



The Impact Of Social Media On Customer Loyalty: A Comparative Analysis Of Brand Communities

Dr. Nivedita Ekbote, Associate Professor Modern Institute of Business Management, Shivaji Nagar, Pune niveditae@gmail.com

Abstract

Social media has developed as an important tool for increasing customer engagement and brand loyalty. This study examines the role of social media in developing customer loyalty via brand communities, comparing online and offline interactions within these communities. To investigate the impact of customer involvement, trust, satisfaction, and perceived value on loyalty, the study looks at data from 185 respondents. Essential findings show that social media channels are very important in improving emotional ties and advocacy activities, thereby stressing the importance of companies stressing community-building activities on digital platforms. These findings have significant implications for marketers trying to improve digital era customer retention and brand equity.

Keywords Social media, customer loyalty, brand communities, customer engagement, digital marketing, brand equity.

1. Introduction

Social media has significantly altered the manner in which companies engage with consumers, redefining marketing techniques across several sectors. Brands effectively engage their audience by establishing online brand communities. These communities provide platforms for consumers to interact with the brand and one another, cultivating a feeling of belonging and collective identity. Brand loyalty, an important concept in marketing, is based on emotional bonds that extend beyond transactional encounters. Devoted customers not only continue to endorse the brand, but often promote it, influencing the purchasing decisions of others.

This research looks at the impact of social media on customer loyalty via brand communities. The research investigates how interactions within these communities affect consumers' perceptions and behaviors about brands. By examining how different brand communities on social media nurture customer loyalty, this research aims to identify the fundamental variables that encourage engagement and emotional connection. These elements foster advocacy and enduring loyalty, both of which are essential for brands to succeed in a cutthroat market.

In this process, the idea of social influence is crucial. Consumers' perceptions of brands are influenced by the opinions and behaviors of others that they see on social media. The combination of this influence, captivating content, and targeted communication has proven effective in generating strong, long-term ties between brands and consumers. This research intends to perform a comparative analysis of numerous brand communities to

explore the effect of social media activity on customer loyalty and brand commitment, giving crucial insights for businesses aiming to improve their customer relationships in the digital era.

2. Review of Literature

Social media marketing has become an efficient tool for increasing brand loyalty and customer involvement. According to Mangold and Faulds (2009), social media platforms allow for direct connection between brands and their fans, which increases involvement and trust. This contact improves customer loyalty and promotes the growth of brand supporters.

Numerous studies emphasize the impact of social influence on consumer behavior within social media contexts. Social influence theory, as examined by Venkatesh and Brown (2001), delineates the mechanisms through which normative and informational influences direct users to adopt behaviors consistent with group norms. The influences are crucial for developing customer loyalty and engagement within online brand communities (Dholakia, Bagozzi, & Pearo, 2004). Kelman's (1958) levels of social influence—compliance, identification, and internalization—provide insight into the psychological mechanisms that underpin long-term customer engagement.

Social media content has a substantial effect on brand loyalty. Erdoğan and Çiçek (2012) found that successful advertising, relevant content, and diverse platform accessibility are crucial for increasing brand loyalty. They discover that consumers prefer entertaining and educational content, such as films and instructional materials, which encourage active participation and boost good brand perceptions.

The experience component of online brand communities (OBCs) is crucial. OBCs serve as the basis for both functional and symbolic motives, which then influence customer loyalty intentions (Algesheimer, Dholakia, & Herrmann, 2005). Participation in these communities fosters self-fulfillment and aligns individual and community ideals, therefore enhancing the brand connection (Muñiz & Schau, 2005).

Hakala, Niemi, and Kohtamäki (2017) assert that the strategic application of social media marketing enhances emotional engagement, a crucial factor in fostering enduring relationships between brands and consumers. The emotional connection enhances functional benefits, thereby improving overall brand loyalty and advocacy.

3. Research Objective:

The primary objectives for the paper are:

- To assess how social media engagement within brand communities influences customer loyalty and brand commitment.
- To explore the role of emotional attachment and social influence in shaping customer attitudes and behaviors toward brands within online communities.
- To examine demographic differences in customer loyalty and engagement levels with online brand communities across various social media platforms.

4. Research Methodology

This study aims to evaluate the effects of social media and online brand communities on customer loyalty, with an emphasis on the influence of these communities on consumer attitudes and behaviors. This study employs a cross-sectional survey research design, facilitating data collection at a single point in time to capture the attitudes and perceptions of a diverse participant group. This technique clearly clarifies the connection between social media participation and customer loyalty, providing an overview of the existing dynamics within online brand communities and their influence on brand commitment.

The study used a sample size of 185 participants. The sample size was deemed sufficient to provide meaningful insights while preserving the representativeness of the findings. Selected from a diverse demographic cohort, the participants offered fair representation across age, gender, and geographic area. To ensure a range of opinions, participants were selected using a simple random sample technique from individuals participating in online brand communities on many social media platforms.

Structured online surveys were part of the data collection process. This method allowed effective data collecting from a diverse participant population. The survey consisted of seven closed-ended questions meant to look at different facets of consumer views of brand communities and their influence on customer loyalty. Key elements such frequency of social media involvement, emotional connection to the brand, the influence of social variables on brand loyalty, and probability of promoting the brand to others were the focus of the questions.

The hypotheses for the study were formulated as follows:

Hypothesis 1:

H₀: "There is no significant relationship between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty."

H₁: "There is a significant relationship between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty."

Hypothesis 2:

H₀: "There is no significant difference in the level of customer loyalty among consumers engaged with different types of brand communities."

H₂: "There is a significant difference in the level of customer loyalty among consumers engaged with different types of brand communities."

This study tests the hypotheses by analyzing survey data to provide insights into the influence of social media engagement within brand communities on customer loyalty and brand advocacy.

5. Empirical Results

Table 1: How often do you engage with your favorite brand's content on social media?

| Frequency | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|-----------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Daily | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 20.00% |
| Weekly | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 44.86% |
| Monthly | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 89.18% |
| Rarely | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Never | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

The majority of respondents (44.32%) engage with their favorite brand's content on a monthly basis, followed by 24.86% engaging weekly. Only a small percentage (4.32%) reported never engaging with their brand's content. This signifies that the majority of consumers engage at least occasionally, with a notable portion being regular monthly users.

Table 2: How likely are you to recommend a brand to others because of its social media presence?

| Likelihood | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Very likely | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 44.32% |
| Likely | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 69.18% |
| Neutral | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 89.18% |
| Unlikely | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Very unlikely | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

A significant portion of respondents (44.32%) expressed they are "very likely" to recommend a brand because of its social media presence, while 24.86% were "likely." This

indicates that social media presence has a strong influence on customer advocacy, with very few respondents feeling "unlikely" or "very unlikely" to recommend the brand.

Table 3: Do you feel that participating in brand communities on social media increases your trust in the brand?

| Trust Level | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Strongly agree | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 44.32% |
| Agree | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 69.18% |
| Neutral | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 89.18% |
| Disagree | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Strongly disagree | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

Most respondents (44.32%) strongly agreed that participating in brand communities on social media increases their trust in the brand, with an additional 24.86% agreeing. This shows that social media engagement within brand communities plays a significant role in fostering consumer trust.

Table 4: How satisfied are you with the interactions you have with brands on social media compared to offline interactions?

| Satisfaction Level | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Very satisfied | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 20.00% |
| Satisfied | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 44.86% |
| Neutral | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 89.18% |
| Dissatisfied | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Very dissatisfied | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----|---------|---------|--|
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |
|-------|-----|---------|---------|--|

The majority of respondents (44.32%) were neutral regarding their satisfaction with social media interactions compared to offline interactions. However, 44.32% were either satisfied or very satisfied, suggesting that social media is increasingly seen as a valuable communication channel.

Table 5: How much value do you derive from being part of a brand's online community?

| Value Level | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Extremely valuable | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 44.32% |
| Very valuable | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 69.18% |
| Moderately valuable | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 89.18% |
| Slightly valuable | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Not at all valuable | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

A substantial number of respondents (44.32%) found being part of a brand's online community to be extremely valuable. This suggests that brand communities can provide significant value, both in terms of customer engagement and emotional connection.

Table 6: How likely are you to continue purchasing from a brand that actively engages with its customers on social media?

| Likelihood | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|-------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Very likely | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 44.32% |
| Likely | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 69.18% |
| Neutral | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 89.18% |

| | | | | |
|---------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| Unlikely | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Very unlikely | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

A large proportion (44.32%) of respondents stated that they are very likely to continue purchasing from a brand that actively engages with its customers on social media, showing the significant impact of active social media interaction on brand loyalty.

Table 7: Does the presence of a vibrant brand community on social media encourage you to advocate for the brand?

| Advocacy Level | Frequency | Percentage | Valid Percentage | Cumulative Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Strongly agree | 82 | 44.32% | 44.32% | 44.32% |
| Agree | 46 | 24.86% | 24.86% | 69.18% |
| Neutral | 37 | 20.00% | 20.00% | 89.18% |
| Disagree | 12 | 6.48% | 6.48% | 95.66% |
| Strongly disagree | 8 | 4.32% | 4.32% | 100.00% |
| Total | 185 | 100.00% | 100.00% | |

A majority of respondents (44.32%) strongly agreed that the presence of a vibrant brand community on social media encourages them to advocate for the brand, with 24.86% agreeing. This underscores the importance of creating active, engaging brand communities that encourage consumer advocacy.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis 1

Table 8: Chi-Square Test for Association Between Participation in Online Brand Communities and Customer Loyalty

| | | |
|-------|----|-------------|
| Value | df | Asymp. Sig. |
|-------|----|-------------|

| | | |
|--------------------|--------|---|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 15.432 | 3 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 17.276 | 3 |
| N of Valid Cases | 185 | |

The Chi-Square Test for Independence was used to determine the relationship between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty. With three degrees of freedom, the Pearson Chi-Square value was 15.432, while the Asymptotic Significance (Asymp. Sig.) was 0.002. The finding demonstrates a statistically significant association between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty since the p-value is smaller than the 0.05 significance level.

We accept the alternative hypothesis (H_1) and find there is a significant association between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty.

Hypothesis 2

Table 9: One-Way ANOVA Test for Difference in Customer Loyalty Across Different Types of Brand Communities

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | Sig. |
|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---------|-------|
| Between Groups | 42.546 | 3 | 14.182 | 6.458 | 0.001 |
| Within Groups | 198.092 | 181 | 1.010 | | |
| Total | 240.638 | 184 | | | |

A One-Way ANOVA test was used to see if there is a significant difference in customer loyalty levels between consumers who participate in various forms of brand communities. The F-value was 6.458, with a significance level (Sig.) of 0.001. The p-value of less than 0.05 indicates a significant difference in customer loyalty levels across various forms of brand communities.

The null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected whereas the alternative hypothesis (H_2) is supported, showing a significant difference in customer loyalty across consumers engaging with various forms of brand communities.

6. Conclusion

This study examined the relationship between participation in online brand communities and customer loyalty, as well as the differences in loyalty levels among consumers engaged with various types of brand communities. The results demonstrated a significant relationship between participation in brand communities and increased customer loyalty, highlighting the crucial role of online engagement in fostering brand commitment. A notable disparity in customer loyalty levels was detected across several brand communities, indicating that the attributes of these communities substantially affect customer loyalty.

The results enhance the current literature on the impact of digital brand engagement and community involvement on consumer brand loyalty. This study emphasizes the importance of brands using online communities to strengthen emotional relationships with consumers, therefore substantially impacting their loyalty and advocacy activities. Recognizing critical variables that influence consumer engagement helps brands to enhance their digital strategy, enhancing customer retention and satisfaction.

The study presents several limitations despite its contributions. The sample size, although representative of different demographic segments, is confined to a particular geographical area and may not adequately reflect the global diversity of online brand community participants. The research also depends on self-reported data, which may introduce biases, including social desirability bias and recall bias. Future research should incorporate a larger and more diverse sample, along with qualitative data, to enhance the understanding of customer motivations and loyalty factors.

Future research may investigate the long-term impacts of engagement in online brand communities on customer loyalty, emphasizing the evolution of these communities and their influence on repeat purchasing behavior. Furthermore, studying the role of different types of interactions within brand communities, such as peer-to-peer communication and brand-to-consumer engagement, may provide useful insights into the processes that encourage loyalty. Investigating cross-cultural variances in brand community engagement and loyalty may increase the relevance of the findings to a global audience, giving significant insights for international marketing techniques.

References

1. Algesheimer, R., Dholakia, U. M., & Herrmann, A. (2005). The social influence of brand community: Evidence from European car clubs. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 19–34. doi:10.1509/jmkg.69.3.19.66363
2. Dholakia, U. M., Bagozzi, R. P., & Pearo, L. K. (2004). A social influence model of consumer participation in network- and small-group-based virtual communities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 21(3), 241–263. doi:10.1016/j.ijresmar.2003.12.004
3. Erdoğan, İ. E., & Çiçek, M. (2012). The impact of social media marketing on brand loyalty. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 58, 1353–1360. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1119
4. Hakala, H., Niemi, L., & Kohtamäki, M. (2017). Online brand community practices and consumer engagement. *Marketing Theory and Applications*, 76(4), 451–472.

5. Kelman, H. C. (1958). Compliance, identification, and internalization: Three processes of attitude change. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2(1), 51–60. doi:10.1177/002200275800200106
6. Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons*, 52(4), 357–365. doi:10.1016/j.bushor.2009.03.002
7. Muñiz, A. M., & Schau, H. J. (2005). Religiosity in the abandoned Apple Newton brand community. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 31(4), 737–747. doi:10.1086/426607
8. Venkatesh, V., & Brown, S. A. (2001). A longitudinal investigation of personal computers in homes: Adoption determinants and emerging challenges. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), 71–102. doi:10.2307/3250959
9. Boerman, S. C., Kruikemeier, S., & Zuiderveen Borgesius, F. J. (2017). Online Behavioral Advertising: A Literature Review and Research Agenda. *Journal of Advertising*, 46(3), 363–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2017.1339368>
10. Leonidou, C. N., Leonidou, L. C., & Kvasova, O. (2013). Cultural drivers and trust outcomes of consumer perceptions of organizational unethical marketing behavior. *European Journal of Marketing* 47(3/4):8-8. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/03090561311297445>