Ilkogretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 2021; Vol 20 (Issue 6): pp. 4411-4435
http://ilkogretim-online.org
doi: 10.17051/ilkonline.2021.06.426

A Systemic Context For Environmental Sustainability Assessment

Debasis Nandi, (Research scholar), Department of Geography, Faculty of Social Science and Humanities Mansarovar global university, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh**.**

Dr. Vijay Kumar Gonekar,(Professor), Department of Geography.

Debasis Nandi, (SACT),Department of Geography, Ramnagar College Depal : Purba Medinipur, West Bengal.

Abstract

Environment sustainability is the only way to preserve the world from destruction. Because protecting the environment is directly proportional to protecting human life, concern for the environment has emerged as one of the most pressing issues facing modern humans. In the 1980s, environmental problems began to get increased attention. Use of energy, as well as its creation, conversion, and transmission, all have an effect on the surrounding environment. The link between sustainable development and the consumption of resources, particularly energy, is one of the most critical concerns facing human civilizations today, and the actualization of sustainable development is contingent on the utilization of energy resources. Environmental problems are becoming more widespread and now involve releases of pollutants as well as the deterioration of ecosystems and the variables that contribute to such degradation at both the regional and the global scales. The environment is a repository of physical, chemical, biological, social, and economic variables that are interconnected with different aspects of individual communities and the population as a whole in a variety of ways. The dissimilarities between the natural world and the surroundings Nature are defined as a collection of natural, biological, and non-biological variables that are taken into consideration entirely, whereas the word "environment" refers to the interactions between people and nature and is viewed from its point of view.

Keywords: Environmental sustainability; Sustainability assessment; Communities;

Introduction

There have been several occurrences of discussions on the long-term survival of the environment as well as the emissions of carbon dioxide appearing on the agendas of enterprises situated in every part of is preserved. These treaties and agreements can be

broken down into two categories: international and regional. This has been done in order to ensure that the requirements set for the environment will be adhered to (Bohringer & Jochem, 2017). Nevertheless, despite the widespread improvements in environmental quality that have been accomplished, the primary factors that have an effect on the natural environment continue to be a worldwide mystery, and this issue most field. Despite the fact that these improvements in environmental quality have been accomplished, the primary factors that have an effect on the natural environment continue to be a worldwide mystery (Bond et al., 2015). In addition, the previous have demonstrated throughout the world that are caused by environmental repercussions continue to be extremely concerning, and this scenario necessitates the implementation of ambitious global policy measures.

The term "sustainability" comes from the fields of ecology and environmental science, and it refers to behaviours that do not interfere with the natural progression of life and ecosystems. The significance of and policy concerns for the development are addressed in the study, with enough attention paid to their application in actual decision situations in the real world. This is done so in order to ensure that the study is as accurate as possible.

It's possible to classify the evaluation technique known as the Environment Sustainability assessment, or ESA for short, as one that falls into the category of being quite difficult to understand. It goes beyond a mere technical or scientific evaluation since it is done to aid with decision-making and policy in a wide consideration environmental, problems. The purpose of this work is to design a strategy for the methodical organizing of information about the scientific and technological investigation of sustainable practices. In particular, the research looks at essential components of decision-making that are the major focus of domain experts. Within the context of this specific organization, integrated assessment and ESA are considered to be two separate concepts. The transition from integrated assessment to systemic assessment is broken down in minute detail inside our technique that is systematic (Boschetti, 2014). Disagreements on an ontological, methodological, and epistemological level can be grouped together to describe the basic distinctions between the two perspectives. An innovative methodological framework for ESA has been developed by us, and it is of evaluation procedures, models, and indicators that can be applied across several scales and purposes. The following goals guided the development of this framework: The evaluation of the effect that sustainable practices have on the natural world was the motivation behind the development of this framework. At its most fundamental level, statistical analysis (ESA) is a methodical process that makes use of a wide range of analytical tools and models that are designed expressly for a certain domain, application, or decision environment. Statistical analysis is also known as exploratory statistical analysis (ESA). The "values" that are explored over the course of the study, the boundaries that are created, as well as the suitable framework for sustainability are all examples of external inputs that the method takes into consideration. The technique that will be used (for instance, "what- if's" or "what- to's"), the construction of hypothetical scenarios and analytical models, as well as quantitative indicators for operational research are all internal components of a

methodology. "what- to" and "what- if" questions and answers the framework that will be used for the assessment is going to be built on some essential building blocks, and one of those is going to be methods that are capable of assessing uncertainty (Castellani & Sala, 2013).

The term "Environment Sustainability assessment" (ESA) refers to a method of evaluation that is among the most advanced and is referred to by that abbreviation. The phrase "Environment Sustainability assessment" This not only contains factors that originate from a variety of different disciplines (such as environmental, economic, and social), but it also incorporates features that are based on a culture's or a society's ideals. In addition, ESA is frequently carried out with the purpose of supplying aid in the process of creating decisions and policies within the context of a wider framework. This is typically the case when ESA is carried out. In point of fact, it is becoming an increasingly popular practice to evaluate goods, programs, and organizations based on the influence that they have on the environment that they are a part of. The introduction of ideas "ESA" has led towards the development of "new" viewpoints on impact assessment. Several "new" views have been produced. The planning and decision-making procedures for sustainable development (SD) that are now being carried out are the context in which these ideas are intended to be implemented (Castellani & Sala, 2015). The following are some examples that are included in the environment sustainability assessment that currently recognized:

•An evaluation of sustainability according to the Sustainable Development Goals.
• The purpose of the evaluation towards make certain are carried out, since this is the only way are carried out.
• "plans and activities give the most optimum contribution possible to sustainable development," which is the goal of carrying out an evaluation of the environment's sustainability, is the aim of the assessment. In other words, the purpose of the evaluation is to determine how well plans and activities contribute to sustainable development.

Evidently, there has been a growing concern regarding the topic of whether or not the numerous empirical examples of environment sustainability assessment (ESA) are actually acceptable in the scientific community as well as in the circles of policy makers. This concern has been rising for some time now. In point of fact, they should be able to differentiate between various things and evaluate them in a manner that is sound and trustworthy in order to determine whether or are the ones that differ from one another the most dramatically in comparison to one another. When carrying out an Environment Sustainability assessment, it is necessary to incorporate sustainability principles, thresholds, and objectives into the evaluation. In addition to this, it is necessary to switch from an approach that is multidisciplinary to an approach that is inter- and trans-disciplinary. Both of these changes are required. Therefore, the most significant challenges for Environment Sustainability assessment are related to the need to identify demarcation lines that are based

on both science and policy, that involves multiple disciplines. Consequently, the most significant Environment Sustainability assessment demarcation lines that are based on both science and policy. In addition, the most difficult aspects of evaluating the environmental sustainability lie in the necessity of locating demarcation lines that are founded on science as well as policy and are able to specify a certain area. This is one of the most difficult aspects of environmental sustainability evaluation. In point of fact, the standard practice does not provide any guarantees that the choice that is taken in response to an ESA would contribute to sustainable development in the sense that the term was defined in the passage that came before it (Castellani et al., 2014). To be more specific, from a semantic point of view, the purpose of an Environment Sustainability assessment should be to determine whether or not It is frequently beyond our capabilities (at least with the level of knowledge that we now have), in order to have a complete comprehension of the complicated dynamics that are involve. This is because the launch of a new policy or product involves a number of moving parts, each of which must be carefully orchestrated. This is especially true when one considers the consequences that these arrivals have on society and the economy, which are subjects that are going to be discussed in the subsequent portion of this article. A survey of the most recent interaction shed light on this extremely important facet of the topic. This review addressed many roadblocks in the linkage between scientific research and the process of decision making, and it emphasized the fact that this facet of the situation was pushed to the forefront of discussion. If there is a need to strike a balance between a number of competing goals, this is of the highest relevance (CEC, 2012).

Producing an amount of scepticism regarding the application of the sustainability notion. This scepticism is not caused by the theory that underpins the concept of sustainability; rather, it is primarily caused by the inherent difficulties that are involved in quantifying it. This is causing a certain level of scepticism about the application of the concept of sustainability, which is producing a certain amount of scepticism regarding the application of the concept of sustainability. As a direct result of this, there is a heightened understanding of the crucial significance of the problem that lies in precisely. The purpose of this activity, which is to begin establishing concepts for evaluation and measurement, is to serve as a source of direction and support, which is why we are doing it. The Bellagio STAMP (Environment Sustainability assessment and Measurement Principles), which is discussed in this context, offers a fascinating picture of such an attempt and can be considered as a possible example of such an endeavour. The STAMP stands for "Environment Sustainability assessment and Measurement Principles." It wasn't until 2016 that it was originally conceived of, and it wasn't until very recently that it went through an expansion and was examined once again started out with the intention of accomplishing the goal of explaining the fundamentals of effective ESA in addition to the needs for it. The vast majority of case studies that evaluate sustainability and make use of problematic since the vast majority of case studies that examine sustainability and make use of the TBL approach continue to compare various alternatives on the basis of indicators that were In this vein, the current

research makes an effort to create a first complete (so much as it is feasible, considering the large quantity of literature on this issue) procedural method (Clark et al., 2013). This is done with the goal of achieving an evaluation that is open, objective, and flexible rather than one that is ambiguous and subjective. The strategy was developed in accordance with the primary obstacles that are presented by the science of sustainability and by the strategies that have been developed in recent years about sustainability. This discussion will center on the fundamental aspects of the framework. It is possible to gain an understanding of with assistance the methodological framework. Additionally, it is possible to gain an understanding of This is made feasible via the application of the methodological framework, which can be utilized for the evaluation of policies and measures that have been in place for some time as well as those that were just recently put into effect. During the process of building the sustainability framework, which will serve as the basis upon which the assessment will be carried out, it takes into consideration values and sustainability principles as preliminary options. This is done by incorporating them into the process. This will be carried out in order to make certain that the evaluation is as precise as is practically practicable. In addition to this, it satisfies the evaluation criteria that have been specified as a consequence of the research that has been carried out in relation to the Bellagio Project (Rocquigny et al., 2018). In the end, it makes an effort to transfer underlying concepts and fundamental principles construction ultimate system's continued viability. These tools will be used to determine whether or not the system will continue to be viable. It will be determined with the use of these techniques whether or not the system can be regarded to be sustainable. The purpose of the many components of the framework is to broaden the analyst's understanding of a wide variety of probable factors that will have an effect on the overall finding of the study. The framework aims to accomplish this as its primary objective. It is a check list, and its aim is to ensure that none of the choice variables have been overlooked in the process. The reader will have no trouble understanding that the approach that is being described here provides guarantee that the evaluation is thorough, extensive, and accessible to public inspection. This will not be a difficulty for the reader at all. In the context of ESA me, it ensures that the application process for ESA will be exactly as tough as it should be. This is the case since ESA me is a demanding program. The overall strategy that was suggested as a result of our research has as its primary objective the accomplishment of the goal of ensuring that the evaluation that is used to assist in the decision-making process is both trustworthy and comprehensive. This was determined to be the most important objective to achieve (Devuyst, 2016).

Because it examination pillars of that were discussed earlier in this paragraph, not within the of our investigation. Earlier in this paragraph, we discussed how the three pillars of sustainability are the technical and scientific foundation of sustainability. This is as a result of the fact that the primary objective of our inquiry is to ascertain the scientific and technological foundations of sustainability. The purpose of this research is to organize previously disparate pieces of knowledge on the scientific and technological analysis of

sustainability. This may be achieved by addressing the factors that play a role in decision-making and putting more emphasis on those factors that are prioritized by domain experts. The outline serves as the basis for the structure of the paper, which is laid out condensed account present evaluation is provided for your reading pleasure. Within the context of this conversation, explicit allusions are made to the discussion that is now taking place over the function, scope, and purpose of ESA (EC-JRC, 2016). The approach that is advised for carrying out the evaluation of sustainability is provided and spoken about in the section that is numbered which is the section that follows this one and comes after it in the order of presentation. In which can be accessed through this link, a more in-depth breakdown of the evaluation's operationalization can be found. At the end, the most significant findings of the essay are presented, along with a number of suggestions for topics that may be pursued in further discussion. These points center mostly on the authors' issues regarding the authors' reservations over the true potential of carrying out a comprehensive ESA (Finnveden & Moberg, 2015).

2. State of the Art in Sustainability Science and Assessment

The interactions between people and the natural environment, as well as between people themselves, are causing urgent and complex challenges that provide a challenge to both the systems of the planet and to humanity. These difficulties are also producing new opportunities for individuals. These difficulties are also a danger to other forms of life that exist on the earth. There is a growing sense of uneasiness regarding the "regular sciences'" capacity to provide solutions to problems and answers that are reasonable and trustworthy. This uneasy feeling has been growing steadily over the course of the previous several years. The complexity and multifaceted nature driving seek for paradigms models. To put it another way, as a result of the complexity and multidimensionality is being compelled to construct. Since that time, scientists all around the globe have gained a greater knowledge of the long-term issues that our delicate ecosystems confront, which has resulted in the requirement for the establishment of a new area of study known as sustainability science (Funtowicz & Ravetz, 2019). Since then, there has been an increase in the awareness, among scientists all across the world, of the long-term problems that our delicate ecosystems confront. From this analysis, we may draw the following four fundamental definitions of the field of sustainability science:

- a more advanced form of complex system analysis that seeks to improve one's knowledge of the circumstances of linked human and environmental systems by employing more advanced analytical and descriptive methods. This type of analysis was developed in an effort to improve one's understanding of the interrelationships between human and environmental systems.
- enhancing one's comprehension of the In order to define solutions, a comprehensive approach is required for the topic. This approach must be able to make use of and incorporate domain-specific knowledge, in addition to ESA (Gallopin, 2013).

In point of fact, sustainability science is a problem- and solution-oriented field of study, and on an epistemological level, it is constructed. These are the three cornerstones that support the structure as a whole. the characteristics that serve as the foundation for this newly founded subject, as are the research methodologies that connect with it. These are the features that serve as the foundation for this newly founded subject as well as the research methodologies that connect with it. The concept of sustainability, when viewed from the perspective of a number of different fields of research, goes beyond the subject-object relationship that is typical in conventional science and instead incorporates a relational component as the topic of investigation. This facet takes into account the connection that is being researched from both a spatiotemporal and a contextual point of view (Gasparatos et al., 2018). There is no question that modern scientific research is demonstrating an increased interest in the ever-increasing complexity and dynamism of the problems that our world is currently facing. There is no room for debate regarding this particular point. As an opinion, the notion that traditional scientific methods are unable to address the challenges that are currently being faced or provide answers that are both beneficial and practical is gaining more and more traction all the time. Additionally, essential, & they should the production a variety of forms of knowledge in addition to a synthesis of theory and practice. This is significant because of the inherent connection that exists between science and society. This is done with the intention of resolving important social between originating diverse education sectors (such as corporations, governments, and civil society) ESA.

Additionally, the paradigm shift that sustainability science has brought about has piqued the interest of a wider audience due to the fact that it is linked with a clearly defined goal, which is "fulfilling something has piqued the interest of a wider audience because it has been linked with a clearly defined goal, This particular objective is to "meet jeopardizing the ability (WCDE). fragility of our planet shortcomings of development unquestionably to be completely have become more obvious in recent years, contributing to the potency result of turbulence. According to ESA Gasparatos, 2013 the following is a list of the current research obstacles that need to be overcome complete ESA. It is important to remember the overarching goal of sustainability science while carrying out this task. • The goal of interdisciplinarity is to arrive at a common understanding of complex topics by combining the various approaches, thought processes, and theoretical frameworks that are utilized in a number of different academic fields; The study of normative problems pertaining to how interrelated human and environmental systems may work and appear if they collaborated with a wide variety of value-laden aims and purposes is one of the primary focuses of the field of sustainability science. This area of study is also one of the primary foci of the field of environmental ethics. In addition to this, it discusses concerns whether paths can discover linked, as well as techniques for finding answers to questions regarding sustainability (Gibbons et al., 2014). Recent discussion focused question of whether or not the environmental sustainability research endeavors have been successful in living up to the claims and promises made regarding the revolutionary significance of their work; for specific

examples, see Managing Uncertainty. In order to arrive at decisions that are in line with one's values, it is necessary to employ a method that is based on probability whenever one is evaluating the numerous available choices (Gutes, 2016). ESA Therefore, despite the fact that a sizeable number of authorities in the field acknowledge these characteristics, it is uncommon for them to be found in the empirical examples of ESA that are currently available. According to what Gutes, (2016) have already mentioned, a number of examples of assessments of the environment's ability to be sustainably used are as follows: This approach to evaluating potential outcomes "may not result in sustainable practice" in some situations, as the authors of ESA me point out. The authors made this observation as well, which was yet another point they brought up in their discussion. However, This was done in order to include all of the relevant considerations in an all-encompassing analysis. This was done so that an all-encompassing analysis could be carried out, taking into account all of the pertinent factors. Because of this, it might appear as though there is some kind of disagreement between the two of them.

However, as makes abundantly clear, the adoption of this paradigm instantaneously legitimizes practices such as exchanging the health of the environment for monetary gain and the perception of increased societal benefits. In other words, it gives the impression that these practices are acceptable (Hacking & Guthrie, 2018). In other words, it creates the impression that these behaviors are okay to engage in further bolstered approach asserts that natural capital and human capital (including resources such as infrastructure, labour, and knowledge) can be completely substituted for one another. further strengthened approach According to the information provided in this piece of writing, a number of the authors believe that ESA could be a "retrograde and dangerous move." In light of this, many academics are of the opinion that ESA is a step that could end up being harmful to the environment. We argue for the application of transparency in this article as a means of responding to the criticism that was presented earlier in the paragraph. Given that transparency is the most effective means of recognizing the breadth and depth of the concept of sustainability, we argue for its application in this article. To give just one example, we believe that prior to carrying out an ESA, it is of the utmost significance to precisely define the sustainability framework. According to ESA, ideas, processes, procedures, instruments" make up the "sustainability framework" (Jahn, 2015). If an analysis is carried out within a framework that is not very effective in terms of sustainability, then the trade-offs may be considered acceptable; however, the authors of the study are the ones who are responsible for making this assumption. As a result, ESA has been incorporate that have been discussed in the preceding part and to overcome any potential objections that may be leveled against the approach. This is done in order to incorporate the prerequi-sites that have been discussed in the preceding part. In light of this, our working hypothesis is ESA methods of evaluation may located on, because an assessment of environmental sustainability should be comprehensive, and it should also integrate evaluated (whether those systems are the Measurably, because an assessment of environmental sustainability should be

comprehensive, and it should also incorporate evaluated (whether those As a consequence of this, it is absolutely necessary for each of the parties involved to make a contribution that can be quantified and to participate in the process at each stage (Huesemann, 2020).

3. Methodological Framework for Environment Sustainability assessment

3.1. Architecture

The field of sustainable development has to devise a strategy for closing the knowledge gap that exists between scientific research and practical activities. Using a technique known as the Environment Sustainability assessment approach, it is necessary to conduct an analysis of these endeavors, whether they take the form of laws, programs, or products, in order to determine the extent to which they adhere to the principles of sustainability (ESA). A consideration of the environment's fundamental makeup will serve as the jumping off point for an investigation of its long-term viability. As was mentioned, the purpose of this establishes, if implemented, make it possible for participating ESA a series of and step-by-step procedures. If this conceptual framework were implemented, then it would make it possible for participating ESA this conceptual framework. If this conceptual framework were to be put into practice, it would make it easy for who was taking part in an ESA to follow a set of step-by-step processes that were rational and consistent with one another. In this part of the article, you will find a representation in the form of a diagram of the methodological framework that we produced for your consideration.

The two primary components of the framework are the ESA principles and the ESA method, both of which may be summed up as follows: 1) the ESA principles; and (ii) the ESA method. The information that follows presents a condensed version of the several components that, together, constitute this totality (Jeswani et al.,2016).

3.2. Environmental Sustainability assessment

Principles There are a few more ideas that need to be thought about during the course of the assessment, in addition to the fundamentals of sustainability, which are discussed in Section 3.3.1.2. These ideas need to be taken into account. The following are the guiding principles: We have decided to give serious consideration to the principles of the Bellagio STAMP rather than any of the other potential options that were available to us because they are the most in line with our thinking and reflect a consensus reached by a number of authorities in the field. This decision was made because the principles of the Bellagio STAMP reflect a consensus reached by a number of authorities in the field. This choice was decided because the concepts outlined in the Bellagio STAMP document reflect the culmination of discussions held by a variety of authorities in the region. These ideas were initially developed by a team of professionals in the field of measurement in the year 2016, and they went through the most recent iteration of the revision process in the year 2015. In the next paragraphs, you

will find an overview of the numerous principles, along with the writers' explanations of what each one means (Kates et al., 2021).

1) Guiding vision. Should act as a rudder to steer growth toward environmentally friendly and responsible development. Should serve as a compass to steer progress toward sustainable development providing while remaining guaranteeing that it will be there should serve as a compass to steer progress toward sustainable development

2) Important aspects. essential, economic, environmental are fundamental the system as a whole, but also the interactions that exist between those factors. This is because it is impossible to predict the outcomes of a system without knowing all of its components. This includes considerations relating continuing, as well as interplay between these elements; the potential to have an effect beyond repercussions.

3) Sufficient space. When conducting an analysis of the progress that has been made toward achieving, it necessary towards take into consideration consequences recent policy choices and ongoing actions carried out by humans. This is because the human race is responsible for term the actions. In addition, the evaluation needs to take into consideration the appropriate geographical breadth in order to take into account the local implications of these repercussions in addition to the national and global ramifications (Kissinger et al., 2016).

4) The structure, along with any ideas that apply to it. In order to guarantee that one ESA can be compared to the others, standardized measuring procedures need to be applied whenever it is practical. This will ensure that a comparison can be made between all of the ESAs. The picking the trustworthy facts, that are connected to them should be laid with the help of a conceptual framework. It is important that this step be completed before beginning any other work. While attempting to recognize patterns and create hypothetical situations, it is critical to make use of the most recent data that is available. In conclusion, it is vital for the ESA to carry out, to the extent that it is practicable to do so, a with objectives and benchmarks.

5. Authenticity of purpose and openness to scrutiny. It is of the utmost significance, in the context of ESAs, for the findings to be easily accessible to the general public. Additionally, it is of the utmost significance for the data, models, and indicators to be openly available. Additionally, it is of the utmost significance for the sources of the data. In order to fulfill the criteria of the assignment, choices, assumptions, and uncertainties, all of which play a part in producing the outcomes of the assessment, need to be given and discussed in depth. It is necessary, in the same vein as ESA me, for the origins of the funding to be made public, as well as any possible conflicts of interest that may exist.

6) The skill to communicate effectively in a variety of settings. In order to build trust and assist with interpretation; ESAs should be held accountable for any misuse of the information they provide (Komiyama et al., 2015).

7) The capacity to continue business as usual and the ability to do so. In order for environmental sustainability assessments (ESAs) to be given any sort of legitimacy, there must first be a period of continual monitoring. As a direct result of this, it is vital to maintain

a responsiveness to change while also often measuring the state of the system. As a consequence of this, expenditures are essential for the construction and upkeep of suitable.
8) A population that consists of a very wide range of individuals ESAs should find suitable approaches to improve legitimacy and relevance, such as interacting opinions actively offering, and participating in early engagement with users of the assessment. ESAs should also find ways to improve legitimacy and relevance in their assessments. Here are some instances of how ESAs might increase their legitimacy and relevance in the following ways: essential rather efficient ESA. This is why they are essential: because they can guide the practitioner in a fruitful way, they These guiding principles are important for the following reason: they have the potential to be extremely beneficial to their followers. Involvement from stakeholders, in addition to adherence to the "broad participation" criterion, is, in our opinion, one of the most demanding conditions for carrying out an Environment Sustainability assessment. Because of this, it is possible to be certain that what is carried out is not only a straightforward integrated evaluation but rather an effective ESA. It need to be implanted at every stage that is demonstrated in a cross-disciplinary context, leading in a co-production of knowledge from the identifying of the issue to the identification of remedies ESA (Lang et al., 2012).

3.3. ESA Process

ESA method may be broken down into its component parts, which are referred to as phases. The definitions of the following concepts, which dictate these steps: the approach to sustainability, the sustainability objectives, the decision context, and the methodological options for the evaluation, are as follows: the objectives options evaluation at the beginning of this section comes which has been given so that the reader may have a better understanding of these words.

Results and Discussion

The organization or other stakeholder that initially demanded it and then put into action a plan for achieving sustainability in their operations is a key component of the ESA. For the sake of illustration, the judgment will be different depending on whether the concept of sustainability is seen from a position of weakness or strength. Our point of view is that the process of sustainability may be broken down into two separate groups, which are referred to as.

Due efficiency of the European Space Agency (ESA) is frequently and seriously called into question actual business, choice typically made by specialists, due consideration held by who are influenced the decision. In other words, the decision is made account held by, one the fundamental features of complex systems is the presence of a number of distinct and valid points of view on the issue at hand. Complex systems are characterized by this quality, which is a fundamental characteristic. The process of finding a solution involves separating a systemic phenomena from the individual viewpoints that are contained in this broad

collection of perspectives. This may be done by thinking about the problem from a variety of different angles. This is done in an effort to make it easier to figure out a solution to the problem. This is the location where one may find the combined function that takes into account all of those different points of view. The creation of a map depicting the many settings in which the occurrence and trend may be understood is necessary in order to complete this project in a satisfactory manner. Therefore, by selecting a particular method of analysis, or program in terms of its impact on the environment. This is because the method of analysis is evaluate efficacy, or program in terms of its impact on the environment. This is due to the fact that the specific technique of analysis is the valid measuring instrument that can be used to evaluate the efficiency of a specific project, plan, or program in terms of the influence that it has on the environment (Mayer, 2013). There is no strategy, technique, or model that could ever escape being the product of the scientific, cultural, and political/institutional environment in which it was developed. This is because there is no such thing as a method that could ever avoid being the product of the milieu in which it was produced. It is equally as important to provide an open and honest presentation of the values that inspired the review, despite the fact that it is needed to carry out the evaluation in the most objective manner that is practically possible. very validity and reliability of the approaches that are used in the evaluation of sustainability (for example, the distinction between strong.

Table 1: Criteria used in the reviewed papers to categorise sustainability assessment methodologies, methods, indicators and tools.

Sustainability aspects	Criteria for the assessment	Interaction
Ontology	Subject of the assessment Sustainability indices domain (scope of measurement) Capability of taking the three pillars into account (comprehensiveness) Level of integration among pillars (integratedness) Kinds of impact covered (use of resources, environmental impact and/or economic aspects)	Product, plan, policies, etc.

	Capability of addressing indirect inputs and effects Scenario development	
	System boundaries	The focus/perspective is broad and forward-looking ('strategicness') System-wide impacts vs narrower-site impacts
Epistemology	Accounting vs change-orientated Capability to communicate to stakeholder or to multi-stakeholders'	Communication
Methodology	Analytical vs procedural tools Aggregation method	Bottom-up: sums, averages, and ratios vs Bottom-up: Principal Component Analysis, regression, and information theory Top-down: carrying capacity/accounting
	Spatial/temporal issues	Forecasting/backcasting; to act retrospectively or prospectively Short- vs long-term perspective Scaling measure across space ('cross-section') or time ('time-series') Quantifying, analysing, and modelling interregional linkages Global vs local

Since there are so many distinct settings in which an analysis is required, each of these settings has to have its own individual interpretation of the many values that must then be taken into consideration. This is because there are so many different contexts in which an analysis is required. For instance, some of the most well-known concepts associated with

sustainability are as follows: There is likely to be a wide range of different facets and points of view included inside each of these concepts, and these should all be taken into consideration. For instance, the planetary limitations mentioned in Moberg, (2015) might stand for key notions that have to be honoured independent of the particular cultural and socio-political norms that are in existence. This is because the planetary limits are a function of the planet as a whole. This is due to the fact that the planetary boundaries are an essential component of the ecology that exists on our planet. In addition, ideas can come from a number of places and have many distinct points of view, all of which are contingent on the geographic region in which they were conceived of and What exactly makes a sustainable environment may be defined with the help of the ideas and principles that underpin sustainability as a concept. While thinking about sustainability, there are many different conceptual frameworks to think about; the ESA id is an example of one of these conceptual frameworks. We will take some of the several definitions of sustainability that are offered in (Mathur et al., 2011). that can be applied to real-world scenarios. Specifically, we will use the following definitions:

(1) Ecological interpretations have a propensity to place a focus on the concepts of threshold, the steady state, integrated inside

(2) The concept of social welfare, as well as that are linked notion justice achieved via application ecologically sustainable practices;

(3) The concept of ecologically sustainable practices as a means of

(4) Approaches to Achieving Environmental Sustainability Investigated from the Perspective of Public Administration and Planning Theory Within the context of aspires produce an components, it is essential to lay an emphasis on. This is one of the most important aspects of achieving sustainability. This objective may be stated in a number of different ways. But, regardless of how it is read, it converted objectives, are what judged against. The framework for sustainability that is described in this part is required to be comprehended in some fashion. It is necessary to emphasize the fact that, in our perspective, there is no need to address ESA if there have been no attempts taken to define sustainable objectives. This is a point that has to be emphasized because it is essential (Nakano & Hirao, 2016). The necessity of identifying these aims, in conjunction with the well-known politics of the ESA, gives rise to a number of challenges that ought to be taken into consideration. These challenges should be considered. To begin, there is a possibility that they will be exogenously identified by a global agency (such as the United Nations), and after that, they will be allotted to the various nations and/or various economic sectors. In addition, there is the possibility that they will be exogenously identified by an international agency (such as the United Nations). There is also the potential that they will be recognized endogenously by a local agency here in the United States. This is the first piece of evidence that I will present in support of my claim. If this method is supported by a robust participatory approach, then it should be able to provide results that can be appropriately shared by all of the people that were active in the process. The objectives might be stated at a operation; more specifically, viewpoint executing

ESA. would be one possible course of action to take. This would be one method that may be taken to the situation. A method that is more tailored to the person might look something like this. With this approach, the requirement of the procedure for transparency is ESA satisfied, and the regulator (that is, any actor engaged) is allowed to take action to call into that has been specified. In other words, this way satisfies ESA. Using this method offers the benefit of lowering the likelihood of making a mistake. Using this strategy, transitional progression (Ness et al., 2017). After a little interlude of adjustment, this will be the situation. This is because the strategy takes into account the dynamic interplay that exists between these three distinct types of advancements, which explains why this result was obtained.

Both treatments have the potential to be beneficial to the patient, but they also both provide the patient with some possible hazards. It would be inappropriate to suggest a solution to the issue at this early stage in the conversation. It is more realistic to launch a discussion on this subject that is held by a larger audience.

The plan for ensuring the long-term viability of the external input is reflected in this stage of the evaluation in a manner that is analogous to a mirror image. Specifically, it is the responsibility of the analyst to analyze and evaluate in terms of practicability the sustainable framework that was generated by the setup of the evaluation. All of the possibly confusing messages that were received for the analysis are then DE-fuzzed, which is sometimes referred to as translated, in order to create an environment in which quantitative judgements may be formed. This process takes place as follows. One may picture the environment in which judgments are made using any one of a number of different kinds of lightbulbs. Moberg presented a strategy, and we have discovered that the majority of our actions are consistent with the goals outlined in it, An ESA can take place in a variety of settings, and depending on those settings, it can serve a variety of different purposes. [Note: It is possible to utilize it to conduct an analysis of the effect that a range of policies and actions that have been proposed at the political level would have on environmentally sustainable development. On the other hand, it may also be utilized to determine whether or not a certain business or government entity is contributing to sustainable (or unsustainable) growth (Nijkamp, 2014). In addition to this, it may be utilized to conduct an assessment to determine whether or not the usage of a certain good or service, as well as its production, is sustainable. The ESA can be carried out in a manner that is analogous to the one that was just described; however, the specifics of each scenario will have an effect on the various phases of the assessment. Regardless of which of these outcomes actually occurs, the ESA can be carried out in the manner that was just described. The manner in which the ESA is going to be carried out is yet another important aspect that has to be discussed within the framework of the available choices. This is one of the things that must be done. This is a component that cannot be left out. Within the area of decision theory, there are two primary ways that may be taken into consideration: (also strategy, outlines objectives), Both of these approaches are fundamental in nature "what if" typically refers to both of these different strategies

combined as a single, unified strategy (OECD, 2013). When there are thresholds that, if crossed, can result in severe repercussions, the first method should be favoured above the other accessible choices. This is because crossing the threshold can result in severe consequences. This is due to the fact that exceeding these limits might have with regard to acceleration of increase in the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. When one is solely concerned with thresholds, there is a significant risk that they would fall prey to the fallacy of having the mistaken belief advancements above little." This is as a result of the fact that putting more focus. Additionally, the bulk enormous degrees impossible assess; as a result, it is probable that relying on only a few fixed figures will not be to one's advantage. This is due to the fact that the thresholds hide the uncertainty, which makes it harder to quantify. Because of this, each and every threshold needs to have an evaluation performed alongside the degree of certainty that has been assumed in the process of setting it at all times. This is something that must be done consistently strategy concentrates constructing a variety of hypothetical situations, each of which is presumed to be accurate (Osorio et al., 2019). The evaluation of a number of hypothetical future occurrences by applying a number of criteria to a collection of plausible alternatives is what is meant by the term "scenario," and it corresponds to the process described above. It is a requirement in ESA that scenarios be analyzed in accordance with certain criteria that relate to each of the three fundamental pillars of sustainability. This requirement was introduced in ESA version 1.0. Because of this, it is highly improbable across of the that are used. As a result of this, it is extremely unlikely that a solution will be found (Pareto optimality). As a consequence of this, despite the fact that there are a variety of unique approaches to solving the problem, the great majority of the time, a single signal is assessed based on [Cause and effect] will need of the conceivable components in order to decide which framework will provide the most favourable conditions for carrying out the examination. This will be done so that they can choose which framework will provide the most favourable conditions (O'Connor, 2021).

3.3.3. Methodological Choices for Performing the Assessment

method that is suited towards a particular situation needs to be chosen, and results review will have an impact on the upcoming phase, which will consist of a framework for evaluating methods. This fundamental concept acts as the pivotal point around which the ESA structure is centered. It is feasible to break it down into the steps listed below: the definition of monitoring techniques in order to measure the progress being made toward sustainability - a study of the sensitivity and uncertainty of the framework for the evaluation -the determination of the assessment methods that are the most appropriate. We followed the language that was used in Sala et al. (2013), acknowledging that each component plays a unique role that is hierarchically distinct from the roles played by the other components, the vast majority of the time with the assistance of a tool. In the following, we will go over the fundamentals of sensitivity and uncertainty analysis, as well as the procedure for determining the strategy that is the most applicable to the situation at hand. Given that it will

be incorporated into all stages of the evaluation, a dedicated section has been developed to provide a condensed summary of the principles that govern the involvement of stakeholders. This was done in light of the fact that it will be incorporated into all stages of the evaluation. This was carried out once it was established that doing so will be required in the future. Regarding in order towards evaluate how far has progressed toward achieving its goals. This is so that an evaluation can be made as to how far the study has progressed toward achieving its goals. This is done so that a determination may be made as to how far down the path to accomplishing the goals of the research the investigation has proceeded. This is a crucial component of the idea of doing capacity evaluations in a continuing manner (Pappenberger & Beven, 2022).

3.3.3.1. Identification of the Most Suitable Methodologies.

It is of the utmost importance to have a clear categorization of the many different strategies and related processes that are available in order to determine which strategy will deliver the outcomes from the assessment that are the most accurate. This can be accomplished by having a clear categorization of the many different strategies and related processes that are available. During the course of the past three decades, a variety of ESA-related indicators, techniques, and models have been produced. These may be broken down into three categories: methods, models, and approaches. The vast majority of them were adaptations of procedures that had been devised in the past for use in other contexts and that were subsequently implemented in South Africa. In the study that has been made available to the public, the methods have been the focus of an in-depth investigation in order to determine certain features that may be used in order to classify them (Patterson, 2021). In addition to a specific grasp of the cultural and ethical principles that lay underlying ESA, this idea of ESA serves as the foundation for these standards, which are founded on a specific understanding of both of those aspects. During the course of our investigation, we chose unique construct that may be used to determine whether or not a method is able to satisfy the standards of a reliable Environment Sustainability assessment. This list will be used to determine whether or not a method is able to satisfy the standards. This list of criteria can be used to establish whether or not a method is capable of meeting the requirements of an evaluation if it is determined that the list is applied (Turner et al., 2013).

This meta-review brought to light the facts that very few of the currently adopted methods. We have constructed the categorization system that is shown in based on the analysis that was just shown to you. This scheme is an example of a spectrum of criteria that may be applied to the task of evaluating the success of various ways to dealing with sustainability. One of the tasks that must be accomplished is to determine which strategies are most effective. Despite the fact that those traits are elaborately covered in the works that were listed before, this article will not focus on techniques, or exclusively in their nature. In point of fact, the degree to which methodological aspects contribute to the assessment's overall robustness is directly proportional to the amount of weight they are given. In spite of this

reality, the components of Environment Sustainability assessment are not exclusive to those methods; rather, they are relevant to any and settings approaches. Points out, measures might the components that have an influence on the behavior of an index are not taken into consideration when choices pertaining to such activities are being made. These criteria take into account the breadth of the data that may be accessed as well as the parameters that are set for the system. In addition to this, the incorporation, modification, and weighting of indicator data, in addition to the method of aggregate calculation that is applied, are all incorporated as well (Verheem, 2020). This may also be applicable to techniques that were developed outside of ESA but are useful to broader environments when they have been established. Despite the fact that there are additional components that were developed specifically for ESA, this is the case. Under this section, we will report on factors that are directly significant to the SD-orientation of the method in and of itself. The following are some instances of criteria that fall under this category:

• the all-encompassing nature of nature (ranging unified nature of everything)

(ranging ways that are have a restricted all the way solutions that are able to deal with multitemporal and multiscale elements)

• strategic Ness approaches (which can range from simple accounting procedures all the way up to methods that have already integrated sustainable notions, such as life cycle thinking) that are truly solution focused and change oriented. These approaches can range from simple accounting procedures to methods that have already integrated sustainable notions.

An in-depth investigation is necessary in order to accomplish the goals of identifying the thresholds and analysing the myriad of various outcomes that are conceivable given the circumstance. It doesn't matter if a method uses modelling or This is due to the fact that techniques based on modelling and simulation begin with the presumption that the world can be modelled and simulated. When we realize that the world in which we live is a complex system that is made up of a number of unique subsystems that are tightly interwoven with one another, the relevance of this fact becomes even more evident. Experience-based techniques are predicated on the notion that It is difficult to anticipate that the usual condition of ceteris paribus, which is used for modelling a broad range of physical systems, would be satisfied in this setting questions arise begin towards evaluate. The expression "ceteris paribus" comes from Latin and translates to "provided that all other things continue in the same manner" (Wickson et al., 2016). On the other hand, ambiguity is commonly used for the aim of either covering something up or disregarding a problem. Let's use the change in climate as an example and speak about what transpired throughout that time so that we can have a better grasp on what took occurred. The issue of climate change was overlooked for a significant period of years until it was at long last given some serious consideration. There are in point of fact a great deal of different sources of uncertainty, some examples of which are as follows: uncertainty regarding It was possible to delay the implementation of rules that would significantly cut down on emissions of greenhouse gases by drawing attention to the numerous potential reasons for doubt. This made it possible to postpone the

implementation of rules that would significantly cut down on emissions of greenhouse gases It is obvious that the attempt to predict multiple complicated reaction manmade factors influence civilization, which are frequently intertwined in a complex manner is the root of all of the causes of uncertainty in this situation. One example of this is how the climate reacts to natural and manmade factors and how it affects civilization (Wiek et al., 2015). The following are some more hypotheses that might account explain the current condition of affairs: The example of climate change is helpful in understanding why it is essential to recognize and deal with the many sources of uncertainty that may develop in ESA in order to strengthen the robustness of the assessment itself. This is because the example helps illustrate why it is essential to recognize and deal with the many potential sources of uncertainty that may develop. This is due to the fact that the example helps illustrate why it is important to understand why it is vital to recognize and deal numerous sources of uncertainty that may develop in ESA. This is because the example illustrates why it is important to understand why it is vital to recognize and deal with the numerous sources of uncertainty Unpredictability can come from a variety of places, including the following: In such a setting, the idea of sustainability evaluation runs the risk of being devoid of any practical usefulness in the wider world. This suggests that any technical research that is focused on the Environment Sustainability assessment with the intention of helping decision making must examine effects alternatives. In other words, this is a requirement for any research that aims to assist decision making. To put it another way, this is a prerequisite for any research that intends to assist individuals in the decision-making process (Wiek et al., 2014). The writers are well aware that, in addition to a high level of skill, appreciating all of the nuances of the subject matter that is going to be researched may need a significant amount of effort. However, uncertainty is a significant cause for concern, particularly for those individuals who are tasked with the process of developing public policy. It is conceivable for individuals to get perplexed by it; nevertheless, it is possible to make it relevant to policy if the findings possibility the objectives will be accomplished. Despite this, that are a part of the study of sustainability need to take into account the many diverse stakes, sources of power, and types of conflict that exist (such as political, economic, and social). People who are in charge of developing policies consequently have to make a choice: they may opt to face the risks, or they can take activities that improve the possibility that their goals will be fulfilled. Either way, they are required to make a decision. There are, in general, two separate kinds of risks that are associated with the process of establishing policies: I doing too much is the more common of the two risks. Degree to which politicians are willing to accept the several different kinds of policy risks will be directly proportionate to the preferences they have and the significance they place on the many different kinds of environmental, social, and economic concerns that are at play. If a politician does their research, they will quickly understand that there is the possibility for policies to be made more successful by identifying risks and making adjustments to decrease the impact of those hazards exist). There, Huesemann provides an analysis of the circumstance that is more in-

depth than what you will find here. There are various approaches to managing uncertainty; however, study overview of the different approaches to managing uncertainty that are now available. The reader who is interested can either look at the framework that was described by de Rocquigny et al. or they can check out Patterson (2021) which is additional research on climate change. In addition, the reader will be directed to the book in order to obtain a more in-depth explanation of the risks that are associated a system.

Appropriate carrying out an ESA, taking into consideration the fact that the selection of a methodology is related not only to the acceptance of stakeholders in the valuation procedure:

I ensure that stakeholders have access to information in an open and honest manner, which enables them to investigate the assumptions that were used and the outcomes that were anticipated as a result of decisions; I make it possible for stakeholders to interact with one another at every stage of the process; and I work to improve a manner that is straightforward and simple to grasp. Methods that have been created within the intention of building trans disciplinarity are working toward the objective of increasing the amount of engagement from a range of different stakeholders. It is abundantly evident validity an essential component, fundamental challenges that must be addressed in any investigation that is pertinent to sustainability various includes, but is not limited to, the following: ESA Setting sustainability objectives The following are some examples of the Orin project's development: If the entire review process is going to be made more efficient and if it is going to assist in the construction of a consensus, then the involvement of stakeholders has to be considered as an essential component. This is because it will help establish a consensus. The method of engaging with stakeholders may also profit from fresh perspectives made available by advances in technology. Because of these new technology, fields of research that were previously unavailable are becoming easier to pursue. For example, one way that they accomplish this is by providing quick and efficient visualization tools that are able to illustrate how the many options that have been contemplated will affect the outcome (Ness et al., 2017).

4. Conclusions and Discussion

Under the heading of "environment sustainability assessment," the academic literature contains references to a plethora of different evaluation methods that fall under the category of "environmental impact assessment" (ESA). However, in order to overcome concerns that have been raised whether or not they are truly comprehensive and robust, the current ESA practices need a robust framework. This will allow them to overcome the challenges that have been presented to them. Concerns like these have been voiced in relation to the many different examples of evaluation that are currently at one's disposal, and whether or not they are actually exhaustive and reliable. This heralds the start of the transition from integrated assessment to ESA, which will eventually be completed. It is feasible that the "transformative" function that has been wanted of sustainability research can be met by

increasing the comprehensiveness and robustness of evaluations. This has been suggested as a viable solution. As a result of this, ESA may be regarded as a leverage for successfully promoting sustainability, in addition to functioning as a tool for analysing the progress that is being made toward that objective and/or comparing it to other potential outcomes. This is a consequence of the fact that ESA functions as a tool for analysing the progress that is being made toward that objective and/or comparing it to other potential outcomes. Assessing the sustainability of the environment; rather, it is to specify important processes as basic criteria that underlie an in-depth evaluation of the environment's sustainability. In other words, the purpose propose a for assessing the sustainability of the environment. In this manner of assessment, underpinnings taken into account. As a result of this, the idea of sustainability is evaluated by taking into consideration thresholds that are based on science and/or policy, openness is achieved by presenting background values, and information regarding trans-disciplinary research is offered. This might also be helpful in providing a detailed explanation of the subsequent tasks that need to be followed. Despite the fact that ESA needs to satisfy three key criteria, including base picture, there are a few issues that need to be handled with. toward strains disciplina This necessitates the incorporation of sustainability goals, as well as a shift away from a methodology that is oriented toward comparison and analysis, and toward an approach and scope that are much more solution-oriented. In addition, the incorporation of sustainability goals necessitates a shift away from a methodology that is oriented toward comparison and analysis. In addition to this, because of this, it is necessary to incorporate sustainability goals, as well as to move away from a methodology that is focused on comparison and analysis. This study's development and presentation of a methodological framework for ESA is an important first step in resolving the significant obstacles that were discussed previously in this portion of the paper. For the process to properly progress, a deeper level of engagement from each of the aforementioned communities is essential. "Those communities that are actively establishing alternative metric systems; those communities that are actively practising project or policy-oriented evaluation. ESA and new methods that are developed specifically for this purpose. These considerations need to be made in light of the fact that members of the second community II who are involved in the development of methods need to consider which ontological, epistemological, the junction of science and policy is where one may find both the second and third communities. They are of utmost importance in the process of selecting suitable methodologies, models, and indicators, in addition to providing a lucid explanation of the assumptions and the range of possible outcomes. To be able to assist the genuine progress of ESA and, to a greater extent, the mainstreaming of sustainability, they will need to be able to cooperate on the generation of new knowledge across at least these three communities. Only then will they be able to provide support. These differences can be broken down into three categories: ontological, methodological, and epistemological. These distinctions are divisible into a number of subcategories, including ontological, methodological, and epistemological distinctions. These contrasts can be classified as either ontological

differences, methodological distinctions, or epistemological distinctions, depending on the context in which they are discussed. The political aspect of ESA, which is widely acknowledged, raises the challenging question of who exactly would have the legal authority to carry it out. This is not the least important point, but it is an important one. In point of fact, producer body, or another factor. Before any actor can begin to analyze the influence that the policy, producer body, or other factor has on sustainable development, these goals need to be created first. After that, these goals might be used as a foundation for guiding those decisions that need to be made. Due to time constraints, the writers are unable to provide a straight comment about this particular subject at this juncture. In other words, the top-down approach may not be the best way to go about identifying targets. To put it another way, it's likely that the technique that works from the top down isn't the most effective way to go about finding targets. This is as a result of the fact that it is possible that the determination of goals should not always follow a strategy that is derived from the top down.

References

Bohringer, C., Jochem, P.E.P., 2017. Measuring the immeasurable —a survey of sustainability indices. Ecol. Econ. 63, 1–8.

Bond, A.J., Dockerty, T., Lovett, A., Riche, A.B., Haughton, A.J., Bohan, D.A., Sage, R.B., Shield, I.F., Finch, J.W., Turner,M.M., Karp, A., 2015. Learning how to deal with values, frames and governance in sustainability appraisal. Regional Studies 45 (8), 1157–1170.

Boschetti, F., 2014. A graphical representation of uncertainty in complex decision making. Emerg. Comp. Organ. 13 (1–2), 146–166.

Castellani, V., Sala, S., 2013. Sustainable performance index for tourism policy development. Tour. Manag. 31, 871–880.

Castellani, V., Sala, S., 2012. Ecological footprint and life cycle assessment in the sustainability assessment of tourism activities. Ecol. Indic. 16, 135–147.

Castellani, V., Piazzalunga, A., Sala, S., 2014. Research findings and decision making: the case of renewable energy. Environ. Sci. Eur. 25, 22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2190- 4715-25-22.

CEC (Commission of the European Communities), 2012. A Sustainable Europe for a Better World: A European Strategy for Sustainable Development COM(2001) 264 Final.

CEC (Commission of the European Communities), 2013. Europe 2020. A Strategy for Smart, Sustainable and Inclusive Growth. Communication From the Commission COM (2010) 2020 Final.

Clark, W.C., Dickson, N.M., 2013. Sustainability science: the emerging research program. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 8059–8061.

de Rocquigny, E., Devictor, N., Tarantola, S. (Eds.), 2018. Uncertainty in Industrial Practice. A Guide to Quantitative Uncertainty Management. Wiley, Chichester.

Devuyst, D., 2016. How Green is the City? Sustainability Assessment and the Management of Urban Environments. Columbia University Press, New York, p. 457.

EC-JRC (2016). Recommendations based on existing environmental impact assessment models and factors for Life Cycle Assessment in European context. ILCD Handbook — International Reference Life Cycle Data System, European Union EUR24571EN. ISBN 978-92-79–17451–3. (Available at http://lct.jrc.ec.europa.eu)
Finnveden, G., Moberg, A., 2015. Environmental systems analysis tools — an overview. J. Clean. Prod. 13, 1165–1173.
Funtowicz, S.O., Ravetz, J.R., 2019. Science for the post-normal age. Futures 25, 739–755.
Gallopin, G., 2001. Science and technology, sustainability and sustainable development. ECLAC, LC/R.2081, p. 30.
Gasparatos, A., El-Haram, M., Horner, M., 2018. A critical review of reductionist approaches for assessing the progress towards sustainability. Environ. Impact Assess. Rev. 28, 286–311.
Gasparatos, A., 2013. Embedded value systems in sustainability assessment tools and their implications. J. Environ. Manag. 91, 1613–1622.
Gibbons, M., Limoges, M., Nowotny, C., Schwartzman, H., Scott, S., Trow,M., 2014. The New Production of Knowledge. The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies. 1st ed. Thousand Oaks, London, p. 179.
Gutes, M.C., 2016. Commentary: the concept of weak sustainability. Ecol. Econ. 17, 147–156.
Hacking, T., Guthrie, P., 2018. A framework for clarifying the meaning of triple bottom-line, integrated and sustainability assessment. Environ. Impact Assess. Rev. 28 (2–3), 73–89.
Huesemann, M.H., 2020. The inherent biases in environmental research and their effects on public policy. Futures 34, 621–633.
Jahn, T., 2015. Transdisciplinarity in the practice of research. In: Bergmann, M., Schramm, E. (Eds.), Transdisziplinare Forschung: Integrative Forschungsprozesse verstehen and bewerten. Campus Verlag, Frankfurt/Main, Germany, pp. 21–37.
Jeswani, H.K., Azapagic, A., Schepelmann, P., Ritthoff, M., 2016. Options for broadening and deepening the LCA approaches. J. Clean. Prod. 18, 120–127.
Kates, R.W., Clark, W.C., Corell, R., Hall, J.M., Jaeger, C.C., Lowe, I., McCarthy, J.J., Schellnhuber, H.J., Bolin, B., Dickson, N.M., Faucheux, S., Gallopin, G.C., Grubler, A., Huntley, B., Jager, J., Jodha, N.S., Kasperson, R.E., Mabogunje, A., Matson, P., Mooney, H.,Moore, B., O'Riordan, T., Svedin, U., 2021. Environment and development: sustainability science. Science 292, 641–642.
Kissinger, M., Rees, W.E., Timmer, V., 2016. Interregional sustainability: governance and policy in an ecologically interdependent world. Environ. Sci. Pol. 14 (8), 965–976.
Komiyama, H., Takeuchi, K., 2015. Sustainability science: building a new discipline. Sustain. Sci. 1, 1–6.
Lang, D.J., Wiek, A., Bergmann, M., Stauffacher, M., Martens, P., Moll, P., Swilling, M., Christopher, J., Thomas, C.J., 2012. Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges. Sustain. Sci. 7 (1), 25–43.
Mayer, A.L., 2013. Strengths and weaknesses of common sustainability indices for multidimensional systems. Environ. Int. 34, 277–291.

Moberg, Å., 2015. Environmental systems analytical tools differences and similarities including a brief case study on heat production using ecological footprint, MIPS, LCA and exergy analysis. Master Degree thesis. Systemekologiska Institutionen, Stockholms Universitet.
Narain Mathur, V., Price, A.D.F., Austin, S., 2011. Conceptualizing stakeholder engagement in the context of sustainability and its assessment. Constr. Manage. Econ. 26 (6), 601–609.
Nakano, K., Hirao, M., 2016. Collaborative activity with business partners for improvement of product environmental performance using LCA. J. Clean. Prod. 19 (11), 1189–1197.
Ness, E., Piirsalu, U., Anderberg, S., Olsson, L., 2017. Categorising tools for sustainability assessment. Ecol. Econ. 60, 498–508.
Nijkamp, P., 2014. Ceteris paribus, spatial complexity and spatial equilibrium. An interpretative
perspective. Reg. Sci. Urban Econ. 37, 509–516.
OECD, 2013. OECD environmental indicators — development, measurement and use. Reference
Paper, Paris.
Osorio, L.A.R., Lobato, M.O., Del Castillo, X.Á., 2019. An epistemology for sustainability science: a proposal for the study of the health/disease phenomenon. Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World 16 (1), 48–60.
O'Connor, M., 2021. Paradigm for sustainability assessment: inventory of costs and benefits versus representative diversity of indicators. Background Paper in Support of the United Nations System of Environmental-Economic Accounts (SEEA) 2010 Reform Process.
Pappenberger, F., Beven, K.J., 2022. Ignorance is bliss: or seven reasons not to use uncertainty
analysis. Water Resour. Res. 42 (5) (art. no. W05302).
Patterson, M., 2021. Is there more in common than we think? Convergence of ecological footprinting, energy analysis, life cycle assessment and other methods of sustainability assessment. Proceedings of ISEE 2010 Conference “Advancing Sustainability in Time of Crisis” retrieved from
http://www.isee2010.org/full_papers.php?level=0&cat=speaker&subcat=p, accessed February 2012.
Turner II, B.L., Kasperson, R.E., Matson, P.A., McCarthy, J.J., Corell, R.W., Christensen, L., Eckley, N., Kasperson, J.X., Luers, A., Martello, M.L., Polsky, C., Pulsipher, A., Schiller, A., 2013. A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 8074–8079.
Verheem, R., 2020. Recommendations for sustainability assessment in the Netherlands. In commission for EIA. Environmental impact assessment in the Netherlands. Views Fromthe Commission for EIA in 2020. The Netherlands.
Wickson, F., Carew, A.L., Russell, A.W., 2016. Transdisciplinary research: characteristics, quandaries and quality. Futures 38, 1046–1059.

Wiek, A., Ness, B., Schweizer-Ries, P., Brand, F., Farioli, F., 2015a. From complex systems thinking to transformational change: a comparative study on the epistemological and methodological challenges in sustainability science projects. Sustain. Sci. 7 (s1), 5–24.
Wiek, A., Farioli, F., Fukushi, K., Yarime,M., 2014b. Sustainability science: bridging the gap between science and society. Sustain. Sci. 7 (s1), 1–4.