



Approximate Bayes For Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution With Quadratic Loss

Prof. Uma Srivastava*¹, Parul Yadav¹, Satya Prakash Singh²

¹Department of Mathematics & Statistics, DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, UP, 273009 (India)

²KIPM College of Engineering, GIDA, Gorakhpur, UP, India
Email- umasri.71264@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper Bayes estimate of Location parameter of Generalized Compound Rayleigh distribution under the Quadratic loss function (QLF). With Lindley approximation procedure we have obtained the Approximate Bayes estimate of Location parameter of Generalized Compound Rayleigh distribution under the QLF. We have done the numerical comparison of the Approximate Bayes estimator of model by using by R-programming.

Keywords: Lindley Approximation, Generalized Compound Rayleigh distribution, Quadratic loss function, Approximate Bayes estimate.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution is a special case of the three-parameter Burr type XII distribution Dubey (1968) with probability density function (p.d.f.)

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \beta^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} x^{(\alpha-1)} (\beta + x^\alpha)^{-(\gamma+1)}; \quad x, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

with Probability Distribution Function

$$F(x) = 1 - (1 - \beta x^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}; \quad x, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

Quadratic Loss

A function defined as

$$L(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = k (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \quad (1.3)$$

is called quadratic loss function such a loss function is widely used in most estimation problems. If k is a function of the loss function is called the weighed quadratic loss function. If $k=1$, we have

$$L(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \quad (1.4)$$

known as the Quadratic loss function (QLF). Under QLF, Bayes estimator is the posterior mean.

2. The Estimators

Let $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ be the n failures in complete sample case. The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\underline{x}|\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)^n U e^{-T/\gamma} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^n \log \left[1 + \frac{x_j^\alpha}{\beta} \right] \text{ and } U = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^{\alpha-1}}{\beta + x_j^\alpha}$$

from equation (2.1), the log likelihood function is

$$\log L = n \log \alpha + \frac{n}{\gamma} \log \beta - n \log \gamma + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \log(\beta + x_j^\alpha) \quad (2.2)$$

differentiating of equation (2.2) with respect to α , β and γ yields respectively and equating to zero yields the maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of the parameters namely $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$, $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{MLE}$. Applying the Newton-Raphson method $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{MLE}$ can be derived and then from them $\hat{\gamma}_{MLE}$ can be obtained.

3. Approximate Bayes Estimator of the unknown parameters α (Lindley (1980), Solimon (2001))

The Joint prior density of the parameters α , β , γ is given by

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = g_1(\alpha)g_2(\beta)g_3(\gamma|\beta) \\ = \frac{c}{\delta \Gamma \xi} \beta^{-\xi} \gamma^{\xi+1} \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \right) \right] \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$g_1(\alpha) = c \quad (3.2)$$

$$g_2(\beta) = \frac{1}{\delta} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\delta}} \quad (3.3)$$

$$g_3(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\Gamma \xi} \beta^{-\xi} \gamma^{\xi+1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \quad (3.4)$$

The Joint posterior combining the likelihood equation (2.1) and joint prior equation (3.1) is

$$h^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma|\underline{x}) = \frac{\beta^{-\xi} \gamma^{\xi+1} \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \right) \right] L(\underline{x}|\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} \beta^{-\xi} \gamma^{\xi+1} \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \right) \right] L(\underline{x}|\alpha, \beta, \gamma) d\alpha d\beta d\gamma} \quad (3.5)$$

The Approximate Bayes Estimator is given by

$$U(\theta) = U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (3.6)$$

$$\hat{U}_{BS} = E(U|\underline{x}) = \frac{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) d\alpha d\beta d\gamma}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) d\alpha d\beta d\gamma} \quad (3.7)$$

Lindley Approximation Procedure

The Bayes estimators of a function $\mu = \mu(\theta, p)$ of the unknown parameter θ and p under Quadratic loss is the posterior mean

$$\hat{\mu}_{BS} = E(\mu|\underline{x}) = \frac{\iint \mu(\theta, p) h^*(\theta, p|\underline{x}) d\theta dp}{\iint h^*(\theta, p|\underline{x}) d\theta dp} \quad (3.7a)$$

The ratio of integrals in equation (3.7a) does not seem to take a closed form so we must consider the Lindley approximation procedure as

$$E(\mu(\theta, \rho) | \underline{x}) = \frac{\int \mu(\theta) \cdot e^{(l(\theta) + \rho(\theta))} d\theta}{\int e^{(l(\theta) + \rho(\theta))} d\theta} \quad (3.7b)$$

Lindley developed approximate procedure for evaluation of posterior expectation of $\mu(\theta)$. Several other authors have used this technique to obtain Bayes estimators (see Sinha(1986), Sinha and Sloan(1988), Soliman(2001)). The posterior expectation of Lindley approximation procedure to evaluate of $\mu(\theta)$ in equation(3.7a and 3.7b) under SELF, where where $\rho(\theta) = \log g(\theta)$, and $g(\theta)$ is an arbitrary function of θ and $l(\theta)$ is the logarithm likelihood function (Lindley (1980)).

The modified form of equation (3.7) is given by

$$E(U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma | \underline{x})) = U(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} [A(U_1 \sigma_{11} + U_2 \sigma_{12} + U_3 \sigma_{13}) + B(U_1 \sigma_{21} + U_2 \sigma_{22} + U_3 \sigma_{23}) + P(U_1 \sigma_{31} + U_2 \sigma_{32} + U_3 \sigma_{33})] + (U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5) + 0 \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

Above equation is evaluated at MLE = $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})$

where

$$a_1 = \rho_1 \sigma_{11} + \rho_2 \sigma_{12} + \rho_3 \sigma_{13} \quad (3.9)$$

$$a_2 = \rho_1 \sigma_{21} + \rho_2 \sigma_{22} + \rho_3 \sigma_{23} \quad (3.10)$$

$$a_3 = \rho_1 \sigma_{31} + \rho_2 \sigma_{32} + \rho_3 \sigma_{33} \quad (3.11)$$

$$a_4 = U_{12} \sigma_{12} + U_{13} \sigma_{13} + U_{23} \sigma_{23} \quad (3.12)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2} (U_{11} \sigma_{11} + U_{22} \sigma_{22} + U_{33} \sigma_{33}); \quad (3.13)$$

And

$$A = [\sigma_{11} l_{111} + 2\sigma_{12} l_{121} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{131} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{231} + \sigma_{22} l_{221} + \sigma_{33} l_{331}] \quad (3.14)$$

$$B = [\sigma_{11} l_{112} + 2\sigma_{12} l_{122} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{132} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{232} + \sigma_{22} l_{222} + \sigma_{33} l_{332}] \quad (3.15)$$

$$P = [\sigma_{11} l_{113} + 2\sigma_{13} l_{133} + 2\sigma_{12} l_{123} + 2\sigma_{23} l_{233} + \sigma_{22} l_{223} + \sigma_{33} l_{333}] \quad (3.16)$$

To apply Lindley approximation on equation (3.8), we first obtain

$\sigma_{ij} = [-l_{ijk}]^{-1}$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$; where l_{ijk} 's are the partial derivatives of α, β, γ of likelihood function.

Likelihood function from equation (3.2) is

$$L = \frac{\alpha^n}{\gamma^n} \beta^\gamma \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (\beta + x_j^\alpha)^{-\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right)} \quad ; (x, \alpha, \gamma > 0)$$

Now

$$\log L = n \log \alpha - n \log \gamma + \frac{n}{\gamma} \log \beta + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{\beta + x_j^\alpha} \quad (3.17) \quad \text{Now}$$

differentiating log likelihood function with respect to α, β, γ

$$l_{331} = -\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \omega_{11} \quad \text{where} \quad \omega_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta + x_j^\alpha)} \quad (3.19)$$

$$l_{331} = l_{313}$$

Again differentiating l_{33} with respect to β

$$l_{332} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \gamma \partial \beta} \right) = \frac{2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \quad (3.20)$$

$$l_{332} = l_{323}$$

Again differentiating l_{23} with respect to α

$$l_{231} = \frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \quad (3.21)$$

$$l_{231} = l_{213}$$

Again differentiating l_{12} with respect to γ

$$l_{123} = -\frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \quad (3.22)$$

$$l_{123} = l_{132}$$

Again differentiating l_{13} with respect to γ

$$l_{133} = \frac{-2}{\gamma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta+x_j^\alpha)} = -\frac{2}{\gamma^2} \omega_{11} \quad (3.23)$$

Again differentiating l_{12} with respect to β

$$l_{122} = -2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} \text{ where } \omega_{113} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^\alpha \log x_j}{(\beta+x_j^\alpha)^3} \quad (3.24)$$

Again differentiating l_{23} with respect to γ

$$l_{233} = \frac{2n}{\beta\gamma^3} - \frac{2}{\gamma^3} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\beta+x_j^\alpha} = \frac{2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \quad (3.25)$$

The matrix of derivatives is given as

$$[-l_{ijk}] = - \begin{bmatrix} l_{111} & l_{112} & l_{113} \\ l_{221} & l_{222} & l_{223} \\ l_{331} & l_{332} & l_{333} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.26)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{133} & \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{123} & -\frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} \\ -2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} & \frac{2n\gamma}{\gamma\beta^3} - 2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \delta_{13} & \frac{n}{(\gamma\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \\ \frac{-2}{\gamma^3} \omega_{11} & \frac{-2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) & -\frac{2n}{\gamma^3} - \frac{6n \log \beta}{\gamma^4} + \frac{6}{\gamma^4} \delta_{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}] = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & M_{13} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & M_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant of $[-l_{ijk}]$, $D = \{M_{11}[M_{22}M_{33} - M_{23}M_{32}] - M_{12}[M_{21}M_{33} - M_{31}M_{23}] + M_{13}[M_{21}M_{32} - M_{22}M_{33}]\} \quad (3.27)$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \frac{(\text{Adjoint of } [-l_{ijk}])'}{D}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{Y_{11}}{D} & \frac{Y_{12}}{D} & \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \\ \frac{Y_{21}}{D} & \frac{Y_{22}}{D} & \frac{Y_{23}}{D} \\ \frac{Y_{31}}{D} & \frac{Y_{32}}{D} & \frac{Y_{33}}{D} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-l_{ijk}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{31} & \sigma_{32} & \sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix}; \quad (3.28)$$

Approximate Bayes Estimator

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U$$

$$\hat{U}_{AB} = E(U | \underline{X})$$

evaluated from equation number and from joint prior density, we have

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = g(\alpha)g_2(\beta)g_3(\gamma|\beta)$$

$$= \frac{c}{\delta \Gamma \xi} \beta^{-\xi} \gamma^{\xi-1} \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \right) \right];$$

$$\rho = \log G = \log C - \log \delta - \log [\xi + (\xi - 1) \log \gamma - \xi \log \beta - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\delta} \right)] \quad (3.29)$$

$$\log G = \text{constant} - \xi \log \beta + (\xi - 1) \log \gamma - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\delta}$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta \alpha} = 0 \quad (3.30)$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta \beta} = \frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \quad (3.31)$$

$$\rho_3 = \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta \gamma} = \frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \quad (3.32)$$

Using equation(3.14) to (3.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [\sigma_{11}l_{111} + 2\sigma_{12}l_{121} + 2\sigma_{13}l_{131} + 2\sigma_{23}l_{231} + \sigma_{22}l_{221} + \sigma_{33}l_{331}] \\ &= \sigma_{11} \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{133} \right) + 2\sigma_{12} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{123} + 2\sigma_{13} \left(\frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} \right) + 2\sigma_{23} \left(-\frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma_{22} \left(-2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} \right) + \sigma_{33} \left(-\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \omega_{11} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D} \left[Y_{11} \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{133} \right) + 2Y_{12} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{123} + 2Y_{13} \frac{\beta}{\gamma^3} \omega_{122} - 2Y_{23} \frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} - 2Y_{22} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} - \frac{2}{\gamma^3} Y_{33} \omega_{11} \right] \quad (3.33) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= [\sigma_{11}l_{112} + 2\sigma_{12}l_{122} + 2\sigma_{13}l_{132} + 2\sigma_{23}l_{232} + \sigma_{22}l_{222} + \sigma_{33}l_{332}] \\ &= \sigma_{11} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{123} + 2\sigma_{12} \left(-2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} \right) + 2\sigma_{13} \left(\frac{-\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \right) + 2\sigma_{23} \left(\frac{n}{(\gamma\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma_{22} \left(\frac{n}{(\gamma\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) + \sigma_{33} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{123} Y_{11} - 4Y_{12} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1 \right) \omega_{113} - 2Y_{13} \left(-\frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (Y_{22} + 2Y_{23}) \left(\frac{n}{(\gamma\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) + Y_{33} \left(-\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \right) \right] \quad (3.34) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P &= [\sigma_{11}l_{113} + 2\sigma_{12}l_{123} + 2\sigma_{13}l_{133} + 2\sigma_{23}l_{233} + \sigma_{22}l_{223} + \sigma_{33}l_{333}] \\ &= \sigma_{11} \frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} + 2\sigma_{12} \left(-\frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} \right) + 2\sigma_{13} \left(-\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \omega_{11} \right) + 2\sigma_{23} \frac{2}{\gamma^3} + \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \\ &\quad + \sigma_{22} \left(\frac{n}{(\gamma\beta)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) + \sigma_{33} \left(-\frac{2n}{\gamma^3} - \frac{6n \log \beta}{\gamma^4} + \frac{6}{\gamma^4} \delta_{10} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{D} \left[\frac{Y_{11} \beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} - \frac{2Y_{12} \omega_{14}}{\gamma^4} - \frac{4Y_{13} \omega_{11}}{\gamma^3} + \frac{4Y_{23}}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) + Y_{22} \left(\frac{n}{\gamma^2 \beta^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Y_{33} \left(-\frac{2n}{\gamma^3} - \frac{6n \log \beta}{\gamma^4} + \frac{6}{\gamma^4} \delta_{10} \right) \right] \quad (3.35) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\hat{U}_{AB} = E(U | \underline{X})$$

$$E(U | \underline{x}) = u + (u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2 + u_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5) + \frac{1}{2} [A(u_1 \sigma_{11} + u_2 \sigma_{12} + u_3 \sigma_{13}) + B(u_1 \sigma_{21} + u_2 \sigma_{22} + u_3 \sigma_{23}) + P(u_1 \sigma_{31} + u_2 \sigma_{32} + u_3 \sigma_{33})] + 0 \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right)$$

$$E(U | \underline{x}) = U + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2 + u_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5 \quad (3.37)$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) \cdot U_1 + (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22} + P\sigma_{32}) \cdot U_2 + (A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}) U_3] \quad (3.38)$$

evaluated at the MLE $\hat{U} = (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})$ where

$$a_1 = \rho_1 \sigma_{11} + \rho_2 \sigma_{12} + \rho_3 \sigma_{13} = 0 \cdot \sigma_{11} + \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{12}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \quad (3.39)$$

$$a_2 = \rho_1 \sigma_{21} + \rho_2 \sigma_{22} + \rho_3 \sigma_{23} = 0 \cdot \sigma_{21} + \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{22}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{23}}{D} \quad (3.40)$$

$$a_3 = \rho_1 \sigma_{31} + \rho_2 \sigma_{32} + \rho_3 \sigma_{33} = 0 \cdot \sigma_{31} + \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \frac{Y_{32}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{33}}{D} \quad (3.41)$$

$$a_4 = U_{12} \sigma_{12} + U_{13} \sigma_{13} + U_{23} \sigma_{23} = \frac{Y_{12}}{D} U_{12} + \frac{Y_{13}}{D} U_{13} + \frac{Y_{23}}{D} U_{23} \quad (3.42)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2} (U_{11} \sigma_{11} + U_{22} \sigma_{22} + U_{33} \sigma_{33})$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{2D} (Y_{11} U_{11} + Y_{22} U_{22} + Y_{33} U_{33}) \quad (3.43)$$

3. Approximate Bayes Estimate Under Quadratic Loss Function

$$\hat{U}_{ABQ} = E(\theta) = \theta$$

where

$$E_u(\theta | \underline{x}) = \frac{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} \theta G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \partial \alpha \partial \beta \partial \gamma}{\int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} \int_{\gamma} G^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \partial \alpha \partial \beta \partial \gamma} \quad (3.44)$$

The above equation is evaluated by method of Lindley approximation, whose simplified is by replacing θ by $U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ in equation (3.43)

Special cases:-

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U$$

1. Approximate Bayes estimate of α

$$U(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U = \alpha$$

$$U = \alpha, \quad U_1 = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \alpha} = 1, \quad U_{11} = U_{12} = U_{13} = 0$$

$$U_2 = U_{21} = U_{22} = U_{23} = 0$$

$$U_3 = U_{31} = U_{32} = U_{33} = 0$$

$$E_u(U | \underline{x}) = \alpha + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \quad (3.45)$$

Where $\varphi_1 = U_1 a_1 + U_2 a_2 + U_3 a_3 + a_4 + a_5$

$$\varphi_1 = \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{Y_{11}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} + 0 \cdot a_2 + 0 \cdot a_3 + 0 + 0$$

$$\varphi_1 = \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{Y_{11}}{D} + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D}$$

$$\text{and } \varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) U_1 + (A\sigma_{12} + B\sigma_{22} + P\sigma_{32}) U_2 + (A\sigma_{13} + B\sigma_{23} + P\sigma_{33}) U_3]$$

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2} (A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31})$$

$$E_u(U | \underline{x}) = \alpha + \left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \frac{Y_{11}}{D} + \frac{1}{2} (A\sigma_{11} + B\sigma_{21} + P\sigma_{31}) + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_{ABQ} = \alpha + \Delta_1; \text{ at } (\hat{\alpha}_{ML}, \hat{\beta}_{ML}, \hat{\gamma}_{ML}) \quad (3.46)$$

$$\text{Where } \Delta_1 = \frac{Y_{12}}{D} \left[\left(\frac{-\xi}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta}\right) + \frac{Y_{11}}{D} \left[Y_{11} \left(\frac{2n}{\alpha^3} - \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right) \omega_{133} \right) + Y_{12} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right) \omega_{113} + Y_{13} \frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} - \frac{Y_{23} \omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} - Y_{22} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right) \omega_{113} - \frac{1}{\gamma^3} Y_{33} \omega_{11} \right] + \frac{Y_{21}}{2D^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right) \omega_{123} Y_{11} - 4Y_{12} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right) \omega_{113} - 2Y_{13} \frac{\omega_{14}}{\gamma^2} + (Y_{22} + 2Y_{23}) \left(\frac{n}{\gamma^2 \beta^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \delta_{12} \right) + Y_{33} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma^3} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{Y_{31}}{2D^2} \left[Y_{11} \frac{\beta}{\gamma^2} \omega_{122} - 2 \frac{Y_{12} \omega_{14}}{\gamma^4} - \frac{4Y_{13} \omega_{11}}{\gamma^3} + 4Y_{23} \left(\frac{n}{\beta} - \delta_{11} \right) + Y_{22} \left(\frac{n}{\gamma^2 \beta^2} + \frac{\delta_{12}}{\gamma^2} \right) + Y_{33} \left(-\frac{2n}{\gamma^3} - \frac{6n \log \beta}{\gamma^4} + \frac{6}{\gamma^4} \delta_{10} \right) + \left(\frac{\xi-1}{\gamma} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{Y_{13}}{D} \right] \right]$$

Simulations and Numerical Comparison

The simulations and numerical calculations are done by using R Language programming and results are presented in form of tables in table (1).

1. The Random variable of Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution is generated by R-Language programming by taking the values of the parameters α, β, γ , taken as $\alpha = 1.2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $\gamma = 1.1$ in the equations[(3.2)-(3.4)] and equation(1.1).

2. Taking the different sizes of samples $n=10(10)80$ with complete sample, MLE's, the Approximate Bayes estimator, and their respective MSE's (in parenthesis) are obtained by repeating the steps 500 times, are presented in the table from (1), and parameters of prior distribution $a=3$ and $b=4$.

3. Table (1) also presents the MLE of parameter of α and Approximate Bayes estimator under QLF (for α unknown) and their respective MSE's.

Table (1) Mean and MSE'S of α

($\alpha = 1.2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $\gamma = 1.1$)

n	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

$\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$	0.7546 328	0.7589 642	0.8125 469	0.8645 712	0.9451 287	0.9998 756	1.3000 043	1.9000 032
MS E	[0.152 134]	[0.126 537]	[0.098 745]	[0.007 451]	[0.004 213]	[0.004 154]	[0.000 231]	[0.000 321]
$\hat{\alpha}_{ABC}$	0.7546 125	0.7589 642	0.7945 812	0.9000 125	0.9854 236	0.9741 255	1.9985 253	1.0621 431
MS E	[1.22e- 04]	[1.21e- 04]	[1.35e- 04]	[0.001 254]	[0.001 354]	[0.002 254]	[0.004 521]	[0.004 235]

References:

1. Burr, I.W. (1942): "Cumulative Frequency Distribution", Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 13, pp 215-232.
2. Dubey(1968): A compound weibull distribution; Wiley online Library
3. Ferguson, Thomas S. (1967): "Mathematical Statistics: A Decision Theoretic Approach". Academic press, New York.
4. Harris, E. and Shakarki, G. (1979): "Use of The Population Distribution to Improve Estimation of Individual Mean in Epidemiological Studies", Journal of Chronicle Disease, Vol. 32, pp. 233–243.
5. Lindley, D.V. (1980): "Approximate Bayesian Method". Trab. Esta., Vol. 31, pp 223-237.
6. Srivastava U. and Parul Yadav (2020):Bayesian Approximation Of The Location Parameter Of Generalized Compound Rayleigh Distribution With Linex Loss; IJCRT, vol 8, Issue 8,3898-3909.
7. Soliman, A.A. (2001): "Linex and Quadratic Approximate Bayesian Estimators Applied to Pareto Model", Communication in Statistics - Simulation Vol. 30, No.1, pp 47-62.