 2 3	167
Applications	Courses	/ 5./0	0.923	
	Total	74.88	10.500	368
Usage of Low Non-	Professional Courses	74.73	10.655	108
Educational Mobile Non- Professional		73.63	11 607	108
Applications	Courses	/ 5.05	11.007	
	Total	74.25	11.071	216

Table 5: Mean scores on Academic Procrastination of Undergraduate Students studying in different courses with Usage of Non-Educational Mobile Applications

Table 6: Summary of One-way ANOVA of under-Graduate Students studying in different courses of Usage of Non-Educational Mobile Applications on Academic Procrastination

Sources of Variation	Sum of	df	Mean Sum of	F-
	Square		Square	Ratio

Usage of Non-Educational	2811.68	2	1405.84	2 0 2
Mobile Applications (A)				2.92
Different courses (B)	194.88	1	194.88	6.41*
Interaction (AXB)	959.00	2	479.50	4.98*
Error Term	86835.96	794	109.37	

\*Significant at 0.05 level, \*\*Significant at 0.01 level

### Analysis of Variance on the scores of Usage of Educational Mobile Applications in relation to Nature of Course of undergraduate Students on Academic Procrastination Main Effect

### A) Usage of Educational Mobile Applications

It may be observed from the table 5 that the F-ratio (2.92) for difference between the mean scores of academic procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different (Professional and Non-Professional) courses with high, average and low groups of usage of educational mobile applications of was not found to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant difference between under graduate students studying in professional courses and non-professional courses with different level of usage of non-educational mobile applications", stands rejected. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant courses with different level of usage of non-educational mobile applications", stands rejected. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant difference between under graduate students studying in professional courses and non-professional courses with different level of usage of educational mobile applications", stands rejected. Hence the Hypothesis of usage of educational mobile applications (high, average and low) do not effect Undergraduate students studying in different (Professional and Non-Professional) courses on the scores of academic procrastination.

#### **B)** Nature of Course

It may be observed from the table 5 that the F-ratio (6.41) for difference between the mean scores on the scores of academic procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses was found to be significant at 0.05 level of confidence. This indicates that nature of course of undergraduate students does affect the scores of academic procrastination.

Table 7: t-ratio for Academic Procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different type of courses

Stream	Mean	S.D.	Ν	t-value
Professional courses	74.23	11.49	446	2.47*
Non-professional courses	76.13	9.81	354	

It may be observed from the table 7 that mean scores for academic procrastination of undergraduate students studying in different Professional and Non-Professional courses of study. The t-value (2.47), testing the significance of mean difference on academic procrastination of Undergraduate students in comparison to table value was found to be significant at 0.05 level. Hence the Hypothesis "There exists no significant difference in academic procrastination of under graduate studying in professional courses and non-professional courses using non-educational mobile applications" stands rejected. This indicates that the undergraduate students studying in Non-professional nature course scored more on academic procrastination than the undergraduate students of professional courses.

### First Order Interaction effect

It may be observed from the table 6 that the F-ratio (4.98) for interaction between usages of non-educational mobile applications (high, average and low) and studying in different Professional and Non-professional courses in comparison to table value was found to be significant; as the difference between the mean scores of high, average and low groups of usages of non-educational mobile applications of undergraduate students on academic procrastination was found to be significant at 0.01 level of confidence. This indicates that different levels of usages of educational mobile applications (high, average and low) and nature of course Professional and Non-professional courses do affect the Undergraduate students on their scores of Academic Procrastination.

Hence the Hypothesis, 'There exists be no significant interaction effect of usages of educational mobile applications of undergraduate students studying in professional and non-professional courses on the scores of Academic Procrastination', stands rejected. It may be concluded that there was significant difference in the mean scores on academic procrastination of under-graduate students due to interaction effect of usage of educational mobile applications and Nature of Course.

#### Findings:

1. A significant difference in academic procrastination among undergraduate students studying in different professional and non-professional courses was found with high and average as well as with high and low usage of educational mobile application; while no difference in academic procrastination was found among undergraduate students with average and low levels of usage of educational mobile application.

2. No significant difference in academic procrastination was found among the undergraduate students studying in different professional and non-professional courses students.

3. A significant difference in the academic procrastination of under-graduate students due to interaction effect of usage of educational mobile application and different professional and non-professional courses students was observed.

4. No significant difference in academic procrastination among undergraduate students studying in different professional and non-professional courses was found with high, average and low usage of non-educational mobile application.

5. A significant difference in academic procrastination was found among the undergraduate students studying in different professional and non-professional courses students. Students studying in professional course were less prone to academic procrastination than the students studying in non-professional courses.

6. A significant difference in the mean scores on academic procrastination of undergraduate students due to interaction effect of usage of educational mobile application and different professional and non-professional courses students was observed.

### **Educational Implications:**

Procrastination is positively related to college students' mobile phone addiction, so there is need to to guide college students to reduce procrastination behavior and then overcome procrastination habits. On one hand, teachers and counsellors should help students realize that procrastination can have a negative influence on their mental health and increase the potential possibility of problematic behavior. On the other, intervention strategies should aim at reducing procrastination such as making plans and the "implementation intentions" method can be applied to trait procrastinators.

#### **References:**

- Akinci, T. (2021). Determination of Predictive Relationships Between Problematic Smartphone use, SelfRegulation, Academic Procrastination and Academic Stress Through Modelling. International Journal of Progressive Education, 17(1), 35-53.
- Bian, M., & Leung, L. (2015). Linking loneliness, shyness, smartphone addiction symptoms, and patterns of smartphone use to social capital. Social Science Computer Review, 33(1), 61–79.
- Derakhsh, S. A. Z., ZareiZavaraki, I., & Zadeh, H. A. (2018).the role of social networks on academic procrastination and exam anxiety. Asian Journal of Science and Technology, 9(1), 7253-7257.
- D'Souza, L., & Sowmya H. R. (2018). Instagram Addiction among Students Pursuing Medical and Non-Professional Courses: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 6(4), 4-10. DIP:18.01.121/20180604, DOI:10.25215/0604.121.
- Day, V., Mensink, V., & O'Sullivan, M. (2000). Patterns of academic procrastination. Journal of College Reading and Learning, 30 (2), 120-134.

- de Palo, V., Limone, P., & Monacis, L. (2016). Why university students procrastinate their academic tasks. Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, (Special Issue), 1366–1371.
- Efe, A., Efe, R. (2018). The relationship between academic procrastination behaviors of preservice science teachers and their attitudes toward social media. Journal of Education and e-Learning Research, 5(2), 102-109.
- Ellis, A., & Knaus, W. J. (1977). Overcoming Procrastination. New York: New American Library.
- Ferrari, J. R. (2001). Procrastination as self-regulation failure of performance: effects of cognitive load, self-awareness, and time limits on working best under pressure. European Journal of Personality, 15, 391–406. doi: 10.1002/per.413.
- Ferrari, J. R., Ozer B. U., & Demir A. (2009). Chronic procrastination among Turkish adults: exploring decisional, avoidant, and arousal styles. Journal of Social Psychology, 149, 402–408. 10.3200/SOCP.149.3.402-408.
- Ferrari, Jhonson dan Mc.Crown. (1995). Procrastination and Task Avoidance: Theory, Research and Treatment. New York: Plenum Press.
- Gustavson, D. E., Miyake, A., Hewitt, J. K., & Friedman, N. P. (2014). Genetic relations among procrastination, impulsivity, and goal-management ability: implications for the evolutionary origin of procrastination. Psychology of Science, 25, 1178–1188. doi:10.1177/0956797614526260
- Janor, H., Hashim, N. A., Abdullah, N. L., & Isa, R. M. (2015). Whatsapp messenger application among business students in Malaysia- An exploration. Journal of Education, 18(2), 130-141.
- Joseph, J., Varghese, A., VR, V., Dhandapani, M., Grover, S., Sharma, S., & Khakha, D. et al. (2021). Prevalence of internet addiction among college students in the Indian setting: a systematic review and metaanalysis. General Psychiatry, 34, e100496. doi:10.1136/ gpsych-2021-100496
- Junco, R. (2012). Too much face and not enough books: The relationship between multiple indices of facebook use and academic performance. Computers & Education, 28, 187-198.
- Kirschner, P. A., & Karpinski, A. C. (2010). Facebook and academic performance. Computers in Human Behavior, 26(6), 1237-1245.
- Klassen, R.M., & E. Kuzucu, 2009. Academic procrastination and motivation of adolescents in Turkey. Educational Psychology, 29(1), 69-81.
- Kroese, F. M., & de Ridder, D. T. D. (2015). Health behavior procrastination: a novel reasoned route towards self-regulatory failure. Health Psychology Review, 10, 313–325. doi: 10.1080/17437199. 2015.1116019

- Li, L., Gao, H., & Xu, Y. (2020). The mediating and buffering effect of academic self-efficacy on the relationship between smartphone addiction and academic procrastination. Computers & Education, 159(3). 104001. 10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104001.
- Lukas, C., & Berking, M. (2018). Reducing procrastination using a smartphone-based treatment program: A randomized controlled pilot study. Internet Interventions, 12, 83-90. 10.1016/j.invent.2017.07.002.
- Mingle, J., & Adams, M. (2015). Social Media Network Participation and Academic Performance In Senior High Schools in Ghana. Library Philosophy and Practice, (e-journal), 1286. http://digital.commons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1286.
- Muslikah, M., Mulawarman, M., & Andriyani, A. (2018). Social media user students' academic procrastination. Journal Bimbingan Dan Konseling, 2(2), 53-57.
- O'Brien, W. K. (2002). Applying the transtheoretical model to academic procrastination. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Houston.
- Odaci, H. (2011). Academic self-efficacy and academic procrastination as predictors of problematic internet use in university students. Computers & Education, 57(1), 1109–1113.
- Okocha, D. O Sani N., & Paul, C. N. (2017). SOCIAL Media revolution and its influence on contemporary writing skills: An empirical study of whatsapp usage among the undergraduate students of the University of Ghana. International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Technology, 6(2), 1057-1073.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2000). Academic procrastinators and perfectionistic tendencies among graduate students. Journal of Social Behavior and Personality, 15(5), 103-110.
- Qaisar, S., Akhter, N., Masood, A., & Rashid, S. (2017). Problematic mobile phone use, academic procrastination and academic performance of college students. Journal of Education Research, 20(2), 202-214.
- Sahin, Y. L. (2014). Comparison of users' adoption and use cases of Facebook and their academic procrastination. Digital Education Review, 25, 127–138.
- Schouwenburg, H. C. (2004). Academic procrastination: Theoretical notions, measurement, and research. In H. C. Schouwenburg, C. H. Lay, T. A. Pychyl, & J. R. Ferrari (Eds.), Counseling the Procrastinator in Academic Settings (pp. 3–17). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Schraw, G., Wadkins, T., & Olafson, L. (2007). Doing the things we do: A grounded theory of academic procrastination. Journal of Educational Psychology, 99, 12-25.
- Sheikhlar, D. T., & Sadeghpour, M. (2019). The effect of social networks on academic procrastination and mediating role of self-regulatory learning strategies of students. Technology of Education Journal, 14(1), 221-230. <u>https://jte.sru.ac.ir/%20</u> http:/itvhe.areo.ir/article\_970.html? lang=en

- Sirois, F. M. (2014). Procrastination and stress: exploring the role of self-compassion. Self Identity, 13, 128–145. doi: 10.1080/15298868.2013.763404
- Sirois, F. M., & Pychyl, T. A. (2013). Procrastination and the priority of short-term mood regulation: consequences for future self. Soc. Personal. Psychology Compass, 7, 115–127. doi: 10.1111/ spc3.12011
- Sirois, F. M., Melia-Gordon, M. L., & Pychyl, T. A. (2003). I'll look after my health, later": an investigation of procrastination and health. Personal Individual Differences, 35, 1167–1184. doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00326-4
- Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: a meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. Psychol. Bull. 133, 65–94. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65
- Steel, P., & Ferrari, J. (2013). Sex, education and procrastination: an epidemiological study of procrastination characteristics from a global sample. European Journal of Personality, 27, 51–58. doi: 10.1002/per.1851
- Van Eerde, W. (2000). Procrastination: Self-regulation in initiating aversive goals. Applied Psychology, 49(3), 372-389.
- Wang P., Liu S., Zhao M., Yang X., Zhang G., Chu X., et al. (2019). How is problematic smartphone use related to adolescent depression? A moderated mediation analysis. Children and Youth Services Review, 104, 104384. 10.1016/j. Child Youth, 104384.198.
- Wang, J., Wang, P., Yang, X., Zhang, G., Wang, X., Zhao, F., et al. (2019). Fear of missing out and procrastination as mediators between sensation seeking and adolescent smartphone addiction. International Debate on Mental Health and Addiction 17, 1049–1062. doi: 10.1007/s 11469-019-00106-0.
- Yeboah, J., & Ewur, G., D. (2014). The impact of whatsapp messenger usage on students performance in tertiary institutions in Ghana. Journal of Education and Practice, 5 (6), 157-164.