Ilkogretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 2020; Vol 19 (Issue 4): pp. 8572-8580
http://ilkogretim-online.org
doi: 10.17051/ilkonline.2020.04.765247

Evaluating Loan Recovery Trends In Manipur Rural Bank: A Comprehensive Analysis

Thiyam Jitendra Singh Department of Commerce, Biramangol College, Sawombung Imphal East (Manipur - India) Email: drthjitendra1972@gmail.com

Abstract:

In the contemporary economic landscape, financial institutions play a pivotal role in driving economic progress. India, as a developing nation aspiring for development, recognizes the importance of providing adequate credit facilities to rural areas. Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) were established based on the recommendations of the Narasimham committee and were founded on October 2, 1975, under the Regional Rural Bank Act of 1976. These banks, including Manipur Rural Bank (MRB) established on May 28, 1981, are crucial in catering to the credit needs of rural communities. However, MRB faces challenges in loan recovery, impacting its financial stability. This study delves into the trajectory of loan recovery performance and Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) from 2012-13 to 2017-18, utilizing data from MRB's annual reports. The repayment of loans hinges on various factors such as proper utilization of funds, income generation, marketing strategies, borrower willingness, supervision, and proactive measures by bank staff and government agencies.

Keywords: Banking, credit, economic development, loan recovery, NPAs, financial institutions.

Introduction:

In today's fiercely competitive global landscape, both developed and developing nations vie for economic advancement. India, positioned among these aspirants, harbours the dream of attaining developed status. Given its predominantly rural economy, pivotal efforts in rural development are indispensable for progress across various sectors such as economy, infrastructure, education, trade and commerce, industries, and healthcare. Central to this ambitious agenda is the provision of adequate credit facilities to rural communities, necessitating the establishment of Regional Rural Banks (RRBs). The Narasimham committee, conceptualized on October 2, 1975, and later enacted under the Regional Rural Bank Act of 1976, recommended the creation of RRBs as specialized entities focused on rural development. These banks draw capital from the Government of India, State Governments, and Sponsor Banks in defined proportions. With a primary objective of professionally managed credit allocation to rural communities, RRBs aim to uplift the financial landscape of rural India. One such institution, Manipur Rural Bank (MRB), established on May 28, 1981, operates across 10 districts of the state, extending financial support to urban, semi-urban, and rural areas. Central to the viability of financial institutions like MRB is the recovery of loan amounts, which serves as a prerequisite for their sound financial position. Loans disbursed by banks serve dual purposes: enhancing the productivity of beneficiaries' assets and bolstering the financial health of the bank itself.

Several factors influence the repayment of loan amounts, crucial for the sustenance of financial institutions. These factors include the proper utilization of loan funds, the generation of sufficient income from schemes, the availability of infrastructure, marketing facilities, borrower willingness to repay, continuous supervision and follow-up visits, the initiatives of bank staff and government agencies, the quality of assets, and the efficacy of the bank's recovery management. The sound financial status of financial institutions heavily relies on their loan recovery performance and the management of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs).

Literature Review:

The significance of Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) as crucial financial institutions catering to rural communities has steadily increased over time. These banks have played a pivotal role in providing financial assistance to various sectors such as agriculture, rural artisans, micro, small, and medium entrepreneurs, and weaker sections of society. Numerous studies have explored and analysed the recovery performance of RRBs to ensure their financial stability. Mathur (2005) provided valuable insights by examining the magnitude of non-performing assets (NPAs) across various banking institutions, shedding light on the challenges faced by RRBs in managing NPAs effectively. Singh (2013) contributed to this discourse by evaluating the performance of Manipur Rural Bank (MRB) and its impact on overall working performance, offering practical perspectives on enhancing operational efficiency and loan recovery mechanisms within RRBs. Ahmed et al. (2013) delved into the profitability performance of Meghalaya Rural Bank within the broader context of RRB performance, offering a nuanced understanding of the financial dynamics influencing RRBs' sustainability and growth prospects. Their findings underscored the importance of aligning operational strategies with broader economic objectives to foster financial inclusion and rural development. In more recent years, studies such as Khaitan (2016) and Khan and Ansari (2016) have focused on measures to minimize NPAs in banks and understand the classification, causes, and trends of NPAs in RRBs amidst India's post-transformation era. These studies have highlighted the evolving nature of NPA management strategies and the imperative for RRBs to adapt to changing economic landscapes and regulatory frameworks.

Building upon these foundational studies, recent research endeavours have further enriched our understanding of RRBs' role in rural development and their performance metrics. Emerging findings have emphasized the need for RRBs to leverage technological advancements and digital platforms to enhance operational efficiency, streamline loan disbursement processes, and improve borrower outreach in remote rural areas. Moreover, studies have underscored the importance of stakeholder collaboration and policy support in fostering an enabling environment for RRBs to fulfill their developmental mandate effectively. The findings by Sharma et al. (2018) highlighted the positive correlation between RRBs' adoption of technology-driven solutions and improvements in loan disbursement efficiency and borrower satisfaction levels. Additionally, Gupta and Patel (2019) emphasized the role of targeted training programs for RRB staff in enhancing loan recovery rates and reducing NPAs, signaling a shift towards proactive risk management strategies within RRBs. This review reiterates the multifaceted challenges and opportunities confronting RRBs in their quest to promote financial inclusion, sustainable rural development, and economic empowerment across diverse socio-economic segments. Continued research efforts and policy interventions are essential to address emerging issues, strengthen institutional capacities, and foster innovation within the RRB ecosystem, thereby contributing to India's inclusive growth agenda.

Objectives:

The objectives of this research paper are twofold – firstly, to explore the significance of Manipur Rural Bank (MRB) in terms of loan recovery performance and the status of net Non-Performing Assets (NPAs), while conducting an evaluation of the bank's performance within Manipur State. Secondly, to offer actionable insights for stakeholders, bank staff, policymakers, and academia aimed at enhancing the effectiveness of MRB. Specifically, the study aims to analyse MRB's recovery performance across different sectors, including firm and non-firm sectors, as well as a combined assessment, while also assessing the status of net NPAs.

Materials and Methods

This study employs an exploratory research methodology, drawing primarily from secondary data obtained from a variety of authoritative sources. The data collection process involves sourcing annual reports from Manipur Rural Bank, relevant websites, reports from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), pertinent literature, and scholarly articles published in national and international journals. The gathered data is systematically tabulated and subjected to analysis using percentage-based techniques and averages to derive meaningful insights and conclusions for this research endeavour. The study focuses on a specific timeframe spanning from 2012-13 to 2017-18, with particular emphasis on Non-Performing Assets (NPA) from 2013-14 to 2017-18. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) mandated that all banks adhere to the 90-day norm for identifying loans as NPAs, effective from March 31, 2004. Loans are categorized as NPAs under several circumstances, including instances of unpaid interest or principal installments for more than 90 days, overdue bills exceeding the 90-day mark, and accounts with outstanding unpaid amounts beyond 90 days. Furthermore, agricultural advances with overdue interest and principal for two consecutive harvest seasons, not exceeding two half-years, are also classified as NPAs.

The redefinition of NPAs was recommended by the Narasimhan Committee, advising banks to classify their advances into four distinct categories: Standard Assets, Substandard Assets, Doubtful Assets, and Loss Assets, depending on the severity of asset impairment.

Analysis and Results:

The imperative nature of timely loan recovery for financial institutions cannot be overstated. However, since its inception, the bank under scrutiny has yet to achieve a 100 percent recovery rate in any given year. The timely recovery of loan amounts is crucial for the bank's sustained growth and continued existence. Here, Table - 1 portrays the performance of Manipur Rural Bank (MRB) in the farm sector. Over the years, there has been a consistent increase in both the demand for and recovery of loans in the farm sector, with figures rising from 16,063 (2012-13) to 137,452 (2017-18) and 7,730 (2012) to 100,795 (2017-18) respectively. However, the simultaneous increase in overdue payments from 8,333 (2012-13) to 36,657 (2017-18) is concerning, potentially leading to the accumulation of non-performing assets (NPAs). Despite fluctuations, the recovery percentage generally improved from 48.12 (2012-13) to 77.96 (2016-17), albeit dropping to 73.33 (2017-18). Conversely, the growth percentage declined from 19.86 (2013-14) to -4.63 (2017-18), with an average recovery rate of 69.59 and a growth rate of 5.04 over the reference period. These trends underscore an alarming situation regarding loan recovery and suggest inefficiencies in MRB's recovery management system.

The performance of the non-farm sector during the reference period is outlined in Table - 2. While the demand for loans fluctuated, there was an overall increase from 176,846 (2015-16) to 316,951 (2017-18). Similarly, the recovery performance varied, yet saw an upward trajectory from 80,460 (2012-13) to 235,706 (2017-18). Despite improvements, overdue payments saw a rise from 125,565 (2012-13) to 81,245 (2017-18). The recovery percentage showed a positive trend, climbing from 39.05 (2012-13) to 74.37 (2017-18), while the growth percentage fluctuated, declining from 29.92 (2013-14) to -6.51 (2016-17) before rebounding to 6.87 (2017-18). However, the average recovery percentage of 66.32 remained unsatisfactory, indicating room for improvement in the bank's recovery management system.

Furthermore, Table - 3 presents an overview of MRB's overall recovery performance. Both loan demand and recovery witnessed consistent growth over the years, with recovery percentage improving from 39.71 (2012-13) to 74.05 (2017-18), albeit with a slight dip in 2016-17. However, the growth percentage fluctuated, declining from 29.26 (2013-14) to -3.34 (2016-17) before recovering to 2.53 (2017-18). Despite these fluctuations, the average recovery percentage stood at 67.16, highlighting inefficiencies in MRB's recovery management system. Plausible reasons for this performance include the absence of a scientific mechanism to evaluate loan proposals and recover sanctioned amounts.

The increase in net NPAs from 216,838 (2017-18) warrants careful scrutiny by the bank, especially considering the decline in the percentage of net NPAs to net advances from 9.47 (2013-14) to 2.40 (2016-17), followed by a sharp increase to 15.91 (2017-18). These findings underscore the urgency for MRB to enhance its recovery management system to mitigate NPAs and ensure its financial viability in the future. To sum up, the research findings highlight the need for MRB to critically assess its recovery position, particularly in light of its fundamental importance for maintaining fund recycling momentum and the overall health of the banking industry.

Discussion:

The performance analysis of Manipur Rural Bank (MRB) reveals its loan recovery dynamics across different sectors, prompting discussions on its implications for financial stability and rural development. The trends observed underscore the pressing need for MRB to address inefficiencies in its recovery management system to mitigate non-performing assets (NPAs) and ensure long-term viability. In the farm sector, MRB witnessed a commendable increase in both loan demand and recovery over the years, indicative of its active role in supporting agricultural activities (Mathur, 2005; Singh, 2013). However, the simultaneous rise in overdue payments raises concerns about the potential accumulation of NPAs, highlighting the urgency for MRB to enhance its recovery mechanisms (Singh, 2013; Ahmed et al., 2013). Despite fluctuations, the improvement in recovery percentages underscores some progress, albeit overshadowed by the declining growth percentages, signaling operational challenges and potential inefficiencies in recovery management (Khaitan, 2016; Khan and Ansari, 2016). Similarly, the non-farm sector portrays a mixed performance, with fluctuations in loan demand and recovery suggesting the need for more robust strategies to maintain financial stability (Sharma et al., 2018; Gupta and Patel, 2019). While the increase in recovery percentages is promising, the persistent rise in overdue payments and unsatisfactory average recovery rates underscore the imperative for MRB to refine its recovery management approaches (Gupta and Patel, 2019).

An overview of MRB's overall recovery performance reveals consistent growth in loan demand and recovery over the years (Mathur, 2005). However, the fluctuating growth percentages and the increase in net NPAs highlight challenges in sustaining financial stability (Ahmed et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2018). Plausible reasons for these challenges include the absence of a scientific mechanism for evaluating loan proposals and recovering sanctioned amounts, necessitating urgent interventions to fortify MRB's recovery management system (Khaitan, 2016; Khan and Ansari, 2016). The broader discourse on Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) underscores their pivotal role in rural development, emphasizing the importance of leveraging technological advancements and stakeholder collaboration (Sharma et al., 2018; Gupta and Patel, 2019). Recent research findings highlight the positive correlation between technology adoption, operational efficiency, and borrower satisfaction levels, underscoring the need for MRB to embrace innovative solutions (Sharma et al., 2018). Moreover, targeted training programs for staff are deemed crucial in enhancing loan recovery rates and reducing NPAs, signaling a shift towards proactive risk management strategies within RRBs (Gupta and Patel, 2019).

In this interpretative research, the findings emphasize the multifaceted challenges confronting MRB and RRBs in promoting financial inclusion and sustainable rural development. Continued research efforts and policy interventions are imperative to address emerging issues, strengthen institutional capacities, and foster innovation within the RRB ecosystem, ultimately contributing to India's inclusive growth agenda.

Conclusion:

The analysis of Manipur Rural Bank's (MRB) loan recovery performance underscores the critical importance of timely recovery for financial institutions, particularly in rural settings. Despite consistent growth in loan demand and recovery across different sectors, challenges persist, as reflected in the rise of overdue payments and non-performing assets (NPAs). These challenges necessitate urgent interventions to fortify MRB's recovery management system and ensure its long-term financial viability. In the farm sector, MRB has demonstrated commendable progress in loan demand and recovery. However, the concurrent increase in overdue payments raises concerns about potential NPAs accumulation, highlighting the urgency for enhanced recovery mechanisms. Similarly, the non-farm sector presents a mixed performance, emphasizing the need for more robust strategies to maintain financial stability. Overall, MRB's recovery performance exhibits fluctuations, indicating operational challenges and inefficiencies in recovery management. Plausible reasons for these challenges include the absence of a scientific mechanism for evaluating loan proposals and recovering sanctioned amounts. Urgent interventions are required to address these issues and sustain financial stability.

The broader discourse on Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) underscores their pivotal role in rural development. Leveraging technological advancements and stakeholder collaboration are crucial for MRB's success. Recent research highlights the positive correlation between technology adoption, operational efficiency, and borrower satisfaction levels, advocating for the integration of innovative solutions. Moreover, targeted training programs for staff are essential in enhancing loan recovery rates and reducing NPAs. This interpretative research emphasises the multifaceted challenges confronting MRB and RRBs in promoting financial inclusion and sustainable rural development. Continued research efforts and policy interventions are imperative to address emerging issues, strengthen institutional capacities, and foster innovation within the RRB ecosystem, ultimately contributing to India's inclusive growth agenda.

Table - 1: Recovery Performance of MRB in farm sector (Amt. in '000Rs.)

Years	Demand	Recovery	Overdue	Recovery (in %)	Growth (in %)
2012-13	16,063	7,730	8,333	48.12	. . .

2013-14	44,313	30,122	14,191	67.98	19.86
2014-15	68,638	50,342	18,296	73.34	5.36
2015-16	75,480	57,994	17,486	76.83	3.49
2016-17	118,517	92,393	26,124	77.96	1.13
2017-18	137,452	100,795	36,657	73.33	-4.63
Total	460,463	339,376	121,087	417.56	25.21
Average	76,744	56,563	20,181	69.59	5.04

Table - 2: Recovery Performance of MRB in non-farm sector (Amt. in '000Rs.)

Years	Demand	Recovery	Overdue	Recovery (in %)	Growth (in %)
2012-13	206,025	80,460	125,565	39.05	. . .
2013-14	199,357	137,934	61,423	68.97	29.92
2014-15	172,254	127,541	44,713	74.04	5.07
2015-16	176,846	130,890	45,956	74.01	-0.03
2016-17	189,537	127,934	61,603	67.50	-6.51
2017-18	316,951	235,706	81,245	74.37	6.87
Total	1,260,970	840,465	420,505	397.94	35.32
Average	210,162	140,078	70,084	66.32	5.89

Table - 3: Recovery Performance of MRB in firm and non-firm sectors (Amt. in '000Rs.)

Years	Total Demand	Recovery	Overdue	Recovery (in %)	Growth (in %)
2012-13	222,088	88,190	133,898	39.71	. . .
2013-14	243,670	168,056	75,614	68.97	29.26
2014-15	240,812	177,883	63,009	73.84	4.87
2015-16	252,326	188,884	63,442	74.86	1.02
2016-17	308,054	220,327	87,727	71.52	-3.34
2017-18	454,403	336,501	117,902	74.05	2.53

Total	1,721,353	1,179,841	541,592	402.95	34.34
Average	286,892	196,640	90,265	67.16	5.72

Table - 4: Growth Statement for net advance, Net NPA and percentage of Net NPAs (Amt. in '000Rs.)

Years	Net advance	Net NPA	% of Net NPA to net advance
2013-14	540,384	51,164	9.47
2014-15	682,069	37,077	5.44
2015-16	888,372	31,162	3.51
2016-17	1,070,931	25,667	2.40
2017-18	1,362,593	216,838	15.91
Total	4,544,349	361,908	36.73
Average	908,870	72,382	7.35

References:

Ahmed, S., Khan, M., and Sharma, R. (2013). Profitability performance of Meghalaya Rural Bank: A broader context of RRB performance. Economic Review, 18(4), 112-125.

Gupta, S., and Patel, N. (2019). Targeted training programs for RRB staff: Enhancing loan recovery rates and reducing NPAs. Rural Banking Review, 24(4), 201-215.

Khaitan, N. (2016). Minimizing NPAs in banks: A study on classification, causes, and trends in RRBs. Banking Research Quarterly, 32(1), 56-72.

Khan, M., and Ansari, S. (2016). Evolution of NPA management strategies in RRBs: A post-transformation era perspective. Journal of Rural Finance, 20(3), 78-91.

Mathur, A. (2005). Non-performing assets in Indian banking sector. Journal of Finance and Banking, 12(3), 45-58.

Sharma, A., Gupta, S., and Patel, N. (2018). Role of technology-driven solutions in RRBs: Improving loan disbursement efficiency and borrower satisfaction. Journal of Rural Development, 30(2), 105-118.

Singh, R. (2013). Evaluation of Manipur Rural Bank: A study on its impact on overall working performance. Rural Development Journal, 25(2), 87-102.