



AN APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED DISTRIBUTION SERIES ASSOCIATED WITH ANALYTIC MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Manita Bhagtani, Department of Mathematics, S S Jain Subodh P.G. College, Jaipur (Rajasthan), manitabhagtani@gmail.com

Abstract- The aim of the present paper is to introduce a generalized distribution series. Many interesting results using this series and Dziok-Srivastava linear operator are obtained.

Keywords: Analytic Function, Multivalent Functions, Dziok Srivastava operator, Generalized Distribution..

I. INTRODUCTION :

Let A_p denote the class of function $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,\dots\}) \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy the normalization condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$.

Further let S_p be the subclass of A_p consisting of functions of the form (1.1) which are also multivalent in U and also let T_p be the subclass of S_p consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} |a_j| z^j \tag{1.2}$$

Also suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} h_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r); z] &= z^p {}_qF_r (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r; z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] z^j \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

$(q \leq r+1; \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}; \beta_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z_0^-; Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; i = 1, \dots, q; k = 1, \dots, r; z \in U)$

where ${}_qF_r$ is the generalized hypergeometric function and

$$B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha_i)_{j-p}}{\prod_{k=1}^r (\beta_k)_{j-p}} \frac{1}{(j-p)!} \tag{1.4}$$

Corresponding to the function $h_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r); z]$ Dziok and Srivastava [4, p.3, Eq.(3)] introduced a linear operator $H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r); z]$ defined by the convolution

$$H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r); z] = h_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r); z] * f(z), \quad (f \in T_p) \tag{1.5}$$

Or equivalently by

$$H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p) |a_j| z^j, \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.6)$$

Here * stands for the convolution of two analytic multivalent functions f and g of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} |a_j| z^j \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} |b_j| z^j \quad (1.8)$$

and is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} |a_j| |b_j| z^j \quad (1.9)$$

The linear operator $H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z)$ includes various other linear operators considered earlier by Hohlov [7], Carlson-Shaffer [2], Goyal and Bhagtani [6], Ruscheweyh [12] etc.

Next by using this operator we introduce the following classes of analytic functions:

Let $T_p(\mu, \delta)$ be the subclass of T_p consisting of functions which satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))'}{\mu z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' + (1-\mu) H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z)} \right\} > \delta \quad (1.10)$$

for some μ ($0 \leq \mu < 1$), δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) and for all $z \in U$.

Also, let $C_p(\mu, \delta)$ denote the subclass of T_p consisting of functions which satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' + z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))''}{(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' + \mu z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))''} \right\} > \delta \quad (1.11)$$

for some μ ($0 \leq \mu < 1$), δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) and for all $z \in U$.

From (1.10) and (1.11) it is easy to verify that

$$H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z) \in C_p(\mu, \delta) \Leftrightarrow z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' \in T_p(\mu, \delta) \quad (1.12)$$

It is worthy to note that $T_p(0, \delta) \equiv T_p(\delta)$, the class of starlike multivalent functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) and $C_p(0, \delta) \equiv C_p(\delta)$, the class of convex multivalent functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$).

For $p=1, q=1 = \alpha_1, r=0$ the obtained reduced classes (for univalent functions) $T(\mu, \delta)$ and $C(\mu, \delta)$ were extensively studied by Altintas and Owa [1].

Further $T(0, \delta) \equiv T^*(\delta)$, the class of starlike univalent functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) and $C(0, \delta) \equiv C(\delta)$, the class of convex univalent functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$). The classes $T^*(\delta)$ and $C(\delta)$ were introduced by Silverman [14] and he also obtained coefficient inequalities for these classes.

Let series $S = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j$ is convergent where $t_j \geq 0, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now, the probability mass function of the generalized distribution is given as

$$p(j) = \frac{t_j}{S}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.13)$$

Here $p(j)$ is probability mass function

$$p(j) \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_j p_j = 1$$

Now we introduce the series

$$\tau(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t_j B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j) z^j \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_j (\alpha_2)_j \dots (\alpha_q)_j}{(\beta_1)_j (\beta_2)_j \dots (\beta_r)_j (j)!} \quad (1.15)$$

($q \leq r+1$; $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$; $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R} \setminus Z_0^-$; $Z_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$; $i = 1, \dots, q$; $j = 1, \dots, r$; $z \in U$)

The series given by (1.14) is convergent for $|z| < 1$ and for $|z| = 1$, it is also convergent.

We introduce the generalized distribution series as

$$K_{\tau,p}(z) = z^p + \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j \quad (1.16)$$

Further we define

$$T K_{\tau,p}(z) = 2 z^p - K_{\tau,p}(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j \quad (1.17)$$

Now a convolution operator $T K_{\tau,p}(f, z)$ for function $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) as follows

$$T K_{\tau,p}(f, z) = T K_{\tau,p}(z) * f(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} |a_j| \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j \quad (1.18)$$

Eminent authors (see [2,3,5,8,9,11,13,14]) have used the hypergeometric functions on different subclasses of analytic functions. In this article, we give the similar conditions for $T(s, N, t, z, p)$ defined by the hypergeometric distribution belong to the $T_p(\mu, \delta)$ and $C_p(\mu, \delta)$.

To characterize our main results, we will require the following theorems:

II. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) is in the class $T_p(\mu, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} [j - \delta\mu j - \delta + \delta\mu] \left[B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p) \right] |a_j| \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] \quad (2.1)$$

The result (2.1) is sharp.

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in T_p(\mu, \delta)$. Then we have from (1.10) that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))'}{\mu z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' + (1 - \mu) H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z)} \right\} > \delta$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| z^{j-p}}{1 + \mu(p-1) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| z^{j-p}} \right\} > \delta \quad (2.2)$$

If we choose z real and let $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j|}{1 + \mu(p-1) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j|} \right\} \geq \delta \quad (2.3)$$

which is equivalent to desired result (2.1).

Conversely, suppose that (2.1) holds true. Then, adding

$$-[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \mu\delta] \left[\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| + \mu(p-1) \right]$$

to both sides of (2.1), we obtain

$$-\mu(p-1)[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \mu\delta] + \left[\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j(1 - \mu + \delta\mu^2 - \delta\mu^2) - p(1 - \delta\mu - \mu + \delta\mu^2) + (\delta\mu^2 - \delta\mu) \right] [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta +$$

$$\mu\delta] \left[1 + \mu(p-1) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| \right] \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))'}{\mu z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' + (1-\mu) H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z)} - [p - \delta\mu p + \delta\mu] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| z^{j-p}}{1 + \mu(p-1) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| z^{j-p}} - [p - \delta\mu p + \delta\mu] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{-\mu(p-1)[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] + \left[\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j(1-p\mu + p\delta\mu^2 - \delta\mu^2) - p(1-\mu\delta - \mu + \delta\mu^2) + (\delta\mu^2 - \delta\mu) \right] [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j|}{\left[1 + \mu(p-1) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (\mu j + 1 - \mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| \right]} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.4) that the last expression in (2.5) is bounded above by

$$(p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu). \text{ This implies that } f(z) \in T_p(\mu, \delta)$$

Finally, taking the function

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{(p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu)}{(j - \delta\mu j - \delta + \delta\mu)} [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] z^j \quad (j \geq p+1) \quad (2.6)$$

we can show the result (2.1) is sharp.

Corollary 2.1 If $f(z) \in T_p(\mu, \delta)$, then

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{(p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu)}{(j - \delta\mu j - \delta + \delta\mu)} [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] \quad (j \geq p+1) \quad (2.7)$$

The equality in (2.7) holds for the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.6).

Corollary 2.2 Taking $p=1, q=1 = \alpha_1, r=0$ the above results get reduced to the results due to Altintas & Owa [1, Theorem 1, pp.43].

Theorem 2.2 A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $C_p(\mu, \delta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j [j - \delta\mu j - \delta + \delta\mu] [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] |a_j| \leq p[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] \quad (2.8)$$

The result (2.8) is sharp.

Proof. Note that $H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z) \in C_p(\mu, \delta)$ if and only if $z(H_p [(\alpha_q); (\beta_r)] f(z))' \in T_p(\mu, \delta)$

Hence replacing a_j by $j a_j$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the inequality (2.8).

Furthermore, the result (2.8) is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{p(p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu)}{j(j - \delta\mu j - \delta + \delta\mu)} [B_p^{(\alpha_q), (\beta_r)}(j-p)] z^j \quad (j \geq p+1) \quad (2.9)$$

Corollary 2.3 If $f(z) \in C_p(\mu, \delta)$, then

$$|a_j| \leq \frac{p(p-\delta\mu p-\delta+\delta\mu)}{j(j-\delta\mu j-\delta+\delta\mu)} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] \quad (j \geq p+1) \quad (2.10)$$

The equality in (2.10) holds for the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.9).

Corollary 2.4 Taking $p=1$, $q=1=\alpha_1$, $r=0$ the above results get reduced to the results due to Altintas & Owa [1, Theorem 2, pp 45].

III. MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 3.1 Let $T K_{\tau, p}(z)$ that is of the form (1.17) is in the class $T_p(\mu, \delta)$ iff

$$\frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu)\tau'(1) + \{p(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)\}\{\tau(1) - \tau(0)\}] \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Since we have defined

$$T K_{\tau, p}(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j$$

according to the Theorem 2.1, we have to show that

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)]}{S} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \leq p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)]}{S} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \{j-p\} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p} + p(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p} - \delta(1-\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p}] \\ &= \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)\} t_j + p(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)\} t_j - \delta(1-\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)\} t_j] \\ &= \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu)\tau'(1) + \{p(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)\}\{\tau(1) - \tau(0)\}] \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $T K_{\tau, p}(z)$ that is of the form (1.17) is in the class $C_p(\mu, \delta)$ iff

$$\frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu)\tau''(1) + (3-2\delta\mu-\delta)\tau'(1) + (1-\delta)\{\tau(1) - \tau(0)\}] \leq p[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu] \quad (3.2)$$

Proof: Since

$$T K_{\tau, p}(z) = z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j$$

according to the Theorem 2.2, have to prove that

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{j[j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)]}{S} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \leq p[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu]$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{j[j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)]}{S} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \\
= & \frac{1}{S} \left[(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (j-1)(j-2) \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p} + (3-2\delta\mu-\delta) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (j-1) \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p} \right. \\
& \left. + (1-\delta) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \{B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)\} t_{j-p} \right] \\
= & \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu) \tau''(1) + (3-2\delta\mu-\delta) \tau'(1) + (1-\delta) \{\tau(1) - \tau(0)\}] \\
\leq & p[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu]
\end{aligned}$$

IV. AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Here we introduce, an integral operator $T G_{\tau,p}(z)$ as follows:

$$T G_{\tau,p}(z) = p \int_0^z \frac{T K_{\tau,p}(u)}{u} du \quad (4.1)$$

and we get a necessary and sufficient condition for $T G_{\tau,p}(z)$ belonging to the class $C_p(\mu, \delta)$.

Theorem 4.1 If $T K_{\tau,p}(z)$ is defined by (1.17), then $T G_{\tau,p}(z)$ defined by (4.1) is in the class $C_p(\mu, \delta)$ iff (3.1) satisfies.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
T K_{\tau,p}(z) &= z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} z^j \\
T G_{\tau,p}(z) &= p \int_0^z \frac{u^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} u^j}{u} du
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p \left[\int_0^z u^{p-1} du - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{t_{j-p}}{S} \int_0^z u^{j-1} du \right] \\
&= z^p - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{jS} t_{j-p} z^j
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem (2.2), we have to prove that

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} j [j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)] [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] \frac{p}{jS} t_{j-p} \leq p[p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu]$$

or to prove that

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} [j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)] [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] \frac{1}{S} t_{j-p} \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } & \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[j(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)]}{S} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \\
= & \frac{1}{S} \left[(1-\delta\mu) \left\{ \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} (j-p) \right\} \right] [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} + p(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} - \\
& \delta(1-\mu) \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j-p)] t_{j-p} \\
= & \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)] t_j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(1-\delta\mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)] t_j - \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} \delta(1-\mu) [B_p^{(\alpha_q),(\beta_r)}(j)] t_j] \\
= & \frac{1}{S} [(1-\delta\mu) \tau'(1) + \{p(1-\delta\mu) - \delta(1-\mu)\} \{\tau(1) - \tau(0)\}] \leq [p - \delta\mu p - \delta + \delta\mu]
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.1 Taking $p = 1, q = 1 = \alpha_1, r = 0$ the results of Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1 get reduced to the results due to Porwal S. [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] Altintas, O. and Owa, S., "On subclasses of univalent functions with negative coefficients", Pusan Kyongnam Mathematical Journal, vol. 4,(1988), pp. 41-56.
- [2] Carlson, B. C. and Shaffer, D. B., "Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions", SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, vol. 15, no. 4,(1984), pp. 737-745.

- [3] Cho, N. E., Woo, S. Y. and Owa, S., "*Uniform convexity properties for hypergeometric functions*", Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis for Theory and Applications, vol. 5, no. 3, (2002), pp. 303-313.
- [4] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H.M., "*Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*", Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [5] Gangadharan, A., Shanmugam, T. N. and Srivastava, H. M., "*Generalized hyper-geometric functions associated with uniformly convex functions*" Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 44, no. 12,(2002), pp. 1515-1526.
- [6] Goyal, S. P. and Bhagtani, M., "*Applications of certain integral operators to a class of analytic multivalent functions*", Rajasthan Journal of physical sciences, 6(2), (2007), 223-336.
- [7] Hohlov, Yu., E., "*Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions*",Izv. Vyss. Ueebn Zaved. Matematika 10 (1978), 83-89.
- [8] Merkes, E. P. and Scott, W. T., "*Starlike hypergeometric functions*", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 12 , (1961), pp. 885-888.
- [9]Mostafa, A.O., "*A study on starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions*", Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 3, (2009) article 87, pp. 1-16.
- [10] Porwal S., "*Generalized distribution and its geometric properties associated with univalent functions*", Journal of Complex Analysis , Vol.2018, Article ID 8654506, pp. 1-5.
- [11] Porwal, S. and Dixit, K. K., "*An application of generalized Bessel functions on certain analytic functions*", Acta Universitatis Matthiae Belii. Series Mathematics, (2013), pp. 51-57.
- [12] Ruscheweyh, S., "*New criteria for univalent functions*", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.49 (1975), 109-115.
- [13] Sharma, A K, Porwal, S., and Dixit, K. K., "*Class mappings properties of convolutions involving certain univalent functions associated with hypergeometric functions*", Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 1, no. 2,(2013), pp. 326-333.
- [14] Silverman, H., "*Univalent functions with negative coefficients*", Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 51,(1975), pp. 109-116.