Ilkogretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 2021; Vol 20 (Issue 6): pp. 5336-5345
http://ilkogretim-online.org
doi: 10.17051/ilkonline.2021.06.516

Review On Denationalisation Of Insurance Business With Special Reference To Legal Aspect

Mridul Krishna Ghosh Research Scholar, Department of Law, Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, M.P., India.

Dr. Bharat Pal Research Guide, Department of Law, Mansarovar Global University, Sehore, M.P., India.

ABSTRACT:

Insurance plans are beneficial to anyone looking to protect their family, assets/property and themselves from financial risk or losses. Insurance plans will help you pay for medical emergencies, hospitalization, contraction of any illnesses and treatment, and medical care required in the future. It's a contract where one party (Insurer) undertakes in return of an agreed consideration (premium) to pay the other party (Insured) a sum of money or its equivalent in the happening of a specified event which event invariably happens in the future. In this article, review on denationalization of insurance business with special reference to legal aspect has been discussed.

Keywords: Denationalization, Insurance, Business, Legal.

INTRODUCTION:

Insurance is a type of contract. As a result, insurance contracts are subject to the same contractual requirements as other transactions under Section 10 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. In spite of the fact that insurance is an indemnification contract, not all insurance agreements are ideal indemnity agreements. Coercion, undue influence, fraud, misrepresentation, error, and breach of an insurance contract are all grounds for legal action under the 1872 Indian Contract Act. But the remedy is expensive, time-consuming, and challenging. Additionally, it is a standard contract where the insurer simply provides the terms and conditions, giving the insured the option to accept or reject them. While hiding them behind a standard form of contract, the insurer inserts unfair and inappropriate clauses into the insurance contract. It is shocking to hear that neither the Indian Contract Act nor any other insurance law protects the insured from such unfair and unreasonable terms.

In 1937, the Indian government constituted a consulting committee. The well-known Calcutta attorney, Mr. Sushil C. Sen, was chosen to serve as the committee's chairman. He solicited opinions from a variety of interested people, including corporate executives. The Legislative Assembly discussed it. The Insurance Act was finally passed in 1938. The first comprehensive piece of law in the nation was this one. There was protection for both the general and life insurance businesses. Deposits, investments, investments in insurance businesses, agent commissions, and directors chosen by policyholders were a few of the topics covered. Fire, marine, and other insurance-related enterprises fall within the general insurance category, regardless of whether they are run alone or in collaboration with one or more other businesses. The Indian government initially established an insurance division inside the Ministry of Commerce to carry out the 1938 Act. It was then delivered to the Ministry of Finance. In India, insurance was nationalized. The national planning system, which was influenced by the Soviet Union, was put into place after India gained independence in 1947. After the government merged numerous life insurance companies under a single nationalized monopoly organisation, the Life Insurance Organisation of India was established. There were 154 Indian life insurance businesses at the time. In addition, life insurance products were sold by 75 provident societies and 16 non-Indian businesses. Large urban areas like Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi, and Madras were the main locations of these businesses. (1) Nationalizing life insurance will boost the amount of money available for global development initiatives. It planned to increase insurance market participation by 60% through nationalization. (3) The government had a negative assessment of the number of insurance firms that had failed. Government officials claimed that poor management was to blame for the failures and that nationalization would improve the protection of policyholders. We will also briefly discuss the arguments against establishing general insurance in the public sector. The fundamental fact that general insurance runs on an annual basis and is an essential part of the private sector of trade and industry was the factor that had the biggest impact on us. Errors or omissions in how the government conducts business do not directly affect the average citizen. They functioned at various levels of sophistication and were mostly centred in big cities.

The General Insurance Industry (Nationalisation) Act of 1972 requires the Central Government to establish a government company called the General Insurance Company of India to oversee, administer, and conduct the general insurance business in accordance with the Companies Act of 1956. The Corporation's entire permissible capital is 75 crores of rupees, of which 5 crores are subscribed capital and to which the Central Government has made a full contribution. The Indian Companies Act of 1956 serves as the legal basis for each of these five corporations. The task given to the subsidiary firms was to establish moral ideals, moral business conduct, and effective customer service in the general insurance market. These were some of the objectives in developing this framework. The GIC was created to help keep the costs of the subsidiaries under control. It took this action in order to increase the financial obligations of its four subsidiaries. The four 62 subsidiaries, each of which operates in a different region of the nation, were given business in order to provide general insurance to rural areas of India. The national reinsurance firm was also known as the GIC. Reduced currency exchange commissions contributed to this. Sixth, the four subsidiaries' competition was expected. Insurance Industry Reforms In 1993, the Malhotra Committee was established under the leadership of former Finance Secretary and RBI Governor R.N. Malhotra with the objective of studying the Indian insurance business and formulating suggestions for its future expansion. The General Insurance Corporation should stop serving as the holding firm and instead focus solely on the reinsurance industry, according to the Malhotra Committee Report. It is best to set up the four subsidiaries as separate corporations. The exaggerated employee counts at the subsidiaries were also exposed by the audit. The committee presented its final report and some of its most significant recommendations in 1994.

In 1937, the Indian government constituted a consulting committee. The well-known Calcutta attorney, Mr. Sushil C. Sen, was chosen to serve as the committee's chairman. The Insurance Act was passed in 1938. The first comprehensive piece of law in the nation was this one. There was protection for both the general and life insurance businesses. In 1818, a British company called the Oriental Life Insurance Firm became the first to offer life insurance in India. Next, in 1823 and 1829, respectively, came the Bombay Assurance Company and the Madras Equitable Life Insurance Society. None of these companies provided life insurance to Indian citizens, despite having operations there. The lives of Europeans residing in India were protected.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

P.S. and Singh. Ali (2020) claim that over the past ten years, the Indian insurance market has undergone a paradigm shift. There have been several significant areas of the growth of the Indian life insurance market researched. This utilized a substantial amount of information from the IRDA's annual reports. The predicted first-year premium and total premium of public and private firms for the year 2020 have been calculated using the least squares method and linear trend analysis. The insurance industry in India has benefited from liberalization, the report claims. Life insurers' first-year and overall rates are likely to steadily increase, and LIC will likely continue to control the bulk of the market. [1]

This study examines the effectiveness of the Indian insurance business as measured by functional performance in order to determine the effects of liberalization on the industry, according to J.D. Chandrapal (2019). For the empirical investigation, data from 552 respondents from Indian life insurers was employed. The ability of different groups to be identified based on gender, location of residence, relationships with the Life Insurance Corporation (LIC), positions held by LIC employees, and relationships with private players was tested using a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). The marketing mix, service quality, and insurance awareness are the three characteristics that define the Indian life insurance market. The outcomes show that deregulation has benefited the Indian life insurance sector. [2]

According to J. S. V. Silbert (2019), the study sought to assess the privatisation of the insurance industry in the context of India. It also illustrated the benefits and drawbacks of this conglomerate and analyzed the insurance on money, health, and cars on an all-or-nothing basis in order to protect against financial loss. It is a risk management strategy that is primarily employed to protect against the threat of a potential loss. When something is moved from the public sector (a government) to the private sector, whether it is a for-profit or nonprofit entity, it is referred to as privatizing a corporation, enterprise, agency, public service, or piece of property. The term "insurance in India" refers to the country's total insurance market, which comprises both public and private sector businesses. It is classified as a Union List subject in the Seventh Schedule of the Indian Constitution, meaning that only the Central government may enact legislation pertaining to it. [3]

Since the Indian insurance market was privatized, a number of private concerns have surfaced, according to P. Mathur and A. Sharma (2019). The principal partners in these private firms are sizable international insurance giants and diversified Indian conglomerate corporations. After liberalization, India's insurance market expanded dramatically as a result of heightened public awareness of the importance of life insurance. According to the IRDA Annual Report 2017–18, there are currently 68 insurance companies operating in India, including Lloyd's India, 24 life insurance companies, 27 general insurance companies, 6 independent health insurers that only offer health insurance, and 11 re-insurers, including foreign reinsurers' branches. Insurance providers continuously compete with one another for clients by offering affordable rates and first-rate services. The sector actively contributes to the development of innovative goods, robust distribution networks, public relations initiatives, and marketing initiatives by insurance companies. The sector was opened to private players, which resulted in the introduction of numerous new products. As a result, the Indian insurance market may be one of the fastest-growing markets due to a number of favorable factors, including emerging socioeconomic changes, increased wealth, increased education, and awareness of the need for insurance. The objective of this study is to assess the current state of the private life insurance market in India and project its potential for future expansion in a cutthroat industry. [4]

According to the statement of K. Kanyan et al. (2018), insurance companies collect and invest a sizable amount of premiums. They enable saving, offer great investor protection, and direct saved funds to the government and other sectors of the economy. The general insurance industry performs crucial social and economic tasks. It boosts economic output, provides security for society, raises individual welfare, and reduces risk. Although insurance companies' income inflows are consistent, their payouts are delayed and uncertain.

However, underwriting cycles pose a challenge for general insurance due to their impact on capital availability, profitability, and significant swings in premiums. [5]

Sankarakumar (2017) asserts that insurance is a practical instrument. There is no need to consider insurance if one person is helping another with their own resources. Because those who are willing to endure loss do so in groups or by themselves. Insurance agreements must be founded on mutual respect and trust. They must exchange all kinds of information and communicate with one another. The evolution of the Indian insurance market is the main focus of this study. The three main periods of this evolution are the Pre-Independence or British-Indian Period (1818–1947), the Post–Independence Period (1947–1991), and the Liberalization Period (1991–till date). The basis for this investigation is the secondary data. This information was gathered from sources like books, journals, government documents, and websites. [6]

According to F. Uddin and M.A. Ali (2017), the insurance market in India has gone through a number of stages, including an open, competitive market; a nationalized market; a liberalized market; and a highly competitive market. Private insurance companies began joining the Indian life insurance industry after the IRDAI was established. There are currently 23 private and one public life insurance companies. Since liberalization, the structure of the insurance industry has significantly changed. The massive LIC of India's operations, earnings, and market share have all been observed to have suffered. As a result, the current study aims to evaluate the performance of LIC of India in terms of Annual Premium, Number of Policies, and Sum Assured under New Business in India under Individual Assurance. [7]

Gulati Neelam (2014) examined productivity in this essay. The number of policies issued per branch, the number of policies issued per active agent, the number of policies issued per agent, the ratio of expenses to premium income, the number of complaints per thousand mean policies in force, and the proportion of unresolved claims to the total claims payable were all used to calculate productivity. Secondary data is utilized to support the study. The data was gathered from the LIC and IRDA annual reports, compiled, and statistical calculations like the t-value and CGR were performed on it. Therefore, it can be claimed that LIC has been able to generate a higher rate of return due to a number of variables, with the exception of expenses, having increased significantly. The compound growth rate was found to be positive for revenue values, whereas it was discovered to be negative for expenses and claims due. As a result, LIC's customer-focused strategy will be its most persuasive one going forward. [8]

The major subjects of Padhi Bidyadhar's (2013) study were the function and performance of private insurance companies from 2001 to 2012. Between 2001 and 2012, the study examined the number of policies issued, the amount of premiums collected, and the rate of yearly increase in each of these categories for a sample of private insurance businesses. All private insurance companies' overall performance was deemed very satisfactory, and they must keep up this pace to keep growing their market share. [9]

Indian public and private life insurance companies' performance was examined by Sharma Vikas and Chowhan Sudhinder Singh in 2013. The academic years covered by the data in this paper are 2006–2007 through 2011–2012. Statistical techniques such as percentages, ratios, growth rates, and coefficients of variation have been employed in data analysis. The findings demonstrated that the LIC still dominates the sector. Additionally, private sector insurers made an effort to grow their market share. Private life insurers made much greater use of the new commercial marketing channels than did LIC. In a few instances, commercial insurers and LICs used various investing approaches. Despite suffering huge losses, private life insurers maintained a significantly greater ratio of solvency than LIC. Private life insurers had a higher rate of lapse, but overall, LIC handled death claims better than private life insurance. [10]

Using Farrell and Tone's metric, Sinha Ram Pratap (2013) calculated the cost effectiveness of the Indian life insurance industry for the years 2005–2006 to 2009–2010. It was demonstrated that the mean cost efficiency for both techniques exhibits significant fluctuations over the course of the observation period, indicating a significant departure from the frontier. The study separates the input-focused technical efficiency and the allocative efficiency components of the Farrell measure of cost efficiency. Using a filtered tobit model, the cost efficiency estimates were connected to the product and channel mix of the sample insurance players. [11]

T. Hymavathi Kumari (2013) sought to identify problems with market competition and comprehend the Indian life insurance industry. The performance of India's life insurance industry following liberalization has thus been the subject of an investigation. One of the biggest shifts in the global economy, according to an analysis of the performance of the public and private sectors in terms of market share and growth, has been India's rapid rate of economic growth. Early 1990s economic liberalization gave India the opportunity to fully realise its economic potential and enhance the standard of living for its people. One of the financial reforms that the government was required to carry out as a crucial component of structural changes and the stabilization of the economy is the opening up of the financial sector. In this procedure, insurance is crucial. The government allowed private businesses access to the market. There were consequently lots of fresh private insurers. [12]

Nena Sonal (2013) examined the performance of the Life Insurance Corporation of India. In order to assess the performance over the research period, the primary source of income (Premium Earned) and the important heads of expenses (Commission & Operating Expenses) of LIC are reviewed. The five-year study period runs from 2005 to 2010. The performance assessment revealed that LIC's business was constantly growing. The LIC's performance remained consistent during the course of the research. This makes it clear that the performance is the same and that LIC has preserved the fair market value of the items. [13]

Using return on assets as the dependent variable, Charumathi B. (2012) attempted to estimate the factors affecting the profitability of life insurers operating in India. All 23 Indian life insurers (one public and 22 private) were included in the study's sample, and information from the 2008-09, 2009-10, and 2010-11 fiscal years was utilized. This is why factors specific to the company are taken into account when regressing the return on assets, including as leverage, size, premium growth, liquidity, underwriting risk, and equity capital. The results of this study indicate that size (as shown by the logarithm of net premium) and liquidity have a large and positive impact on the profitability of life insurance. The profitability of Indian life insurers has been significantly and negatively impacted by debt, premium growth, and the increase in equity capital. During the investigation, no proof of a link between profitability and underwriting risk was discovered. [14]

Srivastava Arnika, Tripathi Sarika, and Kumar Amit (2012) contend that the insurance industry supports an economy's financial sector. It was determined that India's insurance sector has grown significantly. The insurance industry has seen a considerable transition in recent years. The term "insurance" has changed over time to refer to a sizable number of both private and public insurance companies in India. By offering a wide choice of products and first-rate services, numerous insurance companies in India have been successful in reaching almost every Indian household. [15]

Kalyan, Partha Pratim Sengupta, Anirban Dutta, and Chakraborty (2012) examined how the deregulated Indian life insurance market was growing in terms of technical productivity. In the empirical study, technical efficiency and productivity increase are quantified and analyzed using the Malmquist Productivity Index and the DEA technique, respectively. The findings show that increased efficiency is mostly to blame for the increase in total production. The insurance industries have generally deviated from the optimal scale over the research period, as shown by higher pure technology efficiency and lower scale efficiency. The claims ratio, distribution ratio, and firm size were all found to have a favorable effect on technical efficiency in the truncated regression analysis that examined the key long-term variables of efficiency. The study also discovered that businesses with both life and non-life activities had more success than those with just life insurance. [16]

CONCLUSION:

It aims to shield the many from the severe financial ramifications of an anticipated catastrophe by dividing the loss among the numerous individuals who are exposed to a comparable sort of risk. Many academic disciplines have looked into insurance. Insurance cannot be summed up in a single sentence. Some people concentrated on the functional element of insurance, while others concentrated on the sociological, economic, and contractual aspects. [8]

Through a sort of financial arrangement known as insurance, the cost of unanticipated losses is distributed among the pool's participants. People who are exposed to comparable risks make up the pool. The premium pool receives a specific amount from each member. The insured is certain that, in exchange for the premium payment. Without a doubt, his loss will be made up for. To ensure that the poor can share in losses, loss exposures must be transferred to an insurance pool.

The definitions of insurance can be broken down into three groups for easier understanding: general definitions of insurance, functional definitions of insurance, and contractual definitions of insurance. The laws that control the insurance sector have always included a definition. This is most likely because there's a chance that contracts might be accidentally left out of their purview.

The insurance contract also includes some specific contract aspects, such as indemnification, the greatest level of good faith, the aleatory nature of the contract, etc., in addition to these generic contract features. The heading will therefore list a few of the distinctive characteristics of contract law.

The general nature of an insurance contract under the following forms:

- Offer and Acceptance
- Consideration
- Competence of the Parties
- Legality of Object

It contract comprises a number of standard contract aspects as well as a few unique elements that distinguish it from insurance law. The establishment of an insurance firm requires these components. The Collins Thesaurus defines indemnity as "protection against likely injury or loss." Other appropriate synonyms for the word "Indemnity" according to the Collins Thesaurus are "guarantee," "protection," "security," "compensation," "restitution," and "reimbursement." Even though words like "protection," "security," "compensation," and others are appropriate when discussing insurance, neither their dictionary definitions nor the suggested word replacements adequately define indemnification as it relates to insurance contracts. The values that were previously chosen may serve as the basis for indemnity. These concentrations may be higher or lower than the total number of vulnerable individuals.

A review of the literature is the process of locating earlier studies and reviewing them to determine how much research has previously been done on the subject of the study. Books, theses, dissertations, study reports, and articles authored by academics and researchers and published in a variety of periodicals make up the body of information concerning the Indian life insurance market. The already described literature review was used to identify the research gap for the current study.

REFERENCES:

1. P. Singh, S. Ali (2020). Analysis of Growth of Life Insurance Industry in India – A Comparative Study of Public and Private Sector, International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology, Vol. 11, Issue 7, Page No. 808-823.

2. J.D. Chandrapal (2019). Impact of liberalization on Indian life insurance industry: A truly multivariate approach, IIMB Management Review, Vol. 31, Issue 3, Page No. 283-297.

3. Jose S. V. Silbert (2019). An analytical study of privatization in Indian insurance sector, International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering, Vol. 9, Issue 2, Page No. 241-250.

4. P. Mathur & A. Sharma (2019). A Study on Private Life Insurance Sector in India: Past, Present and Future, Inspira-Journal of Commerce, Economics & Computer Science, Vol. 5, Issue 1, Page No. 145-151.

5. Kavita Kanyan, Kavita Rani (2018). Privatization and Growth of Non-Life Insurance Sector in India, International Journal of Research, Vol. 5, Issue 21, Page No. 107-117.

6. R. Sankarakumar (2017). Evolution of Indian Insurance Company, International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, Vol. 5, Issue 2, Page No. 554-559.

7. F. Uddin and M.A. Ali (2017). New Business of LIC of India under Individual Assurance in the 21st Century: An Appraisal, Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies, Vol. 2, Issue 6, Page No. 633-642.

8. Gulati Neelam (2014), "Analysis of the Productivity of Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) of India", International Journal in Multidisciplinary and Academic Research (SSIJMAR), Vol. 3, No. 1, February- March -2014 (ISSN 2278 – 5973).

9. Padhi Bidyadhar (2013), "Role and Performances of Private Insurance Companies in India, in the Post Liberalization Era", International Journal of Engineering, Business and Enterprise Applications, pp 29-35.

10. Sharma Vikas, Chowhan Sudhinder Singh (2013), "A Comparative Study of Public & Private Life Insurance", Indian Journal of Applied Research, vol.3, Issue 1, pp 93-94.

11. Sinha Ram Pratap (2013), "Are Indian Life Insurance Companies Cost Efficient? Some Recent Empirical Evidence", Prajnan-NIBM Pune,Vol. XLI, No. 3, 2012-13, pp 181- 201.

12. T. Hymavathi Kumari (2013), “Performance Evaluation of Indian Life Insurance Industry in Post Liberazation”, International Journal of Social Sciences Arts and Humanities, Vol.1, No. 1, pp 7-14.

13. Nena Sonal (2013), “Performance Evaluation of Life Insurance Corporation (LIC) of India” International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies, Vol.1, Issue 7, pp 113-118.

14. Charumathi B. (2012), “On the Determinants of Profitability of Indian Life Insurers- An Empirical Study” Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering, Vol. I, July 4 - 6, 2012.

15. Srivastava Arnika, Tripathi Sarika, Kumar Amit (2012), “Indian Life Insurance Industry- The Changing Trends”, Researchers world- Journal of Arts, Science and Commerce, Vol.3, Issue 2(3), pp 93-98.

16. Chakraborty Kalyan, Dutta Anirban and Partha Pratim Sengupta (2012), “Efficiency and Productivity of Indian Life Insurance Industry”, Asia-Pacific Journal of Risk and Insurance. Volume 7, Issue 1, ISSN (Online) 2153-3792.