

# Sustainable Supply of Spring Water as a Social Challenge: A study of Seasonal Variations at Sikkim

Asha Subba, Research Scholar, Department of Chemistry, SMIT, SMU, Sikkim M. Pandey , SMIT, SMU, Sikkim Sangeeta Jha, SMIT, SMU, Sikkim Ajeya Jha, SMIT, SMU, Sikkim Karma Gurmey Dolma, SMIMS, SMU, Sikkim

**ABSTRACT-** The common source of drinking water in the rural areas of east Sikkim is dhara, the natural spring. Owing to derive environmental factors such as rain water, landslide, soil erosion, waste from human and animal, it gets polluted directly or indirectly the water sources. The natural spring water from the selected areas are analysed in terms of physical parameters. Physical properties like temperature, pH, turbidity, salinity, total dissolved solids, conductivity, dissolved oxygen and hardness. The objective of the study is to determine the quality of natural spring water used for drinking and other domestic purpose in the rural areas of east Sikkim. All the observed values are compared with the Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS) guidelines. All the physical parameters are found within the permissible limit of Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS) in all the three seasons. ANOVA and Correlation matrix reflect variations across seasons and moderate correlation across two phases of the study.

Key Words: Sikkim (India) temperature, pH, turbidity, salinity, total dissolved solids, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, hardness.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most unique and essential substances on earth which can play a vital role to meet daily need of human life. Across the world, necessity of fresh water will continue to increase considerably over the coming decades to meet the needs of rapid increased populations, growing economics, way of consumption and living style [1-2]. Around 780 million population do not get the fresh and safe water and around 2.5 billion people do not getting proper sanitation, results around 6 to 8 million people die every year due to waterborne diseases and disaster [3-4]. Hence water quality management is a great significance in many parts of the world [4-5].

Sikkim is a small state in the eastern Himalaya, India. It borders Tibet in north and northeast, Bhutan in the east, Nepal in the west and west Bengal in the south, Sikkim is also known for its biodiversity [6]. Total area of Sikkim is 7,096 sq. km with total population 6.11 Lakhs. Out of total population 25.15% people live in urban areas whereas 74.85 % people live in the rural areas [7]. Rural habitations of Sikkim are use spring water (also called as dhara) is one of the main source to meet the basic needs in daily life such as drinking and other domestic purposes. Generally it is considered that spring is natural and freshwater for drinking and other domestic purposes but steadily increase the contamination of water through various sources such as improper discharge of human and animal waste nearby water sources, leaching of drainage, runoff water, soil erosion and landslide which is common in Sikkim due to geological condition and intensity of heavy rainfall. Therefore for the good health of community and prevention of numerous waterborne diseases, consumption of water is free from bacterial contaminants. Due to heavy rainfall it may increase the pollutants load and reaches to the water bodies. The quality of water is very important to consumers, water dealers, regulators and public health authorities [8].

## Study area

The study area is selected in rural areas of east Sikkim. In fact the selected spring water sources are uncovered, bushes, trees, houses near the sources even sometimes soil erosion or landslide occurs due to heavy rainfall. People residing in selected study areas are depends on natural spring water (dhara) as their main source for drinking, irrigation and other domestic purposes. In the study areas drinking water supplied from the sources directly to the individual household and is not maintained nor operated by any

of the department. In the study areas there is no restriction for discharge of human and animal waste. For the purpose of the study selection of areas are made on the basis of strength of the population. Most of the people in the study areas are unaware about the necessity of analysis before consumption to access quality and safe water in the community.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Importance of mountain streams for human beings and for the ecology in general has attracted the attention of many researchers [9-11]. The findings indicated that mountain streams account for 70% to 80% of the total channel length of a river network. The ecological capacity of downstream portion is a critical outcome of the mountain streams. They play a vital role in stocking and transmitting sediment and nutrients; provide habitat and shelter for multitudes of marine and riparian organisms. Aquatic life is greatly influenced by the quality of water and which is in turn is indicated by its physical characteristics [12].

Seasonal variations in physical characteristics of rivers and stream water has been an area of interest for many a researchers [13-24].

From the literature review it is established that mountain streams play an important ecological role for the entire river net-work and also that seasonal variation exist in the physical characteristic of water. This may influence the ecological capacity of the entire river system. Hence studies in this direction play an important role. Springs of Sikkim have not been studied in this respect and hence a study of this kind for this region is overdue. This paper explores the seasonal variations in the physical characteristics of springs of east Sikkim.

## III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study is conducted in rural areas of east Sikkim, India from August 2015 - July 2017. A total of 54 spring water samples, nine sample from each area during monsoon, post-monsoon and pre-monsoon. A liter of water sample for analysis of physical parameters are collected in sterile air tight container from the selected sources. Each sample bottle is labelled with full details of the selected source of spring water at the time of sample collection. The temperature and pH values of each sample in all the three seasons were recorded in the spot with pocket size pH meter (Hanna) and thermometer and other parameter analysis is performed within 24 hours of sample collection using conductivity meter, nephelometric method, azide modification method and titrimetric method.

## IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## a. General Observations

 Table 1: Physical parameters (Temperature and pH) of spring water of East Sikkim.

| Areas     | Areas Temp (Phase-1) |      |      | Temp (Phase-2) |      |      | pH (Phase-1) |     |      | pH (Phase-2) |      |      |
|-----------|----------------------|------|------|----------------|------|------|--------------|-----|------|--------------|------|------|
|           | PRE                  | MON  | POST | PRE            | MON  | POST | PRE          | MON | POST | PRE          | MON  | POST |
| Assam     |                      |      |      |                |      |      |              |     |      |              |      |      |
| lingzey   | 17                   | 15   | 13   | 19             | 22   | 15   | 7.34         | 6.5 | 7.54 | 7.29         | 7.24 | 7    |
| Lower     |                      |      |      |                |      |      |              |     |      |              |      |      |
| assam     | 19                   | 16   | 13   | 17             | 23   | 14   | 7.84         | 7   | 7.48 | 7.41         | 7.36 | 7.16 |
| Lingzey   | 20                   | 16   | 14   | 21             | 25   | 16   | 7.58         | 6.5 | 7.72 | 7.63         | 7.4  | 7.02 |
| 32 mile   | 19                   | 14   | 18   | 20             | 25   | 20   | 7.87         | 7.5 | 7.63 | 7.52         | 7.27 | 7    |
| Sumin     | 19                   | 13   | 20   | 19             | 24   | 22   | 8.07         | 7   | 7.59 | 8.01         | 7.72 | 7.05 |
| Gaidhara  | 22                   | 20   | 20   | 21             | 24   | 21   | 7.94         | 6.5 | 7.66 | 7.52         | 7.63 | 7.26 |
| Joredhara | 21                   | 16   | 13   | 20             | 26   | 18   | 7.49         | 7.3 | 7.36 | 7.46         | 7.59 | 7.42 |
| Singtam   | 23                   | 20   | 20   | 20             | 21   | 21   | 7.99         | 7   | 7.72 | 7.96         | 7.83 | 7.63 |
| Mazitar   | 22                   | 21   | 21   | 20             | 27   | 23   | 7.83         | 6.5 | 7.62 | 7.75         | 7.91 | 7.84 |
| Mean      | 20.2                 | 16.8 | 16.9 | 19.6           | 24.1 | 18.9 | 7.8          | 6.9 | 7.6  | 7.6          | 7.6  | 7.3  |
| SD        | 1.9                  | 2.9  | 3.6  | 1.3            | 1.9  | 3.3  | 0.2          | 0.4 | 0.1  | 0.2          | 0.2  | 0.3  |

|          |               |      |      | (             |      |      | Cor       | iductiv |      | 1     | ctivity (F | hase- |
|----------|---------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|-----------|---------|------|-------|------------|-------|
| Areas    | TDS (Phase-1) |      |      | TDS (Phase-2) |      |      | (Phase-1) |         |      | 2)    |            |       |
|          |               | MO   | POS  |               | MO   | POS  |           | MO      | POS  |       |            | POS   |
|          | PRE           | Ν    | Т    | PRE           | Ν    | Т    | PRE       | Ν       | Т    | PRE   | MON        | Т     |
| Assam    | 94.7          |      |      | 92.3          | 20.2 |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| lingzey  | 8             | 56   | 46.4 | 6             | 6    | 48.2 | 210.8     | 39      | 92   | 209.2 | 45.15      | 95    |
| Lower    |               |      |      | 44.2          | 44.6 |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| assam    | 43.2          | 83   | 50.5 | 3             | 8    | 62.3 | 96.07     | 83      | 99   | 95.12 | 99.47      | 97    |
|          | 48.6          |      |      | 49.7          | 19.0 |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| Lingzey  | 2             | 47   | 20.5 | 3             | 8    | 21.8 | 83.15     | 32      | 41.5 | 84.25 | 42.43      | 49    |
|          | 46.3          |      |      | 47.2          | 44.9 |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| 32 mile  | 7             | 58   | 32.1 | 1             | 6    | 40.5 | 103.1     | 60      | 46   | 98.73 | 99.95      | 50    |
|          | 81.9          |      |      | 75.9          |      |      |           |         | 148. | 179.6 |            |       |
| Sumin    | 4             | 113  | 73.3 | 9             | 82.9 | 62.8 | 182.4     | 133     | 3    | 2     | 184.8      | 121   |
| Gaidhar  | 41.3          |      |      | 47.2          |      |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| а        | 6             | 55   | 38   | 1             | 34.2 | 42   | 92.04     | 57      | 76.8 | 91.28 | 76.1       | 84.5  |
| Joredhar |               |      |      | 43.3          | 36.1 |      |           |         |      |       |            |       |
| а        | 47.9          | 39   | 46.4 | 4             | 5    | 48.9 | 98.07     | 74      | 92.8 | 90.75 | 83.26      | 96.1  |
|          | 61.8          |      |      | 59.2          | 63.1 |      |           |         | 182. | 129.9 |            |       |
| Singtam  | 8             | 38   | 89.4 | 8             | 5    | 82.6 | 138.1     | 33      | 2    | 8     | 141.8      | 174   |
|          | 53.4          |      |      | 51.1          | 72.1 |      |           |         | 107. | 112.5 | 119.8      |       |
| Mazitar  | 2             | 66   | 53.6 | 2             | 3    | 56.3 | 119       | 71      | 3    | 3     | 2          | 109   |
|          | 57.7          | 61.6 | 50.0 | 56.4          | 46.3 | 51.7 | 124.7     | 64.6    | 98.4 | 120.1 |            | 97.2  |
| Mean     | 2             | 7    | 2    | 0             | 9    | 1    | 5         | 7       | 3    | 9     | 99.20      | 9     |
|          | 18.6          | 23.6 | 20.8 | 17.8          | 22.2 | 17.1 |           | 31.5    | 44.8 |       |            | 37.6  |
| SD       | 5             | 9    | 2    | 8             | 6    | 2    | 44.36     | 1       | 8    | 47.20 | 45.41      | 2     |

 Table 2: Physical parameters (TDS and conductivity) of spring water of East Sikkim.

**Table 3:** Physical parameters (Turbidity and Salinity) of spring water of East Sikkim.

| Areas     | Turbidity (Phase-1) |       |       | Turbidity (Phase-2) |        |       | Salinity (Phase-1) |       |         | Salir   | nity (Phase | e-2)   |
|-----------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|-------|---------|---------|-------------|--------|
|           | PRE                 | MON   | POST  | PRE                 | MON    | POST  | PRE                | MON   | POST    | PRE     | MON         | POST   |
| Assam     |                     |       |       |                     |        |       |                    |       |         |         |             |        |
| lingzey   | 0.53                | 0.44  | 0.81  | 0.52                | 0.41   | 0.48  | 156                | 36.85 | 43.93   | 166.9   | 38.45       | 50.43  |
| Lower     |                     |       |       |                     |        |       |                    |       |         |         |             |        |
| assam     | 0.11                | 0.48  | 0.33  | 0.93                | 1.64   | 0.15  | 79                 | 76.39 | 37.72   | 74.9    | 79.4        | 43     |
| Lingzey   | 0.68                | 0.62  | 0.51  | 0.65                | 0.91   | 0.22  | 81                 | 38.52 | 90.63   | 87.08   | 36.4        | 92.01  |
| 32 mile   | 0.31                | 0.72  | 0.43  | 0.42                | 0.35   | 0.56  | 83                 | 79.63 | 77.69   | 81.56   | 82.58       | 86.23  |
| Sumin     | 0.46                | 0.72  | 0.64  | 0.51                | 0.5    | 0.63  | 74                 | 65.12 | 131.1   | 139.84  | 148.1       | 98.06  |
| Gaidhara  | 0.39                | 0.41  | 0.75  | 0.41                | 0.41   | 0.84  | 79                 | 63.31 | 69.01   | 73.29   | 64.36       | 75.11  |
| Joredhara | 0.84                | 0.93  | 0.3   | 0.83                | 0.51   | 0.49  | 99                 | 60.56 | 144     | 79.56   | 61.32       | 123.01 |
| Singtam   | 0.28                | 0.97  | 0.21  | 0.30                | 0.84   | 0.28  | 95                 | 97.85 | 161.4   | 113.6   | 115.5       | 146.53 |
| Mazitar   | 0.56                | 0.74  | 0.44  | 0.51                | 0.65   | 0.63  | 98                 | 91.63 | 94.62   | 98.33   | 103.8       | 97.12  |
| Mean      | 0.462               | 0.67  | 0.491 | 0.598               | 0.6911 | 0.476 | 93.778             | 67.76 | 94.4556 | 100.183 | 81.1011     | 90.167 |
| SD        | 0.221               | 0.202 | 0.206 | 0.203               | 0.4056 | 0.223 | 25.064             | 21.15 | 43.2926 | 34.5037 | 36.5399     | 32.434 |

Table 4: Physical parameters (Dissolved oxygen and Hardness) of spring water of East Sikkim.

| Areas            |      | olved ox<br>Phase-1 |      | Diss | olved ox<br>(Phase-2 |      | Hardn | ess (Pha | ase-1) | Hard | ness (Phas | e-2) |
|------------------|------|---------------------|------|------|----------------------|------|-------|----------|--------|------|------------|------|
|                  | PRE  | MON                 | POST | PRE  | MON                  | POST | PRE   | MON      | POST   | PRE  | MON        | POST |
| Assam<br>lingzey | 2.08 | 0.8                 | 0.48 | 1.84 | 2                    | 0.72 | 296   | 72       | 40     | 280  | 176        | 48   |
| Lower            |      |                     |      |      |                      |      |       |          |        |      |            |      |
| assam            | 1.28 | 1.84                | 0.8  | 1.52 | 2                    | 1.2  | 88    | 64       | 40     | 176  | 96         | 40   |
| Lingzey          | 1.6  | 1.76                | 0.8  | 1.44 | 2.16                 | 0.96 | 168   | 80       | 48     | 184  | 208        | 24   |
| 32 mile          | 1.92 | 1.28                | 0.24 | 1.68 | 1.6                  | 0.72 | 96    | 104      | 40     | 120  | 200        | 56   |
| Sumin            | 2.24 | 1.2                 | 0.24 | 2.16 | 1.92                 | 0.56 | 96    | 72       | 40     | 120  | 240        | 96   |
| Gaidhara         | 2    | 1.92                | 0.4  | 1.84 | 2                    | 0.48 | 64    | 48       | 40     | 80   | 168        | 72   |
| Joredhara        | 1.28 | 2.32                | 0.8  | 1.44 | 1.6                  | 0.88 | 160   | 56       | 104    | 160  | 208        | 72   |

| Singtam | 1.68  | 2.24  | 0.4   | 2.08  | 1.68   | 0.96  | 232    | 48    | 40    | 192     | 200     | 48     |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|
| Mazitar | 1.68  | 1.28  | 0.72  | 2     | 2.24   | 1.2   | 160    | 112   | 174   | 160     | 216     | 120    |
| Mean    | 1.751 | 1.627 | 0.542 | 1.74  | 1.9111 | 0.853 | 151.11 | 72.89 | 62.9  | 160     | 190.222 | 64     |
| SD      | 0.338 | 0.514 | 0.239 | 0.266 | 0.2348 | 0.256 | 75.519 | 22.78 | 46.64 | 59.5603 | 41.1393 | 29.665 |

Table 1, 2, 3 and 4 show the values of physical parameters of spring water in the present study. All the values show fluctuations in three different seasons for both the phase-I and phase-II due to various factors. Variations in temperature of water samples (Table 1) are measured between range of 13°C and 27°C in two phases of analysis and pH is observed above then 7 in most of the sample in both phases. During monsoon season of first phase, pH of water of some sample of areas is measured less than 7. The total dissolved solids (TDS) are the amount of organic and inorganic materials that are dissolved in water. The study shows the level fluctuate in both the phase-I and phase –II during pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon due to the presence of various minerals in water [25]. The conductivity is a measure of capacity of a solution to conduct electrical current and good indicator of total dissolved solids [26]. The study shows the fluctuations in conductivity levels may be due to domestic sewage and use of inorganic fertilizer, it may be due to bicarbonate and calcium present in the rocks near the water sources [27].

Turbidity shows the presence of materials that are suspended in the water bodies [28]. The variation in the turbidity level may be due to heavy rainfall, runoff water, land slide and anthropogenic activities near the water sources. The fluctuation level in salinity during three seasons may be because of freshwater discharge by precipitation it may also due to higher solar radiation besides evaporation [29-30].

Dissolved oxygen is a measure of concentration of oxygen dissolved in water. The variation in dissolved oxygen level in spring water may be due to raise in temperature and also due to increase the microbial activity in water sources [31-32].

Variation in hardness values are observed in both phase-I and phase-II may be due to the leaching of carbonate and bicarbonate salts in water sources during rainy season [33].

In the present study all the observed values of physical parameters are found within the permissible limit of Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS) [34].

## b. ANOVA (Season):

Is there any significant difference in the values of variables of study? To find this we performed ANOVA. The results have been provided in table. From the table we find

| ANOVA (Season)           |                         |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                          | F-value                 | p-value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperature              | 4.22                    | 0.027*  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH                       | 27.96                   | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDS                      | 0.705                   | 0.504   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| conductivity             | 4.923                   | 0.016*  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity                | 2.592                   | 0.096   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salinity                 | 2.12                    | 0.142   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> | 27.41                   | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hardness                 | 7.5                     | 0.003*  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| alkalinity               | 15.15                   | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Significa               | *Significant Difference |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

that out of nine variables undertaken as many as six variables differ significantly across monsoon, premonsoon and post-monsoon (p-value <0.05). Three variables, namely TDS, Turbidity and Salinity do not differ significantly.

## c. Correlation Coefficient across Phase-1 and Phase-2:

Is there similarity in values of variables across two phases? This is important for the validity of the study. Table provides details of correlation coefficients across two phases. In most cases (barring Temperature, Turbidity and hardness) correlation coefficients are moderately high. This validates the first phase values. A longitudinal study comprising of 20-25 years data can help in ascertaining the trends in the values of variables under this study and will help in proposing mitigating plans if any needed. Lack of moderate correlation in time could be because temperature differs across the time of the day and perhaps ensuring collection of samples at pre-decided accurate time has not been followed. Reasons for low correlation coefficients in case of turbidity and hardness need further investigation.

| Correlation (Across Phases) |       |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                             | r     | p-value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temperature                 | 0.251 | 0.216   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PH                          | 0.729 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDS                         | 0.729 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| conductivity                | 0.822 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turbidity                   | 0.19  | 0.72    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salinity                    | 0.761 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved O <sub>2</sub>    | 0.778 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hardness                    | 0.381 | 0.45    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alkalinity                  | 0.861 | 0*      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\*Significant Correlation in Phase 1 and phase 2

#### V. CONCLUSION

It is affirmed that *Water and sanitation are among the most powerful preventative measures available to governments to reduce infectious disease*" (UNDP, 2006, 21). In the context of sustainable development aspirations the world espouses, access to safe drinking water, sanitation and hygiene are essential elements to be focused upon. Water supply in Sikkim mainly is through the hill streams and this is facing some major challenges, some of which could be seasonal. In the study it is observed that the physical parameters in the selected spring water of east Sikkim are found to be within the permissible limit of Bureau of Indian Standard (BIS). The necessary strategies are to be made and create for appropriate water management and hygienic system in every individual in order to prevent the existence of diseases and access quality of drinking water in the community. Water resources in Sikkim are important not just for local ecology but also for the ecology of regions far beyond the political boundaries of Sikkim and which shelters millions of people. Hence study of this nature are required to be undertaken more intensively and extensively.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the staff of Department of Chemistry, Sikkim Manipal Institute of Engineering (SMIT) and State Pollution Control Board of Sikkim for their support.

#### References

- 1. Asheesh Shrivastava\*, Shalini A Tandon, Rakesh Kumar (2015). Water Quality Management Plan for Patalganga River for Drinking Purpose and Human Health Safety, International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Sciences, 3(2), pp. 0071-0087.
- 2. Onesmus Nzung'a Sila (2019). Physico-chemical and bacteriological quality of water sources in rural settings, a case study of Kenya, Africa, journal of scientific African 2 (2019)e00018.
- 3. UN-Water, Anincreasing demand, facts ans figures, UN-Water, coordinated by UNESCO in collaboration with UNECE and UNDESA, 2013, http://www.unwater.org/water-cooperation-2013/en/.
- 4. N.Rahmanian,1 SitiHajarBtAli,2 M.Homayoonfard,2N.J.Ali,2 M.Rehan,3 Y.Sadef,4 andA.S.Nizami 3 , Journal of Chemistry Volume 2015, Article ID 716125, 10 pages.
- 5. World Health Organization (WHO) (2011). Guidelines for DrinkingWater Quality, WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland, 4th edition.
- 6. Geographical information of Sikkim.
- 7. Sikkim Urban/Rural Population Census 2011.
- 8. Bizualem Wakuma and Tolesa Fita (2017). Physico-Chemical Analysis of Drinking Water (in case of Mettu town, Southwest Ethiopia), International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 7, Issue 4, 364 ISSN 2250-3153.
- 9. Naiman, R. J., & Anderson, E. C. (1997). Streams and rivers: their physical and biological variability. The rain forests of home: profile of a North American bioregion. Island Press, Ishington, DC, USA, 131-148.

- 10. Cushing, C. E., & Allan, J. D. (2001). Streams: their ecology and life. Gulf Professional Publishing.
- 11.Wohl, E. (2017). The significance of small streams. Frontiers of Earth Science, 11(3), 447-456. (streams, make up 70%–80% of the total channel length of river networks. These small streams exert a critical influence on downstream portions of the river network by: retaining or transmitting sediment and nutrients; providing habitat and refuge for diverse aquatic and riparian organisms; creating migration corridors; and governing connectivity at the watershed-scale)
- 12. Adams, W. J., Kimerle, R. A., & Barnett Jr, J. W. (1992). Sediment quality and aquatic life assessment. Environmental science & technology, 26(10), 1864-1875.
- Nam, S., Choi, H. T., & Lim, H. (2019). Seasonal Variations of Stream Water Temperature and its Affecting Factors on Mountain Areas. Journal of Korean Society on Water Environment, 35(4), 308-315.
- 14. Singh, J., Agrawal, D. K., & Panwar, S. (2008). Seasonal variations in different physico-chemical characteristics of Yamuna river water quality in proposed Lakhwar hydropower project influence area. International Journal of Applied Environmental Sciences, 3(1), 107-118.
- 15. Navrátil, T., Norton, S. A., Fernandez, I. J., & Nelson, S. J. (2010). Twenty-year inter-annual trends and seasonal variations in precipitation and stream water chemistry at the Bear Brook Watershed in Maine, USA. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 171(1-4), 23-45.
- 16. Altın, A., Filiz, Z., & Iscen, C. F. (2009). Assessment of seasonal variations of surface water quality characteristics for Porsuk Stream. Environmental monitoring and assessment, 158(1-4), 51.
- 17. Crosa, G., Froebrich, J., Nikolayenko, V., Stefani, F., Galli, P., & Calamari, D. (2006). Spatial and seasonal variations in the water quality of the Amu Darya River (Central Asia). Water Research, 40(11), 2237-2245.
- 18. Agbaire, P. O., & Obi, C. G. (2009). Seasonal variations of some physico-chemical properties of River Ethiope water in Abraka, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management, 13(1).
- 19. Papafilippaki, A. K., Kotti, M. E., & Stavroulakis, G. G. (2008). Seasonal variations in dissolved heavy metals in the Keritis River, Chania, Greece. Global nest. The international journal, 10(3), 320-325.
- 20. Rabee, A. M., Abdul-Kareem, B. M., & Al-Dhamin, A. S. (2011). Seasonal variations of some ecological parameters in Tigris River water at Baghdad Region, Iraq. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 3(4), 262.
- 21. Iqbal, F., Ali, M., Salam, A., Khan, B. A., Ahmad, S., Qamar, M., & Umer, K. (2004). Seasonal variations of physico-chemical characteristics of River Soan water at Dhoak Pathan Bridge (Chakwal), Pakistan. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 6(1), 89-92.
- 22. Patel, V., & Parikh, P. (2013). Assessment of seasonal variation in water quality of River Mini, at Sindhrot, Vadodara. International journal of environmental sciences, 3(5), 1424-1436.
- 23. Anhwange, B. A., Agbaji, E. B., & Gimba, E. C. (2012). Impact assessment of human activities and seasonal variation on River Benue, within Makurdi Metropolis. International journal of Science and Technology, 2(5), 248-254.
- 24. Kar, P. K., Pani, K. R., Pattanayak, S. K., & Sahu, S. K. (2010). Seasonal variation in physico-chemical and microbiological parameters of Mahanadi river water in and around Hirakud, Orissa (India). The Ecoscan, 4(4), 263-271.
- 25. Priscilla Kerketta, Sushma Lalita Baxla, Ravuri Halley Gora, Suruchi Kumari and Rustam Kumar Roushan (2013). Analysis of physico-chemical properties and heavy metals in drinking water from different sources in and around Ranchi, Jharkhand, India, doi:10.5455/vetworld.2013.370-375.
- 26. Adhena Ayaliew Werkneh, Belay Zimbelachew Medhanit, Angaw Kelemework Abay, Jemal Yimer Damte (2015). Physico-chemical analysis of drinking water quality at Jigjiga City, Ethiopia, American Journal of Environmental Protection 2015; 4(1): 29-32.
- 27. Santosh Singh, R.S. Negi, Rekha Dhanai (2014). A study of physico-chemical parameters of springs around Srinagar Garhwal valley, Uttarakhand, IJEDR | Volume 2, Issue 4 | ISSN: 2321-9939.